Name: Heather Haythornthwaite

Reference
1

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
A unified identity that embraces and protects the identities of each of the Medway towns, so we are all proud of our towns and proud to be part of Medway too. A visionary, forward thinking plan for transport: for pedestrians, cyclists, car and bus and taxi users that relates to people’s needs as Medway grows in size. The Medway towns pathways shaped by the hills and the river. Only the fittest amongst us walk or cycle everywhere because of these hills. A plan that acknowledges the need of maintained safe walking pavements, a plan that creates logical bike lanes (or clear signage explaining where they are sharing the road or pavement) a plan that incorporates use of private cars and their need for more specifically zoned residential car parking, a plan that supports a large taxi service. A plan that will cope with increased residential areas to the east and north of the towns, working with the tunnel and existing road links.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Identity and Local Pride  Transport- as detailed in question 1. I did not know what question 2 would be when I answered 1. Health and Fitness: Look at the simplest ways to make the population fitter, considering journeys to amenities (schools, shops) and making people more inclined to walk or cycle there. Better lighting and safer pavements and cycle lanes. Utilise local school sports facilities at weekends and evenings for adult fitness sessions. Organise fitness groups to use our parks and open spaces. Liaise with surgeries.

Question 3
with sensitivity and joined up thinking, and publicise the efforts made. In time we will look more kindly on the Council and feel it is there for our happiness and well being.

Strategic Issues Comments
Be more creative in your problem solving. Start with small projects- some will fail, some will be successful. Build on the success.

Housing

Question 4
I am not familiar with it.

Question 5
'Housing Market Area': is this a place or a target market? If it is a target market, we have a need for affordable housing.

Question 6
25% of what? I am unfamiliar with the figures this 25% relates to. Affordable housing relates to incomes, mortgages, social welfare, and assisted homes for the elderly. Council housing as it used to be, with modern adjustments.

**Question 7**
Various small local assisted housing places for physically able but mentally deteriorating people, for physically less able but mentally capable people and an assessment process that acknowledges changes in needs. Take advice from local almshouses.

**Question 8**
Housing for people with specific difficulties- and these should be spread about the towns so folk can stay in their communities, where they know people. Everyone will be happier, and it will be easier for their families, neighbours and friends to be with them, without having to drive a few miles.

**Question 9**
By the things I have raised in earlier questions. Transport and Health are the key things. Local schools, surgeries, fitness places, shopping places. Civic awareness and pride.

**Question 10**
Floating apartments for students on the river. Barge conversions. Modifying closed banks, shops, and pubs into homes upstairs and community room spaces on the ground floors for fitness sessions, reading groups, creches and multifunctional places of worship used by muslims on fridays, jewish people on saturdays and christians on sundays.

**Question 11**
I think there needs to be an overarching policy on starter homes, and 'ender' homes. By 'custom build' developments I assume you mean housing targeting at people with specific needs. What is built must recognise that needs change and people who live here need to be able to move without difficulty into larger or smaller homes as their need dictates. the principal of being able to spend a lifetime living in one neighbourhood is good- but not necessarily in the same house or flat.

**Question 12**
By 'self and custom build housing' I assume you mean unique, large homes? Within the medway towns the very great need is for affordable housing. People who want to build statement mansions- are they really part of the council's vision for the future?

**Question 13**
In my experience as a student and a parent of students it is best if student accommodation is near the university campus. I have no knowledge of local student figures. Academic students need to be close to libraries and seminar rooms. Technical students need to be near labs. Art students need varied studio spaces. Everybody benefits from the presence of local universities and the money the students spend in the towns and we would do well to cossett and encourage their happiness by making them feel part of local town communities.
Question 14
I have no knowledge of the needs of travellers. There are historically used sites, perhaps their usage needs to be more clearly defined and regulated to enable travellers to be here happily beside established neighbourhoods.

Housing Comments
Make good long term decisions - build houses with large cellars that are great for flexible storage and living, use solar and wind energy technologies, incorporate bike lanes and physical well being strategies, make sensible car parking and public transport arrangements - every site will have varied needs and opportunities.

Economy
Question 15
I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 16
I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 17
Yes, but I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 18
Top speed wifi communication and incentives for internet technology industries.

Question 19
Specific specialist courses.

Question 20
More jobs within the towns - but I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 21
I have no specific knowledge about this.

Economy Comments
Support the burgeoning Creative sector. Creatives bring style and cachet to a place, which brings investment as people want to be part of it. People talk about the 'Whitstable vibe'... we need to foster a 'Medway vibe'.

Tourism
Question 22
Several medium sized hotels by the river in Strood, Cuxton, Rochester and Chatham and a tourist pass.

Question 23
I think this is an exciting area. Overnight or several days in length 'cruises' around the medway and thames estuaries up and down the coast for bird and seal watchers, military history enthusiasts, ancient history enthusiasts, dickensian themed cruises, many things are possible!

Question 24
It is at the heart of it all.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
No, I think that every town deserves some quality shopping. Currently you go to Strood for groceries, to Rochester for coffee and a hair cut, to Chatham for fresh veg and bargains, and to Horsted/ Rainham Mark/Wigmore for super stores, and to the Dockside for discounted shopping. And everyone has to drive to get to the cinema. And no-one goes to Gillingham High Street unless they cannot afford to go anywhere else. Is this balanced? I don't think so. it just means we are all driving / bussing about to get to these places.

Question 26
I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 27
See question 25. There needs to be a better mix that does not exist at the moment.

Question 28
I think it is needed, but I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 29
I do not believe they should be encouraged at all. we need to make better use of our retail spaces and encourage people to enjoy using them.

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment

Question 30
I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 31
Better low impact transportation solutions, closer smaller amenities and therefore less need for travelling to amenities.
Question 32
This is a huge question, I have no specific knowledge about this.

Environment Comments
Smaller neighbourhoods reduce the impact on the environment.

Built Environment
Question 33
This too is a huge question and I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 34
When people can identify with their place and feel proud to be part of it.

Question 35
Rochester High Street and environs. The napoleonic forts. Sadly the lack of recognition or investment in civic structure before 1900 means that there is little else that is distinctive.

Question 36
Parts of the towns where you have to walk more than half a mile to varied shops (not just a corner store), to a secondary school, to fitness facilities or a social meeting place. they may have a strong neighbourhood identity.

Question 37
I've mentioned these things throughout this questionnaire.

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 39
I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 40
I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 41
I have no specific knowledge about this.

Question 42
By linking up with existing neighbourhood places, schools, churches and community nurses and social services, and Age Concern
Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
I’ve talked about this a lot already. Health and Fitness: Look at the simplest ways to make the population fitter, considering journeys to amenities (schools, shops) and making people more inclined to walk or cycle there. Better lighting and safer pavements and cycle lanes. Utilise local school sports facilities at weekends and evenings for adult fitness sessions. Organise fitness groups to use our parks and open spaces. Liaise with surgeries.

Question 44
Allocate more allotment sites. Revive the teaching of Rural Science in schools.

Question 45
see question 43.

Question 46
I have no knowledge about this.

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
I have no knowledge about this.

Question 48
Small, local flexible community halls for all manner of activities: lunches for the elderly, laces of worship, for childrens clubs, for health and wellness.

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space

Question 49
I'm not sure what you mean by this statement.

Question 50
I don't have an educated opinion about this but it seems wize to consider what other EU countries do. Holland and the UK have similar densities what are their levels?
Question 51
yes, absolutely. in practice i think it would be a large hall with sliding walls.

Question 52
A balance of the two approaches.

Question 53
I have no knowledge about this.

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
It must be included.

Question 55
I have no knowledge about this.

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
Of course it should be protected.

Natural Resource Comments
Increased nature in our built environment increases well being on every level.

Air Quality
Question 57
I have no knowledge about this.

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
I have no knowledge about this.

Question 59
I have no knowledge about this.

Minerals Comments
Waste

Question 60
I have no knowledge about this.

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change

Question 61
I have no knowledge about this.

Question 62
By subsidising at first community facilities where they are inadequate.

Question 63
Not building on the flood plains. Improving flood defenses. Preventing runoff by planting more trees and shrubs, and laying less paving and concrete- using the paving that enables grasses and mosses to grow inbetween.

Question 64
By being more aware of our energy consumption, increasing our use of solar and wind generated power and encouraging the use of green technologies.

Question 65
I have no knowledge about this, but the water metering has made me more aware of what we use. Water can be a real problem in this drier than average county and we must make provision for it.

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
we must make a particular effort to look after bees and pollinators.

Flood Risk

Question 66
I have no knowledge about this.

Question 67
I have no knowledge about this.

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
Yes.

Question 69
we must encourage green energy supplies.

Question 70
I have no knowledge about this.

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport

Question 71
This question is too enormous for me to answer.

Question 72
Better footpaths and lighting. Better cycle lanes, council led cycle surgeries and safety courses and better signage. Use the river: make a boat service.

Question 73
it must be realistic and flexible. With so many Medway residents working outside the Towns, they need cars to get to work, or to the bus or train they catch. Housing development and planning applications must acknowledge the relationship with car parking. The Planning Department clings doggedly to the principal that in pre 1930s housing area the roads were not built to take parked cars, yet they fail to set aside adequate or appropriate car parking space. Parking permits cover too large areas to work effectively. New builds fail to provide adequate parking provision. parking provision must be flexible- so that in future our need for it will reduce and the land can be repurposed.

Question 74
This question is too enormous for me to answer.

Question 75
I think it is a good idea to preserve the use of local airports.

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability

Question 76
This is too big a question for me to answer with any value.

Question 77
Yes, different rates according to the neighbourhoods would be appropriate to support and improve the most fragile areas of the Towns.

**Question 78**
Ones that generate money in the first instance, for a fixed period of time, then extended and reviewed regularly.

**Question 79**
This can only be resolved within the council by employing the best, most creative engineers architects and town planners.

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
This question is too enormous for me to answer.

**Question 81**
This question is too enormous for me to answer.

**Question 82**
This question is too enormous for me to answer.

**Question 83**
I think this idea should be considered.

**Question 84**
This question is too enormous for me to answer.

**Question 85**
This question is too enormous for me to answer.

**Question 86**
to design communities where you can go to school, to a health and fitness place to a surgery and go out to see your friend within walking distance (less than a mile) of your front door. One that has provision for the youngest and the oldest members of the community.

**Question 87**
The town centres require improvements- realignments- where there is more diversity of provision in every high street.

**Development Strategy Comments**
This question is too enormous for me to answer.
Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: ALISON HEINE

Reference
2

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Developer/Consultant
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
Question 13
(Did not answer)

Question 14
Pleased to see this included as an Issue. Agree with need to re examine/ update need assessments and consider criteria for suitable sites, but you also need to be agreeing suitable broad locations for sites in Medway if site allocations are not proposed. There is no evidence to support that windfall applications will suffice. The need generally in Kent is still too great and immediate. The need is modest in Medway but as with other aspects of housing in this district there is pressure from in migration due to the Gt London effect. Need assessments in Kent have tended to ignore this yet it has clearly accounted for increased need for more pitches especially amongst Irish Travellers relocating from Gt London. I have seen little/ no evidence that in migration is balanced by out migration. There is no where for families to go. They can not return to Gt London. Please do not make the same mistakes as Swale Council when it comes to interpreting who has GT status, failing to deliver proper pitches, failing to address the need identified and failing to monitor/ make proper provision. You need to insist that authorities such as Swale and Maidstone make full and proper provision. It is essential that a reasonable choice of sites if provided in terms of tenure, location, size but pressure is greatest for small private sites and not large sites with privately rented pitches which offer no security of tenure and are often associated with poor quality of amenity/ life. The GT population in Medway is small. Speak to them. Do not assume to know what they want (as Swale have done). Do not assume (as Swale have done) they will want to live on single pitches on urban extensions isolated from the rest of their community and surrounded by a settled community who are hostile to and resent their presence just because they are different. If planned as part of urban extensions ensure provision is made for a few families so that they can live as part of an extended family/community within the settled community. Unless you think you know what is intended in annex 1 PPTS as amended, insist on further guidance from DCLG on the meaning of the revised definition of GTs, what exactly is meant by Travelling, what is meant by a 'nomadic habit of life' before revising need estimates. Question how this change fits with the requirement at 13 (d) PPTS and explain how you intend to accommodate those who are ethnically GTs, culturally GTs yet are no longer recognised as GTs because they have settled in accordance with previous national guidance and are now too old or infirm to travel, or are single parents, having caring responsibilities and need to be settled. There is a need to explain to GTs the implications of the change to national guidance and establish their intentions given the new emphasis on a nomadic habit of life which Governments have been keen to suppress since 2006. Do not do what Swale have done and think that you can rely on previous travelling patterns—until August 2015 GTs were effectively encouraged to settle and stop travelling long distance for work. Many equate 'travelling' with a return to a nomadic way of life and have misunderstood GTAA questions about travelling not realising that 'trips' away from a settled base for 1-2 weeks is still considered 'travelling'. Many may have to readjust their lifestyle in order to remain living in caravans, on sites, with their own community but Ipsas will have to facilitate this nomadic habit of life. Given the change in definition there will be a need for all authorities to provide transit sites to facilitate the nomadic
habit of life now required by Government. Unless and until this is provided no GT should forfeit GT status because they no longer travel, as the absence of transit sites and blockage of stopping places has effectively prevented many from pursuing a nomadic habit of life. Criteria need to be realistic, understandable and achievable. Most importantly, please don't do nothing. You have a small need. You can address this in Medway with out the need for costly site allocation plans. Work with your GT community not against them. Grant what you can. Don't be blinkered to the real need but do check that occupancy conditions are being complied with and enforce against those who are abusing GT privileges, filling sites with persons of questionable status (check your housing benefit records and where housing are sending all their homeless families) and harming the rights of genuine GTs. Good luck.

**Housing Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Economy**
**Question 15**
(Did not answer)

**Question 16**
(Did not answer)

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
(Did not answer)

**Question 19**
(Did not answer)

**Question 20**
(Did not answer)

**Question 21**
(Did not answer)

**Economy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**
**Question 22**
(Did not answer)

**Question 23**
(Did not answer)

**Question 24**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**

**Question 25**
(Did not answer)

**Question 26**
(Did not answer)

**Question 27**
(Did not answer)

**Question 28**
(Did not answer)

**Question 29**
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Environment**

**Question 30**
(Did not answer)

**Question 31**
(Did not answer)

**Question 32**
(Did not answer)

**Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment**

**Question 33**
(Did not answer)
Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues

Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)
Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)
Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

**Question 65**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk**
**Question 66**
(Did not answer)

**Question 67**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**
**Question 68**
(Did not answer)

**Question 69**
(Did not answer)

**Question 70**
(Did not answer)

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**
**Question 71**
(Did not answer)

**Question 72**
(Did not answer)

**Question 73**
(Did not answer)

**Question 74**
(Did not answer)
Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)
Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Glenda Crumpton

Reference
3

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
The key component is got to be infrastructure. The towns are not served by good road network.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
Yes

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
I don't think you can set a threshold, 25% is reasonable. I would like to see good developments, I don't want to see developers cutting corners enable to provide affordable housing.

Question 7
Low rise community areas, with security, easy access to health centres.

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
I don't think starter home developments should be together in one particular area.

Question 11
(Did not answer)

**Question 12**
Self and custom build homes should be on stand alone sites.

**Question 13**
Student accommodation should always be in the town centres. From experience, students do not want to live outside the towns.

**Question 14**
I do not want to see traveller sites in the Medway area.

**Housing Comments**
I would like to see housing developers provide good design. St Marys Island is the perfect development, there is nowhere else in Medway that has come close to that.

**Economy**

**Question 15**
(Did not answer)

**Question 16**
(Did not answer)

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
(Did not answer)

**Question 19**
(Did not answer)

**Question 20**
(Did not answer)

**Question 21**
(Did not answer)

**Economy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**

**Question 22**
(Did not answer)
Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
Better Health care. Improvement of the town centres.

Question 31
I do not think that people are interested in wildlife and rural areas. They say they are but in practice, no.

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
Clean up areas around Luton Arches, as well as the A2 into Rochester.

**Question 34**
Clean environment. Community spirit. Low crime.

**Question 35**
The river Medway. It is overlooked in future development.

**Question 36**
Luton road. It is awful. I don't feel safe driving through this area, especially at night. Also around Luton Arches.

**Question 37**
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

**Question 38**
(Did not answer)

**Question 39**
There isn't any rural areas left in Medway.

**Question 40**
Better road infrastructure.

**Question 41**
(Did not answer)

**Question 42**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

**Question 43**
(Did not answer)

**Question 44**
(Did not answer)

**Question 45**
(Did not answer)

**Question 46**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure Service Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Social and Community Infrastructure**
**Question 47**
(Did not answer)

**Question 48**
(Did not answer)

**Social Community Infrastructure Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Open Space**
**Question 49**
(Did not answer)

**Question 50**
(Did not answer)

**Question 51**
(Did not answer)

**Question 52**
(Did not answer)

**Question 53**
(Did not answer)

**Open Space Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sports Facilities**
**Question 54**
(Did not answer)

**Question 55**
(Did not answer)
Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)
Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
Name: Andrew Millar

Reference
4

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Charity/Community/Faith Group
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Creation of hope, community and a togetherness which enables us to all achieve what we want for Medway. Personally, I dream of Medway being an attractive place to live, that instead of spitting 'Chatham' out of their mouths, people will love living in Medway and it will become somewhere people want to move to.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
1. Bringing hope through employment, redevelopment and investment. 2. Creating affordable housing (but with a sense of community, not just places for people to retreat to each night). 3. Providing for all sections of society. 4. Partnership - supporting those who are seeking to achieve similar goals.

Question 3
1. Reviewing the current situation and, through consultation, identifying the best places and opportunities to redevelop and invest. 2. Don't just bring in greedy developers who will cram as many houses into an area as possible at the cost of gardens, community centres, places to gather etc. 3. Focussing on what people's needs are and looking to provide for them across the whole of society, not just one particular section. Get 'buy in' from people to do it together. 4. Look for those in the volunteer sector who are already providing services, and support them (through use of vacant premises, council tax relief etc).

Strategic Issues Comments
Don't lose sight of the vision and why you're doing this - it is for people, to make their lives better.

Housing

Question 4
Yes, and I like the focus on providing for people's needs.

Question 5
Medway itself. To extend the housing market area outside of Medway would be to lose 'identity' which is so important to ensure a shared vision and togetherness.

Question 6
This sounds reasonable and personally, I think that this mix engenders community and relationships in any case. Otherwise, we all just retreat to our own 'areas' where we surround ourselves with people like us.
Question 7
The kind of housing that enables the greatest degree of independence but essentially provides for interaction to combat that worst of fears for the elderly - loneliness.

Question 8
Young families and people moving to the area from London. We want to make the area attractive to encourage professionals, not just cheap housing in run-down parts of town.

Question 9
This is something I regularly pray for and when I see redevelopment announcements by the council, I am so pleased! This is essential to the wellbeing of Medway as ONE community as well as the sub-communities in Medway. When an area is redeveloped, it brings with it hope that things can change, that things can get better, that someone (ie the Council) cares about where they live. It engenders pride in where we live and encourages us to come together more and maybe even contribute! This is in complete opposition to the alternative which is a downward spiral, which in turn brings with it all of societies blights - alcohol addiction, drug addiction, gambling (please - no more betting shops!!!) and so on.

Question 10
This is difficult. Medway’s greenspaces are as important as the provision of homes. Without places to breath, so relax or walk we would become a concrete jungle. However, there seems to be a lot of land in Strood alongside the river where factories used to be... Also, continued development from the docks through the riverfront at Gillingham has opportunities.

Question 11
To create a plan and a vision, then when developments are proposed, only permit them if it fits with the plan and vision. Otherwise, the vision is eroded and things start to go wrong as greedy developers create nothing but housing with no heart for community etc.

Question 12
Perhaps that question is beyond my ability to answer, but something similar to 11 might be appropriate.

Question 13
My concern over student housing is that there is not a sense of a student community in Medway, despite the existention of 3 universities! Many towns/cities have very clear and vibrant student communities (ie Canterbury, Nottingham etc). Medway seems to be more about day students and so does not attract those from further afield who would contribute more to the economy and even settle in the area. More student accomodation which enables them to live the uni life would be my best guess.

Question 14
To me, this should be minimal. I am all for the provision of accommodation for all sections of society, but to spend too much money on a section of society who do not
contribute anything back (and IMPORTANTLY - CAN AFFORD TO DO SO) is only to prevent unlawful encampments elsewhere which have a detrimental effect on the local residents.

**Housing Comments**
No.

**Economy**

**Question 15**
The redevelopment of run-down existing sites should be considered first. This has the double benefit of providing the required economic areas and redeveloping the run down ones. This brings us back to the buy in of communities and the creation of hope. I enjoy wildlife a great deal and am sad to hear of the erosion of habitat at Lodge Hill - Nightingales cannot be relocated or ‘new’ areas created for them as they would not get the message...! However, once all other possibilities have been considered, people come first.

**Question 16**
I have always thought that there are opportunities around the maritime business. The creation of marinas, shops, fish restaurants etc would lift the profile of the area and could create job opportunities. This would locate around Rochester/Strood and Chatham. Rochester seems to be doing well with its High Street and with the Dickens brand. Chatham town centre is pretty grim and the shops reflect this. Newer shops, decent shops, less £ shops would mean people stayed in Medway rather than go to Bluewater or Maidstone (Fremlin Walk is a great example of a turnaround). Gillingham almost seems to be a lost cause and the shops in Rainham also seem to struggle.

**Question 17**
Yes, we need to employ more people and enable them to stand on their own.

**Question 18**
This is back to the bigger vision of making Medway an attractive place to live and work. A big problem I experience in Medway is the transport within. It costs a fortune to get a bus anywhere and it seems very difficult to go from one town to another. Everything seems to involve a change at Chatham bus station. We should be able to get about more easily and cheaply, then we might not think it is just as easy (and cheap) to drive to Bluewater/Maidstone. There seems to be so much potential in this area and it could be a major force in the SE of England. We really do have everything, a river, cinemas, nuclear submarines, ice skating, ski slope etc, etc. But how to we attract people to this place? What is the tourist board doing? Do we have an identity as a city (which is what we really are in all but name)?

**Question 19**
If someone is studying engineering in Medway (not sure if this is an option), then what jobs can we offer them afterwards? We have thousands of teachers, but all our PGCE students have to go to Canterbury to get qualified. Many then stay in that direction or
just look elsewhere...

Question 20
Firstly, what jobs are people traveling out to do? Do we offer them in Medway? There is some work which will always require out-commuting, but can this be balanced with some in-commuting?

Question 21
Yes. We should make it as easy as possible for businesses to do what they do, offer reduced rates etc. The income to the council should always be on the basis that it will be spent on making people's lives better.

Economy Comments
No.

Tourism
Question 22
B&Bs for short term visitors which are close to the key attractions. Some decent hotels, accompanied by the required transport connections. Rochester would appear to have the best hope for this.

Question 23
By creating a true identity which people will come back to, holiday in etc. For example, the SW coastline around Dorset has identified itself as the Jurassic Coast and dinosaurs only play a small part in the attractiveness of the area. But it has an identity and this comes with buy in from the community. We need to be about more than day trips or one off events (Sweeps etc). Festivals like Dickens really have a stronger attraction for more than day-trippers. We have a river with so many opportunities for development, docks which would become watersports centres etc. We even have the climbing wall at Arethusa (there are not many climbing walls in the SE).

Question 24
To me this is huge. We could have marinas with people docking their boats to visit the restaurants etc. However, the wildlife is amazing in Medway. The estuary is home to millions of birds of hundreds of species. KWT sites like Darland Banks are home to some very rare insects (like the Straw Belle moth and others). A more organised approach from our country parks and ranger services would benefit tourism (again, I think of the amazing Durlston Country Park in Dorset) which has a great team of very knowledgeable rangers and is used by tourists and locals alike for watching wildlife, walking, exploring, climbing, housing art exhibitions to celebrate local artists, stargazing evenings etc. This draws in tourist who are staying nearby and provides opportunities for local people. I once offered to run a moth trap at Riverside CP to then have a 'meet a moth' event the next day and was turned down by the staff as I didn't have £400k of public liability insurance!

Tourism Comments
Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
I know a lot of people who stay away from Chatham for a number of reasons. Firstly, they feel it is oppressive and scary. Secondly, the shops are mostly cheap and nasty, selling toot that people don't really need, and thirdly there are better places not far away. I would love to see the Pentagon transformed and some big stores like John Lewis or Debenhams come in rather than big, cheap second hand shops etc. The bus station is great, but I live in Rainham and it costs me (on my own, let alone with my wife and two kids) more than £6 return on the bus! So yes, I think it should be a focus, but it needs a lot of work!

Question 26
Plan for development. Medway is changing. I have been here for 4 years or so and have seen some amazingly positive changes.

Question 27
Everything in moderation. I feel that we don't have the balance right anywhere in Medway yet. Eg - Strood cinema complex has leisure and food but no shops. Chatham dockside has leisure, very little food and generally pretty rubbish shops. It just doesn't feel right. Should we seek redevelopment, we should look to successful places and what the balance is.

Question 28
I would love to see Gillingham improved, but we cannot fight on too many fronts and there is only so much money, right? The shopping arcade between Gillingham and Brompton would be ripe as just around the corner is a lovely little spot in Brompton with the Black Lion etc. Perhaps Gillingham town centre is lost, I don't know.

Question 29
We don't need any new out of town retail places, but there is plenty of scope to develop Hempsted Valley, Chatham Dockside and the Strood leisure area. See my answer at 27 about balance.

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment

Question 30
Protection of vital areas of the environment. We have plenty of ex-industry sites we could build on. Don't take away any more of the environment than we already have it is an essential part of society.

Question 31
Not sure what number it was, but I answered on the Country Parks. Other than entertaining ourselves, there is next to nothing to do at Riverside CP or Captson Farm CP. Both have good infrastructure, but the teams are not engaged. We need experienced and knowledgeable (maybe they are, but are not allowed to use it?) rangers who develop a passion for nature. Good birding reports, active rangers leading walks, places to watch birds out of the rain and wind would all encourage more people to participate. We have some amazing wildlife in Medway. Birds alone include breeding Nightingales, Turtles Doves, Marsh Harriers, Long-eared Owls etc. The estuary is a unique habitat. More events, more of a web presence to sell what we've got would be a good start. There could be courses, art exhibitions and so on. It all engenders community and gets people out together. Transport links would be important.

**Question 32**
Not sure what this question is asking. Sorry.

**Environment Comments**
No.

**Built Environment**

**Question 33**
I think redevelopment is not against heritage. This may come back to identity again. In Dorset, the heritage is preserved and many new building are built with Purbeck stone. Creating new housing around the docks and riverfront can be done around the heritage and certain themes can be used.

**Question 34**
A sense of community is pretty much at the top of my list. Hope, unity, identity, opportunities (employment, education etc), ease of 'fitting in'.

**Question 35**
Historic Rochester and the dockside are distinctive. Strood, Gillingham, most of Chatham and Rainham do not have anything distinctive about them. They are just places to live. However, I am always telling people about the positive side of Medway. The more we can all do this, the better. We have three Castles, a Cathedral, a ski slope, ice rink, two nuclear submarines (one Russian and one British), tow cinemas, two shopping centres and plenty of place to enjoy wildlife.

**Question 36**
The housing estates and town centres (not Rochester). Investment, redevelopment and a sense of identity are important.

**Question 37**
Ask the questions - Do they fit with the vision? Do we have an identity and do they fit into this?

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

**Question 38**
Sorry, I don't know much about this at all.

**Question 39**
There can only be so much employment in rural area, but good transport into the urban areas would enable people to come to and from work easily and affordably. Markets, farm shops and outlets in towns to sell local produce would help with the sense of community as the whole of Medway.

**Question 40**
Transport links.

**Question 41**
I really feel that we need to develop the main population bases and enable easy access to these for the rural areas. It would be cheaper to halve the bus fayres than build new infrastructure.

**Question 42**
By providing neighbourhood ownership and champions to create the buy in of communities.

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

**Question 43**
Design out crime. Street lighting, making areas look better is proven to make people feel better.

**Question 44**
Difficult, as most unhealthy food is cheap and healthy food is dearer. Schools seem to be the best start point for education around healthy eating. However, there is the standard trend of unhealthy eateries locating near night-time economy hotspots and people will be free to choose what they eat. Perhaps the selling of local produce from vendors in the 5 towns might help a little?

**Question 45**
Organised groups like 'Park Run' etc are great. However, I think the free swimming for children is something which is already being done and is wonderful to see.

**Question 46**
Rurally yes, but there seem to be sufficient health care facilities in the towns. If only the
hospital could improve. We have met so many amazing staff at the hospital and I have no idea why it keeps failing.

Infrastructure Service Comments
No

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
No idea!

Question 48
Places where people can get together. Doing life locked behind closed doors cannot satisfy. We need other people in our lives and the more diverse groups there are, the more people will take them up. Community centres which don't look like prisons are wonderful centres to engender this idea.

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
Yes, keep the open spaces, or we'll all feel like we're too on top of each other.

Question 50
Yes to part one of the question and I don't really know whether the current level is appropriate.

Question 51
I find that these hubs end up battered and run down from over-use. Although the cost savings in bringing them together could pay for the upkeep.

Question 52
I think new development should provide on-site open space as it angers me how greedy developers are with their closed in houses with postage stamp gardens compared to the houses built in the 30s with big gardens etc.

Question 53
No idea. Sorry.

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
Sport is so important and the more people that live here, the more sports facilities will be needed. Overcrowded swimming pools or oversubscribed football clubs aren't good. Provision should be made in line with the expansion of the population.

**Question 55**
I'm not into football, but if it build community and is part of our identity and vision then I'm all for it.

**Sports Facilities Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource**
**Question 56**
Part of the beauty of Medway is the balance between rural and urban. We should do our best to keep the agricultural land and invest in our farmers to provide local produce for the growing population.

**Natural Resource Comments**
No.

**Air Quality**
**Question 57**
No idea.

**Air Quality Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**
**Question 58**
No idea.

**Question 59**
The ability to use the docks should not be lost. We still need to ship our produce out and it creates employment.

**Minerals Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Waste**
**Question 60**
The easier these are to access, the less rubbish gets dumped and the better the environment is to live in. We seem to do fairly well at this and the rubbish collection is efficient.
Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change

Question 61
Don't know about that.

Question 62
By integrating and creating an environment suitable for all. Investing in the joint areas (town centres, leisure, redevelopment etc). Making housing accessible for all people of all incomes should prevent the creation of separated areas.

Question 63
I can't really comment on this, but the use of solar energy with roof panels, wind turnines on buildings etc seems to be a common trend these days.

Question 64
The recycling provision in Medway is great and must offset some of the damage done. There do seem to be a lot of chimneys out on the Hoo peninsular still though!

Question 65
No idea.

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
No.

Flood Risk

Question 66
Evidence in recent weeks of building flood plains would suggest doing so is unwise. Much of Medway is raised and so avoiding the obvious should do the trick.

Question 67
No idea.

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy

Question 68
Yes, but not at a cost to the natural environment. We can put turbines on buildings rather than build fields of them.

Question 69
Solar energy should be encouraged. We are in the SE of England where the sun shines a
lot.

**Question 70**
Well beyond my knowledge.

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**

**Question 71**
Transport links. More efficient roads (some of the traffic light phasing is appalling!). A hospital that can be trusted and can cope with the demand. Also, look for partners in the volunteer sector who are already working towards some of these goals.

**Question 72**
The price! Buses are ridiculously expensive. It is far cheaper to drive and park into Chatham than get the bus. Safe places for bikes to be left and encourage ride-to-work schemes with local business.

**Question 73**
Seems Ok to me at the moment...

**Question 74**
I would love to see this whole area increase. We could become a really attractive place to moor boats, with good marinas and restaurants to go with them.

**Question 75**
No idea really.

**Transport Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**

**Question 76**
Ensure buy-in from the communities, business etc from the beginning. Develop and cast vision which people will follow and budget sensibly.

**Question 77**
Yes. Anything to get people housed, but not in rich/poor areas, there needs to be a healthy mix.

**Question 78**
That's a big question, and beyond my limited knowledge of project management!

**Question 79**
As above!

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
Seems balanced enough to me from what I’ve read.

**Question 81**
Agree.

**Question 82**
No idea.

**Question 83**
No. Knee-jerk rarely works and reducing the existing employment areas for residential use will surely only lead to further unemployment...

**Question 84**
No. This is an important boundary which cannot keep being ignored or expanded.

**Question 85**
No idea.

**Question 86**
No idea.

**Question 87**
Consider the holistic review in conjunction with nearby waterfront regeneration sites.

**Development Strategy Comments**
No.

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: jyngf

Reference
5

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
housing improved infrastructure and services to accommodate new residents improved facilities for business all whilst protecting the local environment and history of the area

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
not sure

Question 5
Need more affordable housing, and to rejuvenate some disused properties, or those which have fallen into disrepair.

Question 6
Most local people can not afford what is termed as 'affordable housing'. There needs to be good supply of decent accommodation, particularly in the rental sector.

Question 7
Perhaps town centre developments or developments close to main bus routes so that older people can access the services they need and get around. This would prevent them from being isolated.

Question 8
Young families, and young professionals.

Question 9
An improved environment can encourage people to be more active. In addition, adequate housing and good jobs helps people's wellbeing

Question 10
Some brownfield sites in towns such as the old Robinson (?) site on the A2 in Gillingham, Green street where adult education was and other similar disused buildings

**Question 11**
Need to ensure proper access roads and public transport serve these developments

**Question 12**
not sure

**Question 13**
I think dedicated housing in student town centres, and places like the old people’s home on Medway Road which has become a student accommodation place are the way forward. Whilst you can't stop private rents for students in lower Gillingham it can make it more difficult for residents to get houses as so many are bought to let, or let only for students and sharers. There are a few empty shops in and around Gillingham which perhaps could be changed into student accommodation.

**Question 14**
I don't know of any appropriate sites, but a designated site for them seems sensible.

**Housing Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Economy**

**Question 15**
There is a need for better quality business parks in Medway. Whilst Gillingham Business Park is good, and the Innovation Centre, there is a lack of quality affordable units and warehousing. In some cases businesses grow and have to relocate to parks outside of medway, which is a shame. Perhaps more can be done at the new Rochester Airport enterprise site, and around new developments such as river at Chatham Waters

**Question 16**
Disused shops and premises in town centres could be turned into enterprise centres or shared office buildings.

**Question 17**
yes

**Question 18**
Improved links to train stations from areas outside of the main towns via public transport. facilities at train stations for cycling. make sure that the London Paramount development can be reached by public transport

**Question 19**
not sure
**Question 20**
I don't think this is feasible unless better quality business parks are set up in Medway to attract more employers.

**Question 21**
not sure

**Economy Comments**
no

**Tourism**

**Question 22**
There are a number of new hotels locally - if other new developments include visitor accommodation as well this could help

**Question 23**
better development of holiday parks on the Hoo Peninsula

**Question 24**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**

**Question 25**
no - the face of retail is changing and without a huge revamp and improvement to Chatham in terms of the tidiness and type of shops available people will always shop online or go to Bluewater and Hempstead Valley. Chatham could be improved with regular markets (such as high street farmers market, European markets etc) as this may attract people to the town.

**Question 26**
identified capacity only

**Question 27**
The town centres need to be mixed in order to survive. If you can attract business to set up in towns, then additional retail and food and drink etc will follow

**Question 28**
No - there is no need for this with the existing shops such as NISA, Iceland, Aldi and the coop, especially now that there is Asda at Gillingham Pier. A supermarket in the town centre would severely increase traffic and parking issues as well as dent business for the...
other shops in town.

**Question 29**
On a case by case basis - depends if the retailers fit with existing town centre or would be better placed outside. For example a new department store could fit in chatham but a new diy superstore might be better at a business park or out of town.

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Environment**

**Question 30**
protect green spaces, and encourage their use for health and leisure

**Question 31**
not sure

**Question 32**
not sure

**Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment**

**Question 33**
development in historical areas should be done in keeping with the historical buildings

**Question 34**
A nice clean environment with green spaces, good access to main roads or public transport links, good facilities near by such as leisure, parks and schools and health centres

**Question 35**
river/ green spaces such as capstone and great lines Development should make use of the river and developments should protect the assets. If building happens close to green spaces, this should be done in a way that is green such as a garden city

**Question 36**
Town centres. Particularly strood, and chatham as many buildings are run down

**Question 37**
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)
Rural Issues

Question 38
Hoo could be expanded into a small town providing that the roads are adequate and train bus links are in place

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
better lit paths and cycle paths to encourage walking and cycling stricter rules on take a ways in town centres and parades of shops

Question 44
new builds to have allotments, rural areas to have pick your owns

Question 45
Bike schemes / park and rides or park and walks outside of towns / main areas

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
new developments should include schools, including secondary

Question 48
(Did not answer)
**Social Community Infrastructure Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Open Space**

**Question 49**  
we should preserve as much of it as possible, using only the edges closest to the towns and infracstruture.

**Question 50**  
??

**Question 51**  
?

**Question 52**  
a balance

**Question 53**  
could use neighbourhood and community groups such as churches, faith groups and clubs

**Open Space Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Sports Facilities**

**Question 54**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 55**  
Gillingham FC is a private business. They no doubt help the area and the plan should look to include them but they need to pay for their new ground and then the existing ground should be made best use of for needs of the local area

**Sports Facilities Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource**

**Question 56**  
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource Comments**  
(Did not answer)
Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)
Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)
Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: michael waller

Reference
6

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Other
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1

to look at children in primary schools today and realise this is the world they will inherit not the one we want for ourselves! try and be far sighted enough.(If roads now need to be two lane , future proof them by making them dual carriageways! (This is just an example) but be aware of the potential Medway population numbers and needs for 2035 not 2015

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2

Population expansion, to "guesstimate" the 2035 population demographic . oap's students children employed/unemployed

Question 3

plan accordingly

Strategic Issues Comments

(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4

no. its to long a period. How accurate was the previous assessment given the benefit of hindsight!!!

Question 5

Changeable dependant upon employment opportunities, but probably the commuter ratio will increase as London continues to become less affordable

Question 6

there will always be rich and poor people. define "affordable"

Question 7

ask older people. (or ask younger people where they would like to,live when they are old . so you can build in time for that market

Question 8

(Did not answer)

Question 9

by supplying employment. However given the amount of "real industry" that has
disappeared from Medway in the last 30 years I feel this is a lost cause. We need product not service industries,

**Question 10**
no

**Question 11**
self build is an excellent plan to help people get on the property ladder

**Question 12**
each on its own merits

**Question 13**
Should you not be asking what will be the demand, not what is!!!!

**Question 14**
make them pay and they are welcome

**Housing Comments**
please look for enough ahead

**Economy**

**Question 15**
irrelevant "working travellers" is an oxymoron

**Question 16**
negligible

**Question 17**
need to identify the market these mythical jobs are to be created in before you can answer this

**Question 18**
As less and less commuters can afford London property prices Medway may possibly become more of a dormitory town which will then see it become a more affluent society (eg areas of s London since 1970s) This will assist in gentrifying the area but money will be earnt outside of the Medway area.

**Question 19**
Always embrace education. It is one of the best gifts we can give future generations

**Question 20**
no

**Question 21**
Seriously!!!! find bigger export/import opportunities Given the tidal restraints of the Medway this seems very unlikely, could you really envisage taking on the new container port built in Essex. Thamesport is already struggling

**Economy Comments**
Plenty, but this questionnaire doesn't even ask the right questions !!!

**Tourism**
**Question 22**
Why would you want to come here___ Castle, high st, dockyard. One day at best don't bother if its raining !!!!

**Question 23**
Think big and bold. Glastonbury, Edinburgh fringe, tour -de -france but not allow another tea shop!!!

**Question 24**
none its a defunct commercial river that has no aesthetic value until it stops being tidal (Aylesford). The countryside can be desolate especially around the marshes

**Tourism Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**
**Question 25**
No Chatham is an embarrassment to Medway, (especially a percentage of the inhabitants) many of my neighbours will not go there. Would you really want to invest in retail, with the advent of the internet will the high st be dead by 2035!!!

**Question 26**
No

**Question 27**
Please think 20 years forward not next 5 years. seek professional projections

**Question 28**
no comment

**Question 29**
it is the way forward

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments**
(Did not answer)
**Environment**

**Question 30**
Encourage lower emission levels, get people to take pride in their environment and educate children regarding, litter (esp chewing gum), graffiti, cigarette butts and general anti-social behaviour, before the council can make a difference people need to start being responsible again I re-iterate this is a 20-year plan.

**Question 31**
See point 30 and this will follow.

**Question 32**
the landscape is constantly evolving and changing, do not attempt a "time warp" philosophy.

**Environment Comments**
see the biggest picture possible, always think outside the box, project ideas forward.

**Built Environment**

**Question 33**
you cannot rebuild a dockyard, oil refinery or start making flying boats our heritage is entirely heavy industry which has now gone. think generation not regeneration!!

**Question 34**
pleasant scenery, nice neighbours, good climate, affluent crime free surroundings.

**Question 35**
the most distinctive elements of Medway need getting rid of not embracing. Visit Chatham or Rochester High street on a Saturday night for a truly memorable experience!!

**Question 36**
the high density population areas are very undesirable areas.

**Question 37**
let the private sector expand without restraint and encourage any job creation possible.

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

**Question 38**
not before the infrastructure ids in place to allow it to develop.

**Question 39**
you haven’t got enough money to even approach this, leave it alone.
Question 40
natural supply and demand, if its worth doing business will supply the necessary infrastructure.

Question 41
build today for 2035 proof requirements, think big, bold, and lots of people, cars, bicycles etc.

Question 42
educate the children to be proud of where they live, then by 2035 they will be places people want to live.

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
no comment

Question 44
ban fast food outlets that don't require cutlery

Question 45
you wont. the need to drive is a right of passage for most teenagers who then develop a dependency on personal transport.

Question 46
ask the health care professionals what they require for 20 years ahead

Infrastructure Service Comments
Yes pleanty

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
no comment

Question 48
probably need facilities for people to spend more leisure time with each other at affordable costs,

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)
Open Space
Question 49
it is appropriate

Question 50
lower density housing usually indicates a wealthier community so therefore if this where the only criteria I would recommend that lower density housing should be promoted , however this is not a simple matter

Question 51
It will become inevitable for efficient delivery, however again please remember we are planning for the next generation.

Question 52
a balanced approach

Question 53
you can try !!!!

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
Sport is a nice diversion for many young people ,

Question 55
Let them move out of town to a all new site

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
British agriculture in its current form is unsustainable without eu grants and as such is irrelevant to the economic well being of our community. the employment/land use ratio is inconsequential. Why does land now need preserving the reasons for the green belt act are now outdated,

Natural Resource Comments
totally in favour of renewable energy sources but do not rape the planet

Air Quality
Question 57
Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
allow extraction and landfill= job creation and income

Question 59
over the plan period what are the likely uses of the river!!!

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
see 59

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
Sustainable development is best achieved through organic growth as opposed to forced
development, organic growth is generated by supply and demand

Question 62
dream on !!! there will always be givers and takers you will not change human nature.

Question 63
promote and subsidise environmentally friendly building projects

Question 64
birth control . reduce the pressure on existing services available

Question 65
yes

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
always ry and future proof any plans for a minimum 20 years

Flood Risk
Question 66
obviously relevant to development (see Cumbria)

**Question 67**  
flood defences are easily available and you could earn money building them !!!!

**Flood Risk Comments**  
( Did not answer )

**Energy**  
**Question 68**  
yes

**Question 69**  
encourage any form of renewable energy esp with zero carbon emmissions

**Question 70**  
use it, waste as little as possible

**Energy Comments**  
( Did not answer )

**Transport**  
**Question 71**  
more than you can afford or even dream of.

**Question 72**  
 it wont happen unless the roads become so congested that cars cant move (London)  
people like their own personal bubble and feel safer away from others,

**Question 73**  
make it so expensive people have to use public transport

**Question 74**  
probably only leisure requirements by 2035

**Question 75**  
leave alone

**Transport Comments**  
( Did not answer )

**Deliverability**  
**Question 76**  
anybody that delivers this local plan today is unlikely to be working for the council in
20 years time so be bold enough to challenge your successors!

**Question 77**
no

**Question 78**
you have to start by making the environment desirable as opposed to acceptable

**Question 79**
you do ok. if its not broke don’t fix it

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
tweek but don’t change

**Question 81**
there will be in the next 20 years. set nothing in stone, use your plan as a guideline only

**Question 82**
again educate the young, make them proud and want to be here, that way create a better desirable place to be in 2035

**Question 83**
yes

**Question 84**
yes

**Question 85**
natural selection, on an as needs basis

**Question 86**
Who is going to pay ?!

**Question 87**
yes but how ?!

**Development Strategy Comments**

the only way for 87 and 88 to become reality is to give an open hand to the privately funded sector
Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Bradley Aubrey

Reference
7

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Sustainability, energy saving (i.e. LED bulbs everywhere feasible, and promoting Solar panel usage), conservation of the green spaces (there are too few of these), and

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
Yes, I find the majority of the conclusions valid and fair.

Question 5
I feel the majority of the market resides around families and young adults looking to rent their first property. I can speak from personal experience that there were very few options available when I was unable to stay within the family home. I was forced to choose within poor-quality housing (which were often small or had clear/underlying issues) as the majority of housing options were beyond what we could afford.

Question 6
This question and the information in the plan is not set out too clearly. As far as I can see, you estimate that 25% of your planned housing will be affordable and ready by 2035. If this is the case then I feel that this level is far too low. Ideally, those whom are renting from council places should be able to afford them, namely those who need financial assistance, or are on a low-moderate income. I understand this will impair the ability for the costs of the plan to be repaid, but with the deficit still in recovery, there are still many families who are struggling with their current rent, let alone if they were forced into council housing.

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
Question 9
With more families able to live in affordable and good quality housing, this may help to push home owners to improve the quality of their housing, and fix the properties' issues, to improve the overall quality of the housing market and the quality of life for Medway's residents.

Question 10
There are several derelict buildings located all over Medway. I have been delighted that over the past year I have noticed a lot of the sites closer to myself have been cleared and construction has taken place. I believe that the buildings which are not being used, or have not been used for several years, should be raised to your attention as a potential site for housing (after being offered to those looking to construct their own housing). This will help to fill the empty spaces throughout Medway with modern buildings to minimize the amount of wasted urban space. I also feel like green spaces should be cleared as a last resort to make way for housing as the environment is a key global issue and our current green spaces need to be conserved as much as possible.

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
(Did not answer)

Question 13
(Did not answer)

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
I feel that there should be more power imposed, and more help for tenants, to enforce home owners to upkeep their property and carry out the repairs they are under contract to repair. From what I am aware, only issues which question the habitability of a property can be brought to the attention of the council. I feel that there should be rules in place to ensure that all repairs are carried out (regardless of severity) within a reasonable time, which would obviously vary depending on its severity.

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)
Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)
Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
Working with environmental agencies, conservation charities, and animal welfare charities to identify and resolve issues relating to the decline of habitats, nature and the environment. Getting the public involved is another very effective method in order to achieve this; I know the Animal Management students at Midkent College would be likely to help out, as would many other environmentally conscious residents (such as myself). I speak from experience that it is difficult to get involved and help out with the environment despite how enthusiastic and hard working you can be; I have tried attending task days at Capstone and Riverside, but every time I have attempted, "there isn't anyone available to lead", or "there wasn't enough interest".

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
I feel that the water within river Medway needs to be cleared up and cleaned. If you have travelled, even nationally, you can see plenty of sites where water is very visible. From what I have heard, there is a build up of "silt" which lingers throughout the river which is why it is so murky. Unfortunately I am not as educated in resolving water-based issues as I am elsewhere, but there must be some structures, plans, or actions which can be carried out to remove the silt, and clean up the water so that it is more appealing and healthier for the aquatic wildlife.

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
Green areas. There are far too many areas which are run down and look too urban and grey to be pleasing. Even the occasional site where there are a few plants, are usually riddled with litter, unkempt, and look old and unsightly. Even a small lane of grass alongside an excessively wide path can make a difference.

Question 35
Question 36
Riverside has some poor features, mainly along the water's edge itself. Often there are areas just off-path which have plenty of litter, objects in the "beaches" itself. If I recall correctly, somewhere along riverside there is a very old wooden boat embedded in the ground. I would be happy to help clear these.

(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
The main issue with the healthy food access is the financial side of it. Unfortunately the poverty issue strongly affects unhealthy eating, and often it is just easier and cheaper to eat unhealthily. I have stated this also on "A Better Medway"'s Facebook page. For example, I would be more than happy to purchase and drink Pure fruit juice, but at roughly £1.50 per 1L carton, it is a lot easier and more cost-effective to buy 7 2L bottle of Cola (at 19p each from Aldi the last time I checked).
Question 45
Bitwalking is a new concept being trialled in some countries where users of the bitwalking app are paid redeemable store vouchers depending on how much they walk. It uses certain calculations to identify what real step is to prevent fraud. A similar approach could also be offered, or even if you are able to support the bitwalking development in order to bring its accessibility to Medway. As far as Cycling is concerned, currently the cycle to work scheme is only available to those who are employed, and if their employers accept the scheme. I can very confidently say that there may also be a strong market for students and young adults in full-time education, as they are often young and fit enough to take part without too much effort, but lack in finances so are unable to do so. I was one of those students, but fortunately I was close enough to college that walking was still an option.

Question 46
Personally I feel that DMC Canterbury street should be fully developed in order to cope with its ever-growing numbers of patients both registered and walk-in. I registered when the site was first opened and I remember how quick and easy it was to get an appointment and be seen to. Within the past few years, its numbers have been on the rise and it is often hours before you get seen (as a walk in patient), or weeks before an appointment can be provided (as a registered patient). If the building plans were re-instated, the DMC could aid Medway hospital's numbers of A&E, and minor accident patients by providing a quick and effective walk-in service which the original plans were made to do. This will also help to lower the average waiting times for patients to be seen, which so far has been a problem for Medway Hospital.

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)
Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
More accessibility to solar panels would be a key feature. Currently for many home owners it is too costly and not worthwhile for them to purchase and use solar panels. Fortunately they are becoming more available and more affordable, but with the government’s reductions in the pay-out for solar panels, it will still take a long time for home-owners to benefit from them. A discussion with home-owners interested, but dissuaded by the poor payout and other issues, in solar panels will be beneficial to find and plan for a fair deal to promote solar panels and interest home owners in their purchase.

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
If solar panels are unable to be fitted to a building, then "green roofs" can be adapted to support the fight against climate change, and help to provide habitats for wildlife. It is a simple step which can have a positive effect on both the environment and the community.

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
Yes. The national standards are there for a good reason. Although they are optional, they are still beneficial to achieve both on a local and a national scale.

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)
Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
Taller, multi-storey car parks should be made more available as opposed to the space-wasting car parks which are commonly in use now. This may also open up more space for housing or other buildings.

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
Regularly contact those who the plan and its policies directly effect to request feedback or further comments as to the direct of the development. Regular reviews of development would also benefit to identify if there is anything that could be improved upon or modified, especially if potential issues are identified.
Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
The majority of environmental-based projects could be supported directly by involving the public with the developments. Although there may not be many, but there are some people who love spending their time helping to improve their community and environment. It may also be an idea (in some areas and projects) to work with the DWP to provide work experience to job seekers, whilst saving costs on man-power.

Question 79
Quality is beyond more important than speed. Effective prioritizing of projects and consultations, and providing consultations to which the public can be a part of, may also help to get the public involved with Medway’s future and offer ideas and suggestions which could aid and speed up the planning process.

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
As a last resort. Ensuring effective management of the current urban space within Medway should be a priority over expansion.

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)
Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Julie Collins

Reference
8

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)
Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space

Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities

Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource

Question 56
Natural Resource Comments
None

Air Quality
Question 57
What is AQMAs?

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
?

Question 59
Make more use of Wharves and riverside access

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
My domestic waste is collected very well I do not know about what happens once it leaves my kerb.

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk**
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)
Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)
Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: T Scott

Reference
9

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
I believe Medway has reached its limit as a whole on housing, school places, medical services, and should discourage as far as possible any pressure to add to its population. The unemployment rate for Medway is higher than anywhere in Kent and the south east, so the Plan should look at addressing this instead of adding to the problem. Try to avoid adding to pollution by building more houses.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Employment. Improve health services. Get Medway hospital out of special measures. Air quality. Medway should look at agriculture and encourage local farmers to re-create the Orchards for which the area is known or we will lose the title of 'North Kent Fruit Belt' forever. Goatham Apples produce a fifth of the UKs Apple supply alone, they are innovative in their methods, and farmers should be encouraged to expand their operations further to copy this which will provide jobs to the local population, and improve the landscape.

Question 3
Council should look at encouraging farmers to improve and maintain their land, stop using areas which have fallen out of such use for building on and prioritise farmers over developers who see these plots as easy targets. Maybe encourage a public scheme to invest in local farms to help them financially? Public would benefit from a better environment to live in, look at, have jobs from the fruit production etc. Medway hospital is a complete joke and seems beyond repair.

Strategic Issues Comments
Stop allowing the population to increase. I am aware there is a housing shortage, but by building houses to decrease the waiting list it will only encourage more to the area to put their names down as the list will seem smaller than other areas. And more

Housing

Question 4
Not at all. Medway should object to every pressure placed on it from the Government to provide more houses. Medway is the second largest town in the south east, and should be allowed time to adjust to the current population, catch up with itself over emp

Question 5
I do not believe that any large scale housing ought to be taking place in Medway at present as the area simply does not have anything to offer new residents. However, there are small pockets of land that could be used to build sporadic housing across the whole of
Medway. As these areas would be small, there might be less restrictions on the type of housing, so would encourage only those who are able to apply for full mortgages and are currently employed, therefore not a drain on local benefits and services. THOSE are the type of residents Medway ought to be encouraging.

**Question 6**
I am of the opinion that housing should not be set as a 25% mandatory level for affordable housing. Whilst I recognise there is a need for cheaper housing for lower paid workers, I firmly believe that if there was not the availability of such housing, house prices would only increase to a point where there was the ability to pay. The current system only serves to keep the prices of housing artificially high.

**Question 7**
Bungalows for the more able, sheltered housing for the vulnerable, or housing areas where they have support of a warden if needed.

**Question 8**
I think a few houses with easy access to amenities, and allocated parking for disabled.

**Question 9**
At the moment I do not believe ANY large developments will make a major contribution, any mitigation towards health and schools is swallowed up and the problems seem to remain or get worse. The odd houses dotted around on vacant residential (not open space or agricultural land) plots will make use of otherwise derelict land and enhance the look of neighbourhoods without destroying valuable countryside or impacting on population size.

**Question 10**
No.

**Question 11**
The Council needs to look at the infrastructure for the residents it already has, without adding to the problems with health, schools or unemployment.

**Question 12**
I think the council ought to make use of all available derelict plots within residential areas and brown fields first.

**Question 13**
I think there has been enough student housing provided down by the new Gillingham Asda, rather than place students all within an area dedicated to them, surely some should be integrated within existing communities, rather than creating ghettos.

**Question 14**
I do not think there is any need for this type of resident in this area, and would strongly oppose any proposal to do so. They place undue pressure on local resources, maybe take
up a school place for a short time which would otherwise be given to a permanent resident, I’m sure they probably claim benefits but also expect they do not pay into the system either. There was a group of caravans parked within the Medway park leisure centre recently, taking up parking spaces, leaving rubbish, their presence was a threat to anyone who wanted to park there, and they drove huge Mercedes which everyday people could only dream of owning.

**Housing Comments**
Do not destroy countryside for which north Kent has a reputation for. We need to protect thus above all else, it is our regions identity, and when it is gone it is gone forever.

**Economy**

**Question 15**
Whilst I agree that Lodge Hill does impede onto otherwise useful countryside and would prefer not to build there at all, I do recognise its potential as it was set up as a community on its own with schools and GPs etc, rather than placing extra burden on already overstretched established communities. This does contradict my beliefs though, so I think the best thing would be to try to get another smaller town outside Medway to take the strain of such a development. Medway simply cannot hope to maintain its services at present, and should look to the Secretary of State to put a temporary hold on and major developments for the present as developers are taking undue advantage of midways lack of future planning to push through housing under the national policy. It is unfair on the residents to have yo take punishment for the councils lack of care in maintaining correct paperwork to protect land from being grabbed simply because they have found a loophole where Medway simply cannot hope to refuse proposals.

**Question 16**
I am not a businessman so couldn’t possibly comment.

**Question 17**
Not able to comment, however, I have been searching for a suitable local job for a very long time without success.

**Question 18**
No comment, other than to say that Medway ought not depend on its local residents commuting to work, it needs to encourage local work opportunities, such as fruit production. Might not give a great scale of jobs but will enhance the general area and reinforce its identity with the North Kent Fruit Belt.

**Question 19**
Are there any opportunities? Not that I can see. All I see is a lot of land devoted to student accommodation which isn’t used full time, they come from outside the area, taking up valuable part time jobs from local residents, don’t pay very much into the benefit system and claim as much as they can out of it. Whilst I recognise that further education plays a large part of the development of business they will go away to their relative parts of the U.K. To provide benefits there instead.
Question 20
I think it will prove impossible to reduce the amount of out-commuting from Medway. The area has long been known as a commuter town, I myself come from a family that moved here from London so there is now a trend towards this. I could not speculate on how

Question 21
I think the Plan should focus on farming, with respect to Orchards, to return back to our heritage of the north Kent Fruit Belt. If the land is in very good use for this, the government will simply be unable to produce an argument for building on it. Goa

Economy Comments
No.

Tourism
Question 22
I think we have a very good rail network bringing visitors from London, and now we have the high speed link possibly from the continent. There are many hotels recently added to the area, do we need more? If so we should look at trying to locate nearer at

Question 23
There could be a need for an indoor Arena type venue, which would be used for concerts or conferences, encouraging visitors to stay overnight or for a short stay, they spend in the local amenities and attractions etc. Lots of Medway residents attend music concerts in London, I think we are missing a valuable opportunity to attract big names to the area, which promotes the area as well.

Question 24
I think people would visit the area initially to see the towns attractions, but could be enticed out to the countryside. Maybe if the Council were to look into a countryside tour that visitors can follow they could take into the route farmshops, parks, view points, historical heritage which would otherwise be missed, bringing visitor numbers out to areas which would otherwise miss the opportunity. Gore farm in Rainham is a good attraction to push.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
Chatham unfortunately has an awful reputation, and the high street is no exception. Get rid of the hot dog stands, people drinking and smoking everywhere, the cheap shops, and try to revitalise it by bringing back good retailers. Too many charity shops, tattoo parlours and nail bars are not good for a high street as they bring down the tone.
Personally I would like to shop in Chatham, but out of preference choose to go to Bluewater instead for its better choice of shops, and free parking. This is equally applicable for Gillingham, Strood and Rainham shops. Council should also look at how many takeaways it grants licence for, not only to improve the look of shops but to improve the health of local residents.

**Question 26**
Sorry no idea what this means.

**Question 27**
You need to take stock of the number of tattoo parlours, nail bars and takeaways which predominate many of midways shops. These could be moved to less obvious side streets of a high street, freeing up space for better retailers which enhances the look and feel of these shopping areas.

**Question 28**
You already have a co-op, lidl and Iceland, with a very new big Asda nearby. Would having yet another supermarket not make these already thriving shops lose business?

**Question 29**
The Dockside shops never really took off, yes people visit them, but not as well as the Ashford outlet centre. I think most people these days tend to go to Bluewater.

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Environment**

**Question 30**
Medways environment would be strengthened if we made better use of the countryside for fruit production, which would limit the governments ability to force residential developments on the area. We need to preserve and protect any nature reserves, including SSSIs, ALLIs, Conservation areas etc. We need to stop Chatham looking like a dump, forge better community relationships, be proud of who we are and defend our right to say no to extra residents.

**Question 31**
Countryside Tours. Encourage use of agricultural land back to Fruit Production. Actively encourage walking in the countryside, maybe by keeping the footpaths better maintained with areas along circular routes where picnics could be taken by families. Walking is a free activity and should be encouraged more to promote healthy lifestyle and family bonds through shared activities. Use already protected lands for wildlife and use discretion over allowing people free access to these to encourage endangered species to thrive.

**Question 32**
Give priority to farmers who wish to develop land for farming, either by subsidising
purchase of land to develop by encouraging local residents to invest in farms. Develop park spaces for the public to enjoy as families, place fit trails in parks with exe

Environment Comments
Look after it, it's a fragile commodity easily lost and never regained. Allow future generations to enjoy the countryside.

Built Environment
Question 33
No comment

Question 34
Nearby shops, transport on rail, buses not so important as they have out priced their use and people have reverted back to cars. Green spaces, surrounding countryside to improve air quality and overall look of the area. Get rid of takeaways, tattoo parlours, nail bars and rag-tag charity shops if they look tacky and dirty.

Question 35
Rochester High Street is distinctive. Try to maintain its older look as this is important to the Dickens feel. Perhaps the dickens world would have best been located nearer Rochester, seems a bit out of place over at Dockside, and could form an integral part of a day trip to Rochester from visitors who would otherwise miss it. Plenty of space on Riverside, where developers seem reluctant to build houses.

Question 36
Chatham high street, Gillingham high street have rough reputations and I avoid going there at all costs. Improve the look of these.

Question 37
We shouldn't encourage any building unless it's on brownfield or vacant derelict plots in residential areas. But as services are stretched for current residents, Medway council ought to address these before adding further residents to an already failing a

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
I don't really know that area so won't comment.

Question 39
Encouragement of local farmers to recreate fruit orchards, this ought to give employment to local residents, even if it's only seasonal. Perhaps more people could be employed keeping footpaths clear to allow visitors and residents to enjoy our countryside.
Question 40
No comment

Question 41
Prioritise farming, give help to struggling farmers, allow them the ability to purchase land to increase yield as they are unable to compete with developers - any land up for grabs is usually purchased by the highest bidder. And farmers struggle as it is

Question 42
No comment

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
Encourage trim trails around parks, or even through residential areas. Stop the local health authority forcing GPs to bunch together in healthy living centres, people do not like seeing different doctors at the same surgery, despite the economic arguments for this. Residents need to feel that their GP knows them intimately and will provide the best care, the larger surgery approach is less personal.

Question 44
Give farmers right of priority over developers when land is proposed for development, rather than big bucks talking... Stop allowing fast food takeaways becoming too easy to turn to instead of healthier options. Give more space up for allotments.

Question 45
Trim trails in parks etc. Cycle routes through countryside which are well sign posted so no need for a map, walking routes with parking facilities at start of circular routes, taking in farm shops and cafes en route to encourage spending.

Question 46
More doctors in GPs. Sort the Failing Medway Hospital out once and for all. It's an embarrassment knowing it is ladled in the press as THE worst performing hospital in the UK, and doesn't give much trust in the care given. You ask any local resident and they could give examples of poor care, unnecessary deaths, long waiting lists, cancelled appointments etc.

Infrastructure Service Comments
At the moment they are not fit for purpose. Especially Medway Hospital.

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
I think that instead of increasing the size of current schools, provision should be made for
completely new ones, especially in the Secondary school sector. Where schools get too large to manage the standards start to slip, there should be a limit to pup

**Question 48**
No comment

**Social Community Infrastructure Comments**
(Not answered)

**Open Space**

**Question 49**
No idea what this means, but we need to protect all open spaces where possible.

**Question 50**
No idea. But the more open space available to a local area the better.

**Question 51**
No idea what this means.

**Question 52**
This depends on the location of the site, whether there are open spaces in place already or if the new development would need to add it to their plans. There is no straight answer to this question.

**Question 53**
Letters out to existing residents, volunteers etc.

**Open Space Comments**
(Not answered)

**Sports Facilities**

**Question 54**
Medway council should look at actively promoting sport wherever possible, including existing clubs and encouraging new ones.

**Question 55**
The New stadium needs to happen, and it needs to be within Gillingham to maintain its name. Having a local team perform well in national leagues is a good way of promoting the area. It brings visitors to the area, with extra spending involved there.

**Sports Facilities Comments**
(Not answered)

**Natural Resource**
Question 56
I think Medway council should give as much weight as possible to maintaining the BMV agricultural land, regardless of considering sustainable locations. Medway council should not be trying to promote growth in population until it has sorted out its failing services, why add to that problem.

Natural Resource Comments
We should protect all remaining land which is not developed, and encourage its return to use as fruiting orchards.

Air Quality
Question 57
I think that the local plan should look on these areas as a way of monitoring air quality, and use them as a way of blocking future development if pollutants are above agreed levels or are increasing.

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
No comment. Have no idea what this means.

Question 59
No comment

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
No comment

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
No comment

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
Mitigation. A wonderful word. Sad to see that developments are allowed which will cause, for example, air pollution to get worse. Mitigation would be to provide extra money towards cycle paths, which in reality does not increase the use of bikes. It's just a buzz word used by spin doctors to make the developments look good for a community.

**Question 64**
By using less fuel for a start, and making homes better insulated, using renewable energy or encouraging use of solar panels on new builds as standard.

**Question 65**
Not really sure what this means, but am disgusted that southern water enforces use of meters to houses. Was quite happy with paying a set fee once a year, and having a meter has not made me change my use of water at all.

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
Medway council needs to ensure that public services and amenities are in place, working well and not in the failing condition that our hospital is in now. It's pointless encouraging further population increases to the second largest town in the southeast

**Flood Risk**
**Question 66**
You should avoid development on at risk for flooding areas. And avoid areas which are prone to flooding in adverse occasional weather, for example, Cherry Tree Road in Rainham is flooded, despite southern water building something in the nearby park to hel

**Question 67**
Higher sea and river defences

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**
**Question 68**
Personally I think any way we can use nature to provide energy without pollution is worth exploring. However, twins turbines do ruin the appearance of the countryside, and may compromise birds in particular.

**Question 69**
Solar panels on all new builds.

**Question 70**
Burn all our rubbish. But filter the pollution produced to stop it being released into the air.

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**

**Question 71**
Restructure health services to actually provide a good service to patients, more schools to prevent overcrowding, encourage better quality shops to high streets by encouraging takeaways, tattoo parlours and nail bars off the main high streets. Stop taking

**Question 72**
Subsidise bus travel by increasing the council tax, if people have already paid for some of it they might want to make use of what they have paid for. Encourage cycling by providing more cycle parking at shops and along cycle routes where people might st

**Question 73**
More parking at hospitals please, and at stations.

**Question 74**
No idea

**Question 75**
No idea

**Transport Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**

**Question 76**
Keep taking current residents views into account, and resist the urge to increase population until the council have everything running much better than it is now.

**Question 77**
Not sure what rates you have now, or what a CIL contribution is, so cannot comment.

**Question 78**
Just make sure health services are sorted first, this seems to be the main focus for concern of residents.

**Question 79**
Please stop speeding up the planning process. Residents are usually unaware that a proposal is in existence, let alone due dates for objections. Not enough is done to announce proposals to the public, fewer people read newspapers to see planning applications, it’s usually spread by word of mouth. Medway should investigate whether to use social media, to direct people to the website as this seems to be usual way news is spread these days.
Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy

Question 80
How can the development principals be right at present when developers have found that they can dismiss our present planning policies because they deem them out of date, thus allowing the national framework to be the only legislation which allows developm

Question 81
There are many disadvantages to residents if further development was to occur to increase population size

Question 82
None

Question 83
No

Question 84
No. It should be kept at all costs with legislation put in place to protect it from development

Question 85
No view

Question 86
No view, apart from the fact that developers should be made to use the waterfront site before they start on green fields

Question 87
No comment

Development Strategy Comments
Medway should have a watertight development plan which protects countryside.

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Rebecca Johnson

Reference
10

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Housing  Education  Health

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Health services  Housing  Education

Question 3
Health services - more GPs and sexual health services  Housing - increase of housing, protecting renters, affordability  Education - secondary and adult education

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
Yes

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
How has this figure been reached? Analysis should be done of who is buying in Medway and who wants to buy. Then an appropriate level can be set rather than a random figure just being selected. If 25% of housing is affordable does this open the housing market for those who currently locked out

Question 7
Design - disabled access, alarms, ramps etc  Connectivity - older people need good public transport to access healthcare, shopping, community

Question 8
Renters - Medway should have an approved list of landlords to provide extra protection for renters and landlords

Question 9
When new housing is built improve the walk, cycle and bus access  The people wouldn’t drive as much improving their health and the environment
Question 13
It should be mixed with other housing. When student housing is concentrated its often poor quality dirty, higher crime and empty outside of term time. Medway should seek best practice from other uni towns is Canterbury

Question 14 (Did not answer)

Economy

Question 15 (Did not answer)

Question 16 (Did not answer)

Question 17 (Did not answer)

Question 18 (Did not answer)

Question 19 (Did not answer)

Question 20 (Did not answer)

Question 21 (Did not answer)

Economy Comments
When Medway identifies the industries it wishes to encourage in these economic hubs they should tie in local apprenticeships and training (adult learning). Then local
residents would be best placed to benefit from new jobs. Additionally some companies h

**Tourism**

Question 22  
(Did not answer)

Question 23  
(Did not answer)

Question 24  
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments  
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**

Question 25  
(Did not answer)

Question 26  
(Did not answer)

Question 27  
(Did not answer)

Question 28  
(Did not answer)

Question 29  
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments  
(Did not answer)

**Environment**

Question 30  
(Did not answer)

Question 31  
(Did not answer)

Question 32  
(Did not answer)
Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
Medway has a big severance issue with the A2 and driving is prioritised over walking and
cycling. This is seen by the multi storey car park for Rochester station resulting in more parking spaces. While some will want to drive we should have better pavements / cycle lanes to encourage local journeys to be made by active travel

**Question 44**
A permanent farmers markets would be great. The food is freasher, healthier and cheaper than the supermarket but as it changes location every week you cant rely on it

**Question 45**
Better pavements / cycle lanes. Its hard to access greenspace (surrounded by main rds) and where there is good space its badly light so can’t be used in the winter. The outdoor gyms are good but in odd locations (badly light) and not promoted There are lots of running clubs and the council should promote and encourage them

**Question 46**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure Service Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Social and Community Infrastructure**

**Question 47**
(Did not answer)

**Question 48**
(Did not answer)

**Social Community Infrastructure Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Open Space**

**Question 49**
(Did not answer)

**Question 50**
(Did not answer)

**Question 51**
(Did not answer)

**Question 52**
Yes! All residents of Medway should benefit from development. Open space with seating, better pavements should have to be provided

**Question 53**
Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)
Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)
Transport
Question 71 (Did not answer)
Question 72 (Did not answer)
Question 73 (Did not answer)
Question 74 (Did not answer)
Question 75 (Did not answer)
Transport Comments (Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76 (Did not answer)
Question 77 (Did not answer)
Question 78 (Did not answer)
Question 79 (Did not answer)
Deliverability Comments (Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80 (Did not answer)
Question 81 (Did not answer)
Question 82
(Did not answer)

**Question 83**
(Did not answer)

**Question 84**
(Did not answer)

**Question 85**
(Did not answer)

**Question 86**
(Did not answer)

**Question 87**
(Did not answer)

**Development Strategy Comments**
As an aside. This has taken me an hour to do and I had to start again twice because I couldn't save my place. Good survey practice is to use multiple choice and if online to be able to be completed in 20mins. Face to face sur eye can be longer. As the q

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: A O Watkins

Reference
11

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway
Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues
Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing
Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
(Did not answer)

Question 13
(Did not answer)

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)
Question 35  
(Did not answer)

Question 36  
(Did not answer)

Question 37  
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments  
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

Question 38  
(Did not answer)

Question 39  
(Did not answer)

Question 40  
(Did not answer)

Question 41  
(Did not answer)

Question 42  
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

Question 43  
(Did not answer)

Question 44  
(Did not answer)

Question 45  
(Did not answer)

Question 46  
(Did not answer)
Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)
Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**

*Question 76*  
(Did not answer)

*Question 77*  
(Did not answer)

*Question 78*  
(Did not answer)

*Question 79*  
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

*Question 80*  
(Did not answer)

*Question 81*  
(Did not answer)

*Question 82*  
(Did not answer)

*Question 83*  
(Did not answer)

*Question 84*  
(Did not answer)

*Question 85*  
(Did not answer)

*Question 86*  
(Did not answer)

*Question 87*  
(Did not answer)

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Sharon Turner

Reference
12

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Transport is the biggest problem facing Medway. Without a well thought out and achievable transport plan Medway will regularly grind to a halt. Businesses will not relocate to Medway if they feel that their employees will face a long and difficult journey to work. My journey currently takes me 30 - 45 minutes to do 4.2 miles across Chatham. Plans for Rochester Riverside and the river bank in general will only make this worse. The development at Capstone Park will feed into my route too.

Question 3
Every planning application should be looked at first in terms of transport links and number of car journeys it will generate.

Strategic Issues Comments
Public transport needs to be cheaper and more reliable.

Housing

Question 4
Yes, but concerned that the infrastructure will not cope with the needs of a larger population - in particular the Medway Hospital which is already failing to reach acceptable standards

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
Yes

Question 7
Sheltered accommodation in areas where services such as health care and shopping can be easily accessed.

Question 8
More starter homes for young people

Question 9
Well thought out developments with plenty of greenery and open spaces can give people a pleasant place to live.

**Question 10**
Rochester Airport area (near motorways)

**Question 11**
(Did not answer)

**Question 12**
(Did not answer)

**Question 13**
Student housing should be determined by the universities, not the Council

**Question 14**
(Did not answer)

**Housing Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Economy**

**Question 15**
Give access to good quality sites that are well served by amenities will attract in employers.

**Question 16**
Rochester Airport site needs to be developed and promoted. It is close to good car links but needs a good, reliable and regular bus service from Chatham and Rochester. It would need to be a development with shopping included so that people had somewhere to go in their lunch break. It's a bit bleak at the moment. Local people need to have their skill set improved so that they have the skills that employers are looking for.

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
Medway is fast becoming a dormitory town for London just like other places which are under an hour for a commute. Better well paid jobs locally would lessen the pull of London.

**Question 19**
Mid Kent College needs a root and branch sort out. In my experience it has some good, committed teaching staff who are failed by the poor infrastructure around them. They also need some competition. Being the only further education setting in the towns other
than schools has allowed them to become complacent.

**Question 20**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 21**  
Transport links

**Economy Comments**  
Come back to transport links again. Buses are way too expensive and unreliable. roads are constantly clogged with traffic. New Road Avenue/The Brook/Dock Road in Chatham are a nightmare at certain times of the day. Businesses need to be able to move p

**Tourism**  
**Question 22**  
Need some better quality hotels in the area - particularly 4* plus. Heard that social care clients have been accommodated in the hotel near dockside Chatham. Not a good image for the area.

**Question 23**  
Develop a fuller offer with night life as well as attractions during the day. Chatham town centre and the infamous Rochester High Street later in the night are not great attractions and likely to put people off staying locally

**Question 24**  
More activities based on the river and also river transport would be attractive

**Tourism Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**  
**Question 25**  
Try to do something with the Pentagon (I know blowing it up is not an option!) It is ugly and does not pull people in to shop. Parking charges are too high. Why not have free parking one day a week. People can go to Bluewater and park all day for free. Also we need some more high end stores in the High St. It looks tatty.

**Question 26**  
?

**Question 27**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 28**
Question 29
Concentrate on the town centres. Out of town retail kills town centres and does not always provide a good level of employment for local people either.

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)
Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
Community allotment sites where people can group together to grow fruit and veg and share their knowledge and the work load involved.

Question 45
Make it safer and more pleasant to cycle or walk around in Medway. In most areas near the centre it is neither safe or pleasant so people jump in their cars for every journey - thus adding to the pollution.

Question 46
More health care should be provided in health centres to avoid the need to travel to Medway Hospital. The access to the hospital is appalling as are the parking charges.

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)
Open Space

Question 49
Open spaces are vital to the health of the local population and are needed to maintain wildlife populations.

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
Expansion of the current community hub/health centre models makes sense and should always be provided in areas easily accessed.

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities

Question 54
Cycle pathways in safe areas should be compulsory for every new development in Medway.

Question 55
This needs to be out of Medway's centre in an area easily accessed by public transport. I have no idea how this could be achieved!

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource

Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality

Question 57
(Did not answer)
Air Quality Comments
( Did not answer )

Minerals
Question 58
( Did not answer )

Question 59
( Did not answer )

Minerals Comments
( Did not answer )

Waste
Question 60
( Did not answer )

Waste Comments
( Did not answer )

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
( Did not answer )

Question 62
( Did not answer )

Question 63
( Did not answer )

Question 64
( Did not answer )

Question 65
( Did not answer )

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
( Did not answer )

Flood Risk
Question 66
( Did not answer )
Question 67
( Did not answer )

Flood Risk Comments
( Did not answer )

Energy
Question 68
( Did not answer )

Question 69
( Did not answer )

Question 70
( Did not answer )

Energy Comments
( Did not answer )

Transport
Question 71
( Did not answer )

Question 72
( Did not answer )

Question 73
( Did not answer )

Question 74
( Did not answer )

Question 75
( Did not answer )

Transport Comments
( Did not answer )

Deliverability
Question 76
( Did not answer )

Question 77
( Did not answer )
Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Paula Robb

Reference
13

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
No not at all due to insufficient road infrastructure

Question 5
Older people with more disposable income

Question 6
No because this means more schools required, there are enough already in medway

Question 7
Bungalows, not enough of them. The ones we do have are being destroyed by planning consent making them houses.

Question 8
No other

Question 9
It cannot, the GP’s are not happy, considering giving up their practices

Question 10
None Medway has built enough. Shame on Medway and TMBC no consulting each other on the Peter’s Village and new proposal of option C crossing.

Question 11
Not required in this area. Use empty homes and Victorian properties in disrepair first
before building more

**Question 12**
Stop allowing development on unsuitable flood areas

**Question 13**
Use empty homes and Victorian properties in disrepair first before building more

**Question 14**
If travellers stop dumping rubbish in their own sites they could be expanded or restrict number of lorries and cars they have.

**Housing Comments**
Use empty homes and Victorian properties in disrepair first before building more

**Economy**

**Question 15**
(Did not answer)

**Question 16**
(Did not answer)

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
(Did not answer)

**Question 19**
(Did not answer)

**Question 20**
(Did not answer)

**Question 21**
(Did not answer)

**Economy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**

**Question 22**
(Did not answer)
Question 23  
(Did not answer)

Question 24  
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments  
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre  
Question 25  
(Did not answer)

Question 26  
(Did not answer)

Question 27  
(Did not answer)

Question 28  
(Did not answer)

Question 29  
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments  
(Did not answer)

Environment  
Question 30  
(Did not answer)

Question 31  
(Did not answer)

Question 32  
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments  
(Did not answer)

Built Environment  
Question 33  
(Did not answer)
Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
More opportunity to attend exercise classes and healthy lifestyle such as Jane Brennan offers in Medway.

Question 44
As above

Question 45
As above
Question 46
Make Medway hospital work first then address this, don’t move into other plans until Medway has been successful with current facilities

Infrastructure Service Comments
Use empty homes and Victorian properties in disrepair first before building more. Work closer with neighbouring councils to find out how their local plans are impacting Medway residents.

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
Stop taking children from outside a 1 mile radius of a school so parents have no excuse to walk to school not drive to other areas

Question 48
More facilities to the doorstep of homes

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
Preserve integrity

Question 50
Yes it is

Question 51
Yes as it is cost effective

Question 52
Invest in existing to get that working first then there is an effective model

Question 53
Would be a nice idea but young people are not interested in getting involved

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
More trained in health and welfare
Question 55
This only benefits those interested in football and the local businesses that supply the FC.

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)
Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

**Question 74**
(Did not answer)

**Question 75**
(Did not answer)

**Transport Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**
**Question 76**
(Did not answer)

**Question 77**
(Did not answer)

**Question 78**
(Did not answer)

**Question 79**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**
**Question 80**
(Did not answer)

**Question 81**
(Did not answer)

**Question 82**
(Did not answer)

**Question 83**
(Did not answer)

**Question 84**
(Did not answer)

**Question 85**
(Did not answer)

**Question 86**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 87**  
(Did not answer)

**Development Strategy Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**  
(Did not answer)
Name: Mrs Katie Miller

Reference
14

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Government/Public Body
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Agree that while providing for the projected growth, there is a need to protect the best of the natural environment to ensure that Medway remains an attractive place in which to live and work.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)
Question 12
(Do not answer)

Question 13
(Do not answer)

Question 14
The nature of gypsy sites is such that they rarely conserve and enhance landscape character. AONBs merit the highest level of protection, as specified in the NPPF and accordingly gypsy sites should not be released in the AONB unless there are no other alternatives available and then only when impact can be appropriately mitigated and the AONB conserved and enhanced for example through appropriate landscaping, design and maintenance.

Housing Comments
(Do not answer)

Economy

Question 15
Agree with proposals to provide new capacity for qualitative need as part of mixed use proposals around the main urban centres (8.22) and that development should be steered towards existing developed areas. However we would wish to ensure that the existing stock of employment sites is efficiently utilised and enhanced before new sites in potentially more sensitive locations are pursued. In identifying Rochester Airport as a location to expand high value businesses, care will be required to ensure the setting of the AONB is conserved and enhanced, in view of the proximity of the airport to the AONB boundary.

Question 16
(Do not answer)

Question 17
(Do not answer)

Question 18
(Do not answer)

Question 19
(Do not answer)

Question 20
(Do not answer)

Question 21
Tourism

Question 22
In respect of overnight visitor accommodation, in the AONB there should be a presumption against the provision and expansion of static caravan and holiday chalet sites other than in the most exceptional of circumstances and that, when permitted, these sho

Question 23
In respect of tourism generally, the AONB Management Plan 2014-19 identifies sustainable rural leisure and tourism as a key way to supporting the socio-economic well-being of rural areas as well as supporting the management of the landscape. Providing jobs and supporting community services, sustainable rural tourism of itself can be a catalyst for community development. There is an important opportunity to sustainably increase the numbers and income from visitors to benefit local people, local services, whilst supporting the AONB landscape. However, some areas within the AONB suffer either from over capacity or over use, leading to a detrimental impact on both the landscape and host communities. The Council is requested to consider how sustainable tourism within the AONB can be defined and supported within emerging planning policies. In so doing, we would draw specific attention to Policies VC6-7 of the AONB Management Plan.

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)
Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
Tranquillity - A missing issue from this section appears to be tranquillity. Para 123 of the NPPF expects Councils to identify and protect areas of tranquillity. We are currently exploring how to take this issue forward within the AONB and Kent generally and would welcome the opportunity to discuss this further with Medway in due course.

Question 31
In accordance with the NPPF, it is felt that that a Green Infrastructure approach should be starting point for establishing connectivity through urban and rural parts of Medway. This would complement the fundamental objective of countryside protection and urban regeneration.

Question 32
We note that Medway has a relatively up to date Landscape Character Assessment Study and this should be used to inform policy decisions and allocations and to justify landscape designations as appropriate; the AONB Unit would support the identification of

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
High quality design should be a requirement in all new development and redevelopment in rural areas as well as central and suburban areas. Design that enhances sense of place is a most important matter to support sustainable development in the context of
In order for rural communities to thrive and be vibrant, it is essential that they have good access to services, social and community links, places to meet, places for recreation and work, access to jobs, good digital connectivity and community activities.
Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
The extraction of minerals within the AONB should only be allowed in exceptional circumstances. National and local planning policies are very clear that highest priority should be given to the conservation and enhancement of Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

**Air Quality Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**

**Question 58**
(Did not answer)

**Question 59**
Actions to reduce the amount of waste generated in the first place are needed. These include having building construction policies which minimise waste generation and ensure that the design of new buildings includes safe and convenient storage facilities.

**Minerals Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Waste**

**Question 60**
Sustainable development has economic, social and environmental dimensions. In the context of the Kent Downs AONB, sustainability should be judged primarily against the objective of AONB designation, i.e. conserving and enhancing the natural beauty of the

**Waste Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**

**Question 61**
(Did not answer)

**Question 62**
(Did not answer)

**Question 63**
(Did not answer)

**Question 64**
(Did not answer)

**Question 65**
(Did not answer)
Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk

Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
The AONB Unit is generally nervous as to the implications of allocating sites/zones for wind energy development due to interpretations that are inevitably placed on such exercises that in turn lead to undesirable pressures within the AONB and other sensit

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy

Question 68
The AONB Unit is keen to ensure that policies for energy development adopt a proper hierarchy to the consideration of proposals. Policies should contain the necessary wording to ensure that the purpose of and weight to be given to the AONB and its settin

Question 69
The AONB Unit is encouraged to see that Medway is looking at opportunities for use of waste heat as a result of large scale energy development on the Hoo peninsula. The AONB Unit would encourage the Council to widen its commitment to reducing energy acro

Question 70
We would promote the creation of strategic cycle/multi-user routes which would provide high quality links from urban areas to the nearby countryside. An issue affecting the AONB is the need to improve the rural road network both for its landscape qualit

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport

Question 71
The intention to encourage use of public transport, walking and cycling is supported and is consistent with policies AEU3, AEU7, AEU10, AEU 11 and AEU12 of the Management Plan. We would like to see the creation of strategic new cycle/multi-user routes al

Question 72
(Did not answer)
Question 74
Rochester Airport is located immediately on the boundary of the AONB, a protected landscape of national importance, and falls within its setting. The flight path for the airport is over the AONB and intensification of use of the airport would impact on t

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
We would draw attention to the issue of the availability of affordable housing within the AONB. House prices have continued to rise, in some areas, faster than the national average leading to considerable demand for affordable housing in many parishes in the AONB. A landscape in which social and economic vitality exists within thriving, vibrant communities is part of the secondary purpose of AONB designation. Policy VC3 of the AONB Management Plan 2014-19 looks to encourage initiatives, in line with existing policies of the Local Planning Authority, which increase and improve the supply of affordable housing for (i) those with proven local needs, and (ii) workers whose activities directly contribute to the purposes of the AONB designation. We would be happy to further explore how the above Management Plan policy might be achieved within the context of emerging Council planning policy.

Question 77
The Kent Downs AONB would like to see an element of CIL set aside for off-site green infrastructure and mitigation and enhancement of the AONB where development is in or on the boundary of the AONB; the value of development within the AONB and close to it

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
In deciding where significant new development should take place, including the
establishment of freestanding garden villages, it will be important to avoid sites within the AONB and also to ensure the setting of the AONB is not affected, in accordance wit

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Malcolm Budd AKA Bill

Reference
15

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Councillor/MP/Parish Council
Developing a Vision for Medway

**Question 1**
Sensible sympathetic and sustainable development to accommodate growth whilst protecting the environment, countryside and heritage of Medway. Improvement of rural services and infrastructure Upgrading road and other transport systems to maintain traffic flow and cater for peak times and emergencies particularly on the Hoo Peninsula

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

**Strategic Issues**

**Question 2**
hospital, GP surgery, transport links, car parking and leisure facilities, industrial development employment and housing

**Question 3**
To ensure that services and facilities keep up with growth and increased demand

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

**Housing**

**Question 4**
(Did not answer)

**Question 5**
affordable and well maintained urban starter accommodation for young singles and couples in close proximity to public transport, work opportunities, shops and leisure facilities. family accommodation both rental and ownership near to schools colleges and shops with good links to major roads for peak time traffic. Affordable senior citizen apartments with good accessibility and care potential to reduce social care residential and home problems and help release their family homes on to the market

**Question 6**
Unsure

**Question 7**
Assisted, secure and disability friendly self contained apartments with on site communal facilities, attended gardens and leisure activities to give interest to older residents who are often alone.

**Question 8**
See 5 above
Question 9
Suitable homes for young people with employment and for senior citizens who could benefit from background on-site care.

Question 10
Strood ex civic hall site and ex alloy wheel manufacturing site  brownfield locations within the urban catchment

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
Integrated with existing developments to relieve pressure on rural services and roads

Question 13
Close to universities and colleges

Question 14
Such areas should be sited similar to residential park homes and carefully controlled to ensure integration with the community

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

Economy
Question 15
Existing redundant industrial sites should be regenerated e.g. Cuxton and Halling as there is good proximity to motorways. Any further developments should have traffic increase problems forseen and catered for.

Question 16
Few, as this has already added to traffic congestion and poor air quality

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
Encouragement of larger businesses to revive riverside industrial sites and provide long
term employment rather than developers providing up market riverside property for commuters to the City of London

**Question 21**
Encourage Commercial use of the Riverside but provide adequate road systems and/or Park and ride facilities

**Economy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**
**Question 22**
Family friendly Budget accommodation on business parks and retail outlet sites.

**Question 23**
Very limited

**Question 24**
Encourage and increase river transport for tourists and provide nature reserves with walks, cycle paths, water sports and catering facilities

**Tourism Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**

**Question 25**
Town centre parking is both restricted and expensive which encourages motorists to use out of town shopping centres where there are climate controlled retail, catering and leisure facilities under cover

**Question 26**
(Did not answer)

**Question 27**
Town centres are becoming less popular with pound shops and charity shops increasing. Parking and under cover shopping with leisure facilities and restaurants are successful in other cities and towns. Such centres should be planned in Medway

**Question 28**
No preferences

**Question 29**
Favourable
Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
Provision of country parks and rural walks  Town centre green spaces for relaxation.

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
Maintain and enhance Heritage sites to encourage tourism

Question 34
Proximity to services, leisure facilities and transport links with sufficient and adequate areas of relaxation. High employment opportunities for young people and people with families

Question 35
Historic Dockyard, Rochester historical areas, Military history etc to be preserved and promoted.

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
Dependent on better road systems as existing A228 is often gridlocked at peak times. A retail outlet would be an advantage and reduce traffic movements into the towns

Question 39
New business units and existing crop growers should have to commit to taking on and training local people to prevent importing outside labour.

**Question 40**
The Hoo peninsula past High Halstow is not well served by public transport. Recent changes have further isolated some villages for several hours a day

**Question 41**
Consideration to maintain rural village communities and not to see rural areas as urban development overspill. Protection of Agriculture

**Question 42**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

**Question 43**
(Did not answer)

**Question 44**
(Did not answer)

**Question 45**
Provision of Country parks and walking/cycling/outdoor activity areas

**Question 46**
More GP and Clinic premises need to be provided to meet additional demand as more housing is approved. Rural locations have few local health care facilities, particularly for older residents, maternity care, and out patient therapy. This increases difficulty in service provision at Medway hospital

**Infrastructure Service Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Social and Community Infrastructure**

**Question 47**
Expansion is crucial in line with development.

**Question 48**
Affordable and accessible leisure facilities

**Social Community Infrastructure Comments**
(Did not answer)
Open Space

Question 49
Preserve open spaces

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
A balanced approach is preferred

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities

Question 54
Increase sporting opportunities for young people and provide keep fit centres for adults to help manage obesity and poor health.

Question 55
Favourably

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource

Question 56
Absolutely essential to maintain agriculture in Medway

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality

Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)
Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
River and sea transport can help reduce road transport problems but also increases HGV movements. Careful consideration should be given to access and egress.

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
Further encouragement and assistance in recycling and reduction in landfill. Use of waste for fuel?

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
Special consideration of flood risk

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
Highly considered and protection of marsh land

Question 67
Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
Park and Ride. Frequent and more affordable rural bus and train services, Safe cycle lanes and pedestrian footpaths.

Question 73
Increase car parks and reduce cost of parking in Medway. More enforcement of Blue Badge disabled bay and yellow line restrictions abuse should take place.

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
To be considered as adequate for the areas

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

**Question 78**
Health and social care, Road transport and education

**Question 79**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
(Did not answer)

**Question 81**
Yes

**Question 82**
Retail and civic heart.

**Question 83**
Yes

**Question 84**
(Did not answer)

**Question 85**
Mixed use could be an advantage for employment and reduction in traffic movements

**Question 86**
No strong preferences

**Question 87**
Yes

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: Maureen Pearson

Reference
16

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Maintain the distinctive character that the range of countryside, riverside, historic and commercial sites provide. Also consider the cultural and environmental impacts of new developments so that communities are developed AND supported with the infrastructure that is needed. But giving consideration to the unique environment and its protection. Being close to London and kept routes we must not let national priorities take precedence over local ones.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Needs of local communities need to be kept at the forefront otherwise Medway will become nothing more than a transport corridor and housing resource for proposed development and London overspill.

Question 3
Carefully consider any impact on existing communities and environment. Value and protect what Medway has and develop sensitively with these in mind.

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
Flats that are not too small, conveniently located for transport and amenities as well as health care.

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
Local communities consulted and their views given appropriate consideration. Housing stock that fits its style and size to existing communities.

**Question 10**
Brownfield sites should be the first priority for development. Avoid Grade 1 agricultural land and sites of specific interest. Do not build on public open spaces.

**Question 11**
Infrastructure consideration should be an integral part of development and given consideration at the earliest stages rather than buildings going up and services added later - if at all!

**Question 12**
(Did not answer)

**Question 13**
Communities need to have a mix of groups rather than creating "ghettos".

**Question 14**
(Did not answer)

**Housing Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Economy**

**Question 15**
(Did not answer)

**Question 16**
(Did not answer)

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
(Did not answer)

**Question 19**
(Did not answer)

**Question 20**
(Did not answer)

**Question 21**
(Did not answer)
Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment**

*Question 33*
(Did not answer)

*Question 34*
(Did not answer)

*Question 35*
(Did not answer)

*Question 36*
(Did not answer)

*Question 37*
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

*Question 38*
(Did not answer)

*Question 39*
(Did not answer)

*Question 40*
(Did not answer)

*Question 41*
(Did not answer)

*Question 42*
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**
Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)
Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

**Question 62**
(Did not answer)

**Question 63**
(Did not answer)

**Question 64**
(Did not answer)

**Question 65**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk**

**Question 66**
(Did not answer)

**Question 67**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**

**Question 68**
(Did not answer)

**Question 69**
(Did not answer)

**Question 70**
(Did not answer)

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**

**Question 71**
(Did not answer)
Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)
Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Brian Williams

Reference
17

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
I believe that Medway Council look at the Hoo peninsula has a means of curing all their housing problems in one, we have had a lot and I mean a lot of building work in the area over this last few years and feel that the peninsula has had enough. it cant cope anymore.......... One small incident on the bypass or on the Ratcliffe highway and we are completely in turmoil for hours on end, and accidents are happening more and more and the more houses means more cars and thus more accidents. And a relief road going on to the roundabout to hoo will not solve the problem

Question 3
Build on other sites, for example Fort Amherst in Chatham, there are lots of areas like this, but not so cheap, but more friendly to your electorate

Strategic Issues Comments
Spread it out over the whole of Medway NOT just on the Peninsula

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)
Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
(Did not answer)

Question 13
(Did not answer)

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

Economy

Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
Leave the peninsula alone, enough building has been done here and people are getting fed up of it

Question 31
all the nature reserves need to be appreciated more and used as a focal point for tourism etc

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment**

*Question 33*
(Did not answer)

*Question 34*
(Did not answer)

*Question 35*
(Did not answer)

*Question 36*
(Did not answer)

*Question 37*
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

*Question 38*
(Did not answer)

*Question 39*
(Did not answer)

*Question 40*
(Did not answer)

*Question 41*
(Did not answer)

*Question 42*
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

*Question 43*
(Did not answer)
Question 44
encourage the farmers to grow more local produce that can be sold locally instead of putting a house on the land instead

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
New Hospital on the Chatterden site..

Infrastructure Service Comments
The Hoo peninsula has in reality only one way in and out „ ok you can say through cliffe & Cooling but its only really one way as cars and farm vehicles will always block one side or another. and the road have more holes that a sieve Coming on to the Pe

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
Definety, open space is paramount for a healthy living, more and more of the peninsula is being taken away for housing, we are cramped enough already

Question 50
yes

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)
Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
Im not a football fan but Gillingham with all the south east at their call should be a far bigger club than they are and helping them to a bigger ground etc will benefit not only Gillingham but the whole of medway

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)
Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

**Question 72**
(Did not answer)

**Question 73**
(Did not answer)

**Question 74**
(Did not answer)

**Question 75**
(Did not answer)

**Transport Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**

**Question 76**
(Did not answer)

**Question 77**
(Did not answer)

**Question 78**
(Did not answer)

**Question 79**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
(Did not answer)

**Question 81**
(Did not answer)

**Question 82**
(Did not answer)

**Question 83**
(Did not answer)

**Question 84**
(Did not answer)

**Question 85**
(Did not answer)

**Question 86**
(Did not answer)

**Question 87**
(Did not answer)

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: Buck Buckingham

Reference
18

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Charity/Community/Faith Group
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Opportunities for local youth organisations to have access to safe, free, well maintained facilities.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Opportunities for local youth organisations to have access to safe, free, well maintained facilities.

Question 3
Correspondence between the Council & youth organisations of Medway.

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)
Question 12
(Did not answer)

Question 13
(Did not answer)

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)
Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
Opportunities for local youth organisations to have access to safe, free, well maintained facilities.

**Question 35**
Naval heritage

**Question 36**
Industrial & commercial area which are visible from the water need to be smartened up & made to look less derelict.

**Question 37**
Safe, free access to well maintained waterside facilities.

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**
**Question 38**
Opportunities for local youth.

**Question 39**
Better transport infrastructure.

**Question 40**
(Did not answer)

**Question 41**
(Did not answer)

**Question 42**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**
**Question 43**
Well maintained open spaces.

**Question 44**
Less fast food outlets & more sit-down, conventional restaurants.

**Question 45**
More free/low cost facilities for boating & cycling.
Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
Increase opportunities for local youth organisations to have access to safe, free, well maintained facilities.

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
Opportunities for local youth organisations to have access to safe, free, well maintained facilities.

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
Preserve & maintain the open spaces as much as possible.

Question 50
Yes, but lower it to 3ha per 1,000 population.

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
Yes to on-site open space investment

Question 53
Yes, increase involvement, but include advertising for local youth organisations (Sea Cadets, Army Cadets, Air Cadets, Scouting, Guiding, St. John’s Ambulance).

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
Greater emphasis on provision for sports like cycling & running.

Question 55
Gillingham FC is a business & should resolve its own aspirations & not rely on the local
authority. The stadium should be encouraged to move to a less populated area to avoid
the transport issues.

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)
Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**

**Question 76**
Greater engagement with the local community via schools & local youth organisations.

**Question 77**
Council tenants should not have the right to buy & should be forced to move property if they no longer NEED a property of the size they are inhabiting.

**Question 78**
Safe & sensible cycle lanes. Consider what can be done to make cycling through the Medway tunnel possible.

**Question 79**
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
(Did not answer)

**Question 81**
(Did not answer)

**Question 82**
(Did not answer)

**Question 83**
Vacant commercial property in town centres should be considered for conversion into housing & include a sensible number of controlled parking spaces.

**Question 84**
No
**Question 85**
(Did not answer)

**Question 86**
Opportunities for local youth organisations to have access to safe, free, well maintained waterfront facilities.

**Question 87**
Consolidate the commercial properties in the town centres, but encourage smaller community parades of shops.

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: Not given

Reference
19

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
We need to ensure that the villages retain their size and character

Question 5
Converting the barracks at Chattenden to a new town

Question 6
Yes

Question 7
New town / housing development

Question 8
N/a

Question 9
Make sure existing villages are not ruined with new houses

Question 10
Old barracks chattenden

Question 11
New sites away from current ones

Question 12
Not sure

Question 13
Close to the university

Question 14
Section off some fields

Housing Comments
No

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)
Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)
Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)
Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk**
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

**Transport Comments**
Deliverability

Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy

Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Geoffrey Taylor

Reference
20

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Provide Work and Housing  Have a well designed plan to provide continuous improvement and facilities for business  Provide the right type of House for the population ie.. affordable, single person, sheltered for elderly, and high quality Homes for Families

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
A Plan  Providing infrastructure, water, Waste water, Waste Disposal recycling and making Energy, Gas, Electricity Communications, Roads and Rail

Question 3
Allow projects to proceed at a pace to keep up with demand.  Planning to guide projects but allow growth.

Strategic Issues Comments
Look to encourage New businesses in to the area

Housing

Question 4
Hard to say... You have time to consider his problem.... 29,000 homes appears to be a huge amount

Question 5
Fill in areas but not on Flood Plains

Question 6
255 seems OK

Question 7
Well Sheltered Care which is affordable, Care homes, Nursing homes will and is required. Single Person Homes for the elderly

Question 8
Single, Elderly and for Families the range of people have different housing needs  Private Housing, Low Cost/Startup housing

Question 9
Hospitals and Doctor Surgeries
Question 10
Near Railway station and Good Road Connections

Question 11
Yes, it’s important for a good mixture but at the right quantities

Question 12
Yes but the infrastructure needs to be made available.

Question 13
Near the Colleges & Universities and good travel connections with shops and entertainment

Question 14
None... if they pay for Council Tax.. provide some.... we should not give something away for nothing

Housing Comments
Cheaper Council Tax for Pensioners, their income only goes up with CPI or RPI, so Tax increases should only go up with their increases.

Economy
Question 15
Lodge Hill is a Brown field Site, Yes this should be used Use Brown Fields Sites, infill areas around other developments as infrastructure is available and could easily be expanded Don’t use Flood Plains

Question 16
Good Road Connections Build Lower Thames Crossing Now Eastern Route 4 to the Junction 1 on the M2 Rail connections are to be expanded

Question 17
The Plan seems to encourage, but for the Isle of Grain, and the Cliffe Area the Road Network is already over loaded, some improvements will be needed to encourage growth. Tunnel to Sheppy from the Isle of Grain would provide new areas for development.

Question 18
Build the Lower Thames Crossing Eastern Route 4 Now

Question 19
Is the Provision enough already ??? is it used by our own people or are we providing for out of area already ? Is this funded by Local Government or Central Government Is they a prediction for more Local People needing it ?
Question 20
London Commuting is important for the local area.

Question 21
Lower Thames Crossing Eastern Route 4 is the Way to go New Large container port is Essex has already improved that area, we could build on that Road / Wharves Very soon We don’t need Wharves with Containers on Ships and Road... as the river Medway is no

Economy Comments
Add Value to Raw materials let’s make things !!!!!

Tourism

Question 22
We have a very good coverage already

Question 23
Use the Internet

Question 24
A huge amount but who Pays ?

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
Out of Town Shopping as destroyed town centers, we need to move on.

Question 26
Do People want the Town Centre shops... I cant see it. Internet shopping and out of town shops have made the way forward.

Question 27
Smaller better quality would help, 25 % of the shops in the centers are closed or dying.... I dont know a solution to this problem... do you?

Question 28
another Aldi ? we have Asda now Is the provision enough or would LIdi arrive.

Question 29
Free Car Parks I don't go there as it’s as cheap to drive to a big out of town Shopping Centre.
Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
Good Improved infrastructure Roads Rail Telecoms Water, Waster Disposal with energy recovery Green Energy provision Farms Wind Turbines let's do it

Question 31
Big Problem, Lets just say it has to move away as Housing and Businesses are Now Needed

Question 32
Design Planning must be part of the plan

Environment Comments
If we need Housing and businesses we will have to push the Birds out, but they will come back. Lets not get over the top with Water Voles as how do they help us live comfortably..... and they will come back on their own.

Built Environment
Question 33
Integrate or destroy

Question 34
Quiet, Clean, Nice to be in areas, well designed comfortable areas, easy to be part of day to day living.

Question 35
Old Town Centers for History but have we the money for that to continue? Do the Council spend any money or it's left to developers Chatham centre was revamped a few years ago and the Fountain was scrapped after a few years as the locals did not appreciate it.

Question 36
Poor Housing Stock, needs to be rebuilt, but how? the money has to come from some where.

Question 37
Dockside is Great for Food and Entertainment but a Shopping Centre? I wonder as it has really not taken off...Large free parking Supermarkets and Bluewater have take trade away.

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)
**Rural Issues**

**Question 38**
Improvements to Roads need to be urgently started, can’t the Railway be encouraged ?? a New Station at Hoo St Werburgh Thames port is now dying as the new Essex Container port has taken over, the road out to Hoo and Isle of Grain is not convenient.

**Question 39**
This is up to supply and demand and should be done by private companies, the Council should provide help once the need is shown and committed.

**Question 40**
Allow infrastructure to be built when a confirmed area is agreed and demand is there and required.... if you want to increase say Housing in Cliffe the Roads telecom, water etc has to follow quickly with the agreed increase of development

**Question 41**
If there is a agreed requirement it's need to be put in place as it's being built and now wait to see if it can cope. The road to Cliffe B 2000 is that fit for purpose Now ??? I don't think so.

**Question 42**
Well it can help, but is it going to be effective... lets hope so

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

**Question 43**
Electric cars, stay at home, don’t use the car....but that is not going to happen Green energy provision ... Even less people as it will not be used up as quickly

**Question 44**
Yes.. use land that is not good Farming Land but not on Flood plains ( or Provide adequate flood Protection )

**Question 45**
School Playing Grounds, open spaces, ... have we not sold them off... and the Baby Boom may now require a need for more schools.. playing Fields Parks. Provision is very important.. it will have to be part of regeneration or new build.

**Question 46**
This has to be provided to suit the new development, this is just an engineering planning issue,, it has to be provided.
**Infrastructure Service Comments**
Moving Target, which cost money and who pays... the Council Tax Payer, this has to be kept to a budget that people can afford, ie restricted to CPI or RPI

**Social and Community Infrastructure**

**Question 47**
This is Social Engineering Planning, can you see a Baby Boom coming !!!!

**Question 48**
Hospitals must be fit for purpose and enlarged or others built to accommodate the predicted population Social Engineering

**Social Community Infrastructure Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Open Space**

**Question 49**
Green areas, play areas for Kids and Walking paths are all required for good social and healthy life style.

**Question 50**
Come on... the Local 106 agreement ensures the Developer and the residents pay for this provision... so let it be !!!!

**Question 51**
I don't understand this statement... I wonder if some one can tell me

**Question 52**
Balanced approach; as overall this should be a social engineering planing development to better people lives.

**Question 53**
Come on, section 106 gives the provision for open spaces and the residents have to pay in addition to council tax. it's a done deal.

**Open Space Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sports Facilities**

**Question 54**
It's has to be increased as part of the social engineering development plan... are the facilities used Now that the answer.

**Question 55**
Has it out grown the facilities  Yes it needs to be Moved to a adequate site including Car Parking.

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
Use Brown field sites and poor Farming Land

Natural Resource Comments
Recycle, don’t use it if you don't have too.... but if the needs be.. it has to be done.

Air Quality
Question 57
Environmental protection is important and is protected by law... no way out of that one major part of the planning procedure.

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
If there is a need for mineral extraction and it environmentally OK  go for it it, has to be done

Question 59
The River Medway has a Water Draft which only allows small ships in it, we have wharves already... not too many, but when the need is there the companies will request planning consent.  if there is a need why not !!!

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
Must provide environmentally safe and recyclable to provide energy at least.  Land fill.. environmental protection is required

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
as little as required and the developer pays

Question 62
hard to say, jobs would be available... less people on social welfare, money in the local area.

Question 63
Come on... all new developments have planning restrictions and environmental risk assessments if it not needed it will not be built.

Question 64
only by taxing the users or making it cost effective to protect climate change only the lowest cost will change anything

Question 65
Water meters are the way forward

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
Make it energy effective and with the environment cared, for the next generations.

Flood Risk
Question 66
Yes don’t build on flood plains or provide protection as part of the planning procedure

Question 67
predict the risk for the next 100 years and build to that specification

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
Yes they look good ..

Question 69
Chase the energy reduction good design, and limit wasteful activities

Question 70
Come on... it's already in place Eon / GrainLNG Heatpipe is installed if it makes money it will be installed

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)
Transport

Question 71
Well you got to build it and keep up with it. this is driven by demand using who’s money ??

Question 72
Use of Internet awareness and Local Advertisement, Health Walks & cycle groups are already happening, Give Pensioners Free Train Passes, Keep local subsisted buses

Question 73
Make it free... then it would make people happier to come to the Town Centers and Hospitals OK there is a need to control parking

Question 74
If there is a demand it will be developed, we have these facilities already.... are the local sailing Clubs busting !!!!

Question 75
Yes

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability

Question 76
Planning and Risk Assessments

Question 77
Don’t understand this one is it subsisted Housing by the back door, the tax payer pays ? CIL contributions ???

Question 78
Hope so... your the planners

Question 79
absolutely

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy

Question 80
Cut red tape
Question 81
This should be in the planning case of the developer so he pays the way.. this really is already done by large developments in it’s planning cost.

Question 82
Housing and raw material added value businesses

Question 83
high density development may be just right at the Moment as we already live on top of each others

Question 84
I guess it will slow be pushed out as there is a great need for it to be used.. Brown field sites and poor farming areas these must be used first.

Question 85
This is a social engineering problem, and must be considered carefully we do need safety and security for different groups of people

Question 86
Its Great mixture at the Moment but this will evolve with time and planning restrictions.

Question 87
No each area will develop as money and needs change

Development Strategy Comments
Who Pays ??? Council funding must be limited now... or do you have a stash fund ?

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Jo Rose-Wilkins

Reference
21

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
Ground floor accommodation or flats with decent lifts. Access to a garden for sunlight is a bonus. Flats need a good size wet room for bathing, a large bedroom suitable for a hospital bed if needed and doors wide enough for a wheelchair. A spare room means

Question 8
Cheaper self build projects may help people get on a housing ladder. Modular building would be popular so you could add another pod as your family expands.

Question 9
Build play / exercise areas for children and adults in all new developments, in a sunny location with trees or umbrellas for shade.

Question 10
(Did not answer)
Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
A survey would have to be undertaken to see the demand for self build housing. Has this been undertaken in other areas of the country?

Question 13
Any student housing needs to be near shops, pubs, clubs and restaurants. Disturbance to other residents may be an issue.

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
New housing needs a mix of properties to suit disabled, elderly, and the young. Building houses with downstairs toilets/bathrooms often means an elderly person can remain in the house. Housing developments need provision of medical facilities, even if these are not used full time. A village hall/club room give a hub to a community. Plenty of parking is needed when building family houses. Green space/gardens/play areas are vital for wellbeing.

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)
**Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment**

* Question 33
  (Did not answer)

* Question 34
  (Did not answer)

* Question 35
  (Did not answer)

* Question 36
  (Did not answer)

* Question 37
  (Did not answer)

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

* Question 38
  (Did not answer)

* Question 39
  (Did not answer)

* Question 40
  (Did not answer)

* Question 41
  (Did not answer)

* Question 42
  (Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

* Question 43
  (Did not answer)
Infrastructure Service Comments
Encourage businesses to let people work from home where possible so there are fewer cars on the roads, and therefore less air pollution. Keep open spaces as we have too much Tarmac and concrete in Medway..

Social and Community Infrastructure

Social Community Infrastructure Comments

Open Space

Open spaces/green areas should definitely be preserved. Walking, cycling, riding, playing should be encouraged. So much more enjoyable in a green open space.

Question 50
3.25ha per 1,000 population seems a minimal amount for well being. Perhaps the government should have a campaign to reduce the population. 2 children only to be encouraged.

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
A new development should have a child play area and an adult exercise area. People meet and chat in these areas. A dog area is also a good idea.

Question 53
It depends whether there are local open spaces near new development, if not then provision depends on the age of residents to be housed.
Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
Running tracks, cycle paths, dog walking paths, skate parks, children's gym equipment, adults gym equipment.

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)
**Waste Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**

**Question 61**
(Did not answer)

**Question 62**
(Did not answer)

**Question 63**
(Did not answer)

**Question 64**
(Did not answer)

**Question 65**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk**

**Question 66**
(Did not answer)

**Question 67**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**

**Question 68**
(Did not answer)

**Question 69**
(Did not answer)

**Question 70**
(Did not answer)

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)
Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

**Question 83**
(Did not answer)

**Question 84**
(Did not answer)

**Question 85**
(Did not answer)

**Question 86**
(Did not answer)

**Question 87**
(Did not answer)

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: Ken Watkins

Reference
22

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
No

Question 5
The south-east is already over populated. The prospect of a further 30,000 houses in Medway is a nightmare. With the British economy becoming more dependent on 'service' jobs I don't understand why these jobs and therefore housing needs cannot be provided in less densely populated parts of Britain. Yes it's been tried before but only half-heartedly. The BBC has done it, so could others. Medway is presently a dormitory for London, soon it will become a suburb. A considerable percentage of the present population were not born here. This scale of development is a ghastly prospect that must be avoided not 'planned'.

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)
Question 10
North Yorkshire moors.

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
(Did not answer)

Question 13
(Did not answer)

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment

Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)
**Built Environment**

Question 33  
(Did not answer)

Question 34  
(Did not answer)

Question 35  
(Did not answer)

Question 36  
(Did not answer)

Question 37  
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

Question 38  
(Did not answer)

Question 39  
(Did not answer)

Question 40  
(Did not answer)

Question 41  
(Did not answer)

Question 42  
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

Question 43  
(Did not answer)

Question 44  
(Did not answer)
(Did not answer)

**Sports Facilities Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource**
**Question 56**
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality**
**Question 57**
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**
**Question 58**
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

**Waste**
**Question 60**
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**
**Question 61**
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)
Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)
Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**

Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)
Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Julia Webberley

Reference
23

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Developer/Consultant
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
In order to achieve the Plan’s stated aim for Medway to be an economically successful, attractive and vibrant place where people want to be, it is essential that existing employment opportunities are safeguarded and that new opportunities are provided. This means supporting applications by local employers for new or expanded developments and investing in local infrastructure required to facilitate access to such developments. The important role of minerals needs to be highlighted within the vision for Medway as well as the requirement to promote sustainable development. The vision should refer to the need for maintaining mineral supply, the important role of marine dredged minerals in meeting that supply and the importance of maintaining/safeguarding from inappropriate neighbouring development and developing the capacity of mineral handling and processing plants. This would provide a conceptual link with national policy in which sustainable development forms an overarching principle, as set out within the National Planning Policy Framework.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
One strategic issue is Medway’s significant role in the region as an importer of aggregate minerals. Half of the minerals imported into the region are 'landed' at the three wharves in Medway, including Cliffe Works. Importation of marine dredged minerals is of growing importance and it is essential that strategic sites for the handling and processing of imported minerals and materials should be safeguarded. The long-standing, existing and potential strategic importance of Cliffe Works for the importation, processing and handling of marine-dredged aggregates should not be under-estimated. The site is of regional importance for the importation of mineral and has the added benefit of a railhead. The Thames Tideway Tunnel Project is a development with major implications for Medway, particularly in terms of employment opportunities and the potential for materials from the Project to be used to enhance the RSPB reserves and surrounding areas at Cliffe. As highlighted by the Thames Tideway Tunnel Project, river access via the Thames Estuary to the Hoo Peninsula and specifically to Cliffe Works is of strategic importance and opportunities to safeguard and enhance the wharves and other infrastructure in the vicinity should be supported.

Question 3
( Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
( Did not answer)

Housing
Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
(Did not answer)

Question 13
(Did not answer)

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)
Question 18
Medway needs to consolidate on its strategic location as a major economic hub in the South East by promoting its existing businesses and supporting their expansion where appropriate. Thus, for example, where reference is made to the large sites on the Hoo Peninsula which are stated to be ‘well positioned to compete within the regional market for large scale and distribution activity’, applications to expand such uses should be encouraged. Such an approach should equally be applied to those operations currently taking place on the northern side of the Peninsula adjacent to the Thames Estuary, specifically Cliffe Works, which currently provides for the importation and processing of marine dredged mineral but which has capacity for expansion. Cliffe Works accommodates, inter alia, a wharf for landing marine dredged sand and gravel, a conveyor, stockpiles, a processing plant, three block making factories, product storage, a rail terminal and a concrete batching plant. The aggregates, concrete batching and the landscape and building products operations employ 102 people. This is a significant industrial undertaking that provides significant employment and warrants specific mention in the Plan. The site’s profile has already been raised by the recent grant of permission to enhance Alpha and Chalk Lakes using materials derived from tunnelling in the London area. Transport links from Medway into the wider south east region are vital and the opportunity to promote alternative methods of transport afforded by Medway’s location, specifically rail and river usage, should be explored. Equally important is the supporting infrastructure, specifically wharves and railheads, which needs to be safeguarded, preserved and upgraded where possible.

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
It is important that existing wharves are safeguarded and that applications to facilitate access to businesses reliant on specific locational requirements or to modernise or upgrade them are supported. The infrastructure at Cliffe Works could itself bene

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)
Question 24
It is considered that both the river and Medway’s countryside offer significant opportunities to develop local tourism. Examples of river related assets include the Saxon Shore Way, Cliffe Pools and the RSPB reserves, all of which are interlinked and improvements to any would benefit all.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment

Question 30
It is important to realise that development can provide opportunities for environmental offsetting / planning gain and thus often be more beneficial than maintaining the status quo.

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
A positive approach needs to be taken which recognises that landscape is often manmade and that change is not necessarily a bad thing.

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)
**Built Environment**

**Question 33**
It is essential that Medway's heritage assets are preserved and enhanced where possible.

**Question 34**
(Did not answer)

**Question 35**
(Did not answer)

**Question 36**
(Did not answer)

**Question 37**
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

**Question 38**
(Did not answer)

**Question 39**
(Did not answer)

**Question 40**
(Did not answer)

**Question 41**
Significant consideration should be given to such infrastructure, particularly where it facilitates existing employment opportunities. Wharves and railheads in particular need to be safeguarded and enhanced where possible. The approach to be taken needs

**Question 42**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

**Question 43**
(Did not answer)
Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)
**Question 55**
(Did not answer)

**Sports Facilities Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource**
**Question 56**
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality**
**Question 57**
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**
**Question 58**
(Did not answer)

**Question 59**
The wharves at Cliffe (and elsewhere) make an important contribution to the importation of aggregates, particularly crushed rock and marine dredged aggregates into the south east region. It is therefore imperative that these wharves and their land-side i

**Minerals Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Waste**
**Question 60**
(Did not answer)

**Waste Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**
**Question 61**
Sustainable development should comprise greener businesses, more local employment
opportunities and less reliance on the private car. This means supporting expansion of those businesses already in situ in the region, particularly those which employ alternative, sustainable methods of transport. A good example of this is Cliffe Works which processes marine dredged mineral imported into the site via the Thames Estuary and which then dispatches the mineral, after processing on site, back via shipping from the wharf or via a rail link from the site. Cliffe Works accommodates, inter alia, a wharf for landing marine dredged sand and gravel, a conveyor, stockpiles, a processing plant, three block making factories, product storage, a rail terminal and a concrete batching plant. The aggregates, concrete batching and the landscape and building products operations employ 102 people. This is a significant industrial undertaking that provides significant employment and warrants specific mention within the Plan.

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
Energy Comments
(Those not answered)

Transport
Question 71
Minerals are essential for development and it is therefore critical that the necessary infrastructure is in place to facilitate the continued importation and processing of aggregates within Medway. As already stated, wharves are an invaluable part of this.

Question 72
(Those not answered)

Question 73
(Those not answered)

Question 74
Waterside infrastructure is critical, particularly where it supports commercial activities. Wharves are safeguarded by national planning policy and it is essential that this is reflected in local policy too. Applications to upgrade existing waterside in

Question 75
(Those not answered)

Transport Comments
(Those not answered)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Those not answered)

Question 77
(Those not answered)

Question 78
Applications to upgrade or replace existing infrastructure should be actively encouraged and supported. Wharves and railheads should always be prioritised, as recognised within national planning policy, as they provide essential facilities for the sustainable supply of aggregate.

Question 79
(Those not answered)
Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: martin davison-white

Reference
24

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Developer/Consultant
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
With the exception of the MOD land at Lodge Hill, the peninsula area seems to have been significantly neglected for housing and employment growth. Notwithstanding the MOD land is the subject of calling in by the Inspectorate there are other suitable locations for smaller housing and economic development in that area. The recent planning consent MC/15/3104 is an example of smaller locations contributing to the housing supply yet also generating sufficient demand for economic development in the area too. More smaller development locations will reduce the pressure on the quantum of development at Lodge Hill

Question 3
Call for Sites for smaller locations north of Wainscott

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
yes

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
yes

Question 7
town centre locations for age restricted development, smaller 'bungalow' style developments in sustainable locations.

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)
Question 10
Bridge Lodge Four elms Hill Chattenden. Considered to be a sustainable location and close to road network.

Question 11
the sites should provide their own facilities

Question 12
integration on larger sites is difficult due to the uncertainty about build quality and length of deliverability of the self build units. Small areas tucked away on larger sites, or stand alone sites would be more appropriate

Question 13
town centres

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

Economy
Question 15
in and around the road networks in appropriate locations

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
it makes sense.

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)
Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)
(Did not answer)

**Question 55**
(Did not answer)

**Sports Facilities Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource**
**Question 56**
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality**
**Question 57**
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**
**Question 58**
(Did not answer)

**Question 59**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Waste**
**Question 60**
(Did not answer)

**Waste Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**
**Question 61**
(Did not answer)
Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)
Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
No, all sites should be subject o the same levy

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
out of town employment areas are very popular with consumers. Allocation of such area will naturally lead to redevelopment of out dated town centre locations

Question 84
yes

**Question 85**
site by site review as appropriate

**Question 86**
(Did not answer)

**Question 87**
(Did not answer)

**Development Strategy Comments**
AMR should keep a close eye on numbers of units being delivered in the Strategic locations. Planning applications should not automatically be renewed in the event there is no clear indication of deliverability

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: Gavin Dick

Reference
25

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Business
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
While the document says that they wish a higher owner occupied sector there remains a gap that the social housing sector will not fill. The gap of those who will not be able to buy their own house. Thus there remains the issue that the PRS will fill this gap that social housing will not fill.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
The gap of those who will not be able to buy their own house’s and how they will be housed. Thus there remains the issue that the PRS will fill this gap that social housing will not fill. While household income is increasing, due to a national housing shortage which has been 20 years in the making there remains a gap between cost of a property and the ability that a person has to pay a deposit. The overall answer is more owner occupied and more prs they go together it is not one over the other. Social housing will decrease with the right to buy being extended.

Question 3
it is the councils duty to be a facilitator - to create the conditions for investment both house builders and landlords.

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
the council needs to understand the wider economic arguments both at a micro and macro level of what drives the housing market.

Question 5
n/a

Question 6
n/a

Question 7
it is not for the council to decide - the council has set the limit for affordable. Micro management by the council could run the risk of pushing developers away.

Question 8
The issue of student housing – students can live where they wish and in years 2 and 3 (they can in year 1 but most choose halls) they will wish to live outside of halls and will move to where they wish. The introduction of additional licensing and article 4 directions will create displacement from existing areas to new areas. The issue for all students is cost, they are free to chose where to live at the cost which most reflects their ability to pay.
n/a

**Question 21**
n/a

**Economy Comments**
n/a

**Tourism**
**Question 22**
 n/a

**Question 23**
 n/a

**Question 24**
 n/a

**Tourism Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**
**Question 25**
 n/a

**Question 26**
 n/a

**Question 27**
 n/a

**Question 28**
 n/a

**Question 29**
 n/a

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments**
( Did not answer)

**Environment**
**Question 30**
( Did not answer)
Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)
Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)
Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)
Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)
Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
**Name:** Lenka Muir

**Reference**
26

**Organisation**

**On Behalf Of**

**Type of Consultee**

*Other*
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
( Did not answer )

Housing

Question 4
Yes, although with sharp increases predicted in Medway population, we would suggest a regular review of the housing needs calculation based on new evidence available at the time.

Question 5
Medway provides a great area for development and many of the neighbourhoods have a number of potential opportunities. We'd be particularly keen to explore discussed brownfield sites and take advantage of the relaxation of planning rules.

Question 6
Agreed. The affordable housing provision via the planning process is absolutely key. The level of affordable housing needs to be firmly enforced by the Council. Within the 25% provision we would suggest there needs to be a degree of flexibility between the different affordable tenures. Affordable rent is proving less viable and cross subsidy from shared ownership products is increasingly more important. There is likely to be a shift from affordable rent products to a higher percentage of shared ownership within the provision.

Question 7
We would propose Extra Care accommodation best meets the needs of ageing population, whilst delivering savings in the adult social care budget.

Question 8
We anticipate there is a strong need for good quality private rented sector housing of 1-2
bedrooms.

**Question 9**
We are looking to deliver an Extra Care scheme in Medway, which will provide communal facilities accessible to the public. These will encourage the development of strong neighbourhood links as well as including the elderly residents from the scheme in the community.

**Question 10**
n/a AmicusHorizon Ltd doesn't currently develop Starter Homes.

**Question 11**
n/a AmicusHorizon Ltd doesn't currently develop Starter Homes.

**Question 12**
We foresee some H&S complications in integrating self build sites within larger developments (for example in delivery management and site safety). There are many requirements such as Considerate Constructors which developers are expected to comply with,

**Question 13**
n/a AmicusHorizon Ltd doesn't currently develop Student Housing.

**Question 14**
(n/a AmicusHorizon Ltd doesn't currently develop gypsy and traveller accommodation.

**Housing Comments**
Development in the Medway area in the currently changing political landscape is challenging due to lower market values and lower income levels from affordable rents. In order to help achieve the ambitious housing targets in the area we believe a degree of pragmatism and flexibility needs to be achieved on a case by case basis through planning (i.e. parking requirements) and S106 requirements.

**Economy**
**Question 15**
(Did not answer)

**Question 16**
(Did not answer)

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
(Did not answer)
Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)
Environment
Question 30
( Did not answer )

Question 31
( Did not answer )

Question 32
( Did not answer )

Environment Comments
( Did not answer )

Built Environment
Question 33
( Did not answer )

Question 34
( Did not answer )

Question 35
( Did not answer )

Question 36
( Did not answer )

Question 37
( Did not answer )

Built Environment Comments
( Did not answer )

Rural Issues
Question 38
( Did not answer )

Question 39
( Did not answer )

Question 40
( Did not answer )

Question 41
(Did not answer)

**Question 42**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

**Question 43**
(Did not answer)

**Question 44**
(Did not answer)

**Question 45**
(Did not answer)

**Question 46**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure Service Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Social and Community Infrastructure**

**Question 47**
(Did not answer)

**Question 48**
(Did not answer)

**Social Community Infrastructure Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Open Space**

**Question 49**
(Did not answer)

**Question 50**
(Did not answer)

**Question 51**
(Did not answer)
Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)
Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Do not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Do not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Do not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)
Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: lesley Wright

Reference
27

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway
Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues
Question 2
Infrastructure, creating employment, regeneration of Strood and Chatham

Question 3
Infrastructure: Identify areas where there are bottlenecks at peak times and consider ways of improving them eg. Medway City Estate access, traffic delays at Four Elms Hill and Sans Pareil roundabouts. Aim to reduce traffic at peak times by providing FREE TRAVEL for school children on buses. This is the only way to solve the traffic crises in the morning rush. Economic Development: Bring forward a large new area for a business estate, ideally one eligible for Enterprize Zone funding. If Lodge Hill is not permitted, possibly part of the site could be used as a new business estate. Regeneration of Strood: Redevelop Canal Road and improve road access to Rochester Bridge and to Medway City Estate. Consider park and ride for Medway City Estate workers. Provide some high quality residential on the old Council offices site and improve the junction with A2 at Cuxton Road/Gun Lane. Regeneration of Chatham: Major improvements required to old High Street area and back to the river. Demolish Mountbatten House.

Strategic Issues Comments
Be prepared to consider an aspirational vision for Medway where quality is key.

Housing
Question 4
Yes

Question 5
Needs to be a mix of starter homes, 2/3/4 bedroom to executive and opportunities for good quality sheltered accommodation for older people.

Question 6
25% seems high. Possibly reduce to 15%

Question 7
Good quality sheltered accommodation eg McCarthy & Stone

Question 8
Shared ownership and more student accommodation

**Question 9**
Ensure new developments have green leisure facilities - lots of trees, paths and cycling areas. Also playgrounds

**Question 10**
no

**Question 11**
Starter homes should form part of any new mixed development, particularly low rise flats, ideally close to public transport. There have been self build projects on Chattenden Lane and this area could provide more sites for self build if the Lodge Hill plan does not proceed.

**Question 12**
Hoo peninsular can provide for small developments of self and custome build housing.

**Question 13**
Town centre locations

**Question 14**
No comments

**Housing Comments**
New housing should be focused on Rainham and Hoo in particular, with appropriate infrastructure to support.

**Economy**

**Question 15**
Need to identify a large greenfield site to develop as a business estate, similar to Gillingham Bus Park, possibly near Hoo.

**Question 16**
Limited. Few businesses want to be in the towns particularly because of parking issues

**Question 17**
Yes

**Question 18**
Need to increase the size and efforts of Economic Development team to try to attract companies to relocate from S.E. london. Medway has good road and train links to London and the continent and trained staff many of whom would welcome the opportunity to work locally rather than having to commute.
Question 19
N/A

Question 20
It must be an aspiration. Essential to have high quality estates to attract companies to move to or to set up eg Gillingham Business Park, thus creating more local jobs. Modern businesses want quality surroundings. There is not much appetite for large o

Question 21
N/A

Economy Comments
Continue support for new and small businesses

Tourism

Question 22
Medway still needs more hotels. I understand there are proposals for Rochester Riverside for another hotel but it would be good for tourism and business to see more.

Question 23
Fairly limited although there is a distinct market of tourists visiting with relatives. Parking problems in Rochester will deter tourists.

Question 24
The river offers considerable potential. We need more access points. It is good that Sun Pier is being restored. The causeway at the Strand needs to be re-built and the small causeway (Medway Ports) in Upnor at the bottom of Admiralty Road needs to be restored. In addition, Medway needs a good quality ferry service to help people move around. this will require some new access points - possibly Medway City Estate, Lower Upnor and Rochester Riverside. A ferry across the river would be a welcome addition to public transport but needs to be accompanied by car parking. Some visitor moorings at Thunderbolt Pier would be popular with overseas visitors.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
Yes. Chatham needs a complete makeover. Parking needs to be improved and parking costs reduced.

Question 26
N/A
Question 27
There are plenty of empty shop units in all the towns, therefore suggesting there is little demand from retail for more shops. However, food and drink retail sector is increasing and should be encouraged except for large scale late night drinking establishments close to residential. Residential development should be encouraged in the town centres in order to bring them to life, particularly in Chatham and Gillingham but also in Strood. Not purely flats over shops but good quality apartments and houses.

Question 28
no comment

Question 29
not to be encouraged

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)
Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
Social Community Infrastructure Comments

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)
Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)
Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)
Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Emma Scanlan

Reference
28

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
To build good quality housing stock, which is sensitively designed for its location, and is sustainable (both in terms of size and quality). Energy efficiency and the environment should be at the centre of all development plans. This means buildin

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
1. Maintaining sustainable growth alongside local character. We are not a part of Greater London, nor should we become so. 2. To build housing and transport links sensitively and sustainably. 3. To keep/ attract bright people in/ to the area and try to develop a diverse jobs market so there is never the kind of crash again that Medway experienced when the Dockyard closed. 4. To improve education and healthcare in Medway which are below standard at the moment. We need more and better teachers, doctors and leaders. 5. Consider supporting and promoting local farmers (through small shops, markets etc.), agriculture and local food production to tackle waste and pollution, over and above big supermarket chains.

Question 3
1. Do in-fill building first by utilising existing derelict, run down, inadequate housing and industrial sites before building on new sites. e.g. Canal Road, Strood for housing, or the Pentagon Centre for offices/ other commercial or local government use. In heritage and village areas ensure this kind of building is in keeping with existing structures OR any changes are made in consultation with local people (admittedly not all of Medway's architecture is worth keeping). Be cautious of creating infill housing and road link-ups with Gravesend and Dartford, as this would effectively create a corridor from London to Medway and seriously erode the local character of the place. 2a). Housing sensitivity and sustainability: Consider longer term housing needs for those in need now, as well as the future. New development currently seems to be focussed on flats, which is highly inflexible housing. If your family changes you have to move house, you can’t extend or expand. There are also quality of living issues with flats including lack of open spaces, noise and air pollution, generally small spaces and waste issues. There are inventive and creative solutions to housing which don’t have to include flats. Self-building should be considered and encouraged as this dramatically increases people's investment in the area, so they are more likely to stay. Open spaces and access to parks, woods and riverside areas for recreation are vital in urban Medway for exercise and wellbeing. All new builds need to have access to green areas. I realise building regulations are set by national government, but use what authority you have to give preference to sustainable developers that will commit to building well insulated houses, that are larger than hutche-sized and that are actually pleasant places to live. If they think about what people want from a house, a road, a community and try to give it to them, then people will stay and
hopefully take care of their new community. All new developments need a health centre, a nursery, a shop (with a PO), a pub and a decent bus service!  

2b) Transport sensitivity and sustainability: Medway is a car-heavy area and many areas (both old and new) don’t have enough parking. Even newer developments such as the chalk-pit estate off Cuxton Road in Strood doesn’t have anywhere near enough parking for the number of houses there. Busses, run by Arriva, are very expensive and passenger numbers seem to be fairly low (in comparison to Canterbury for example). There are very few bike lanes in Medway, but cycling is the new golf, to capitalise on the opportunity to get people out of their cars by making roads safer for cyclists. Your report says that Medway has net out-migration for work, so if it is an aim to reduce this by increasing jobs in the area, then there will be more local journeys, so getting people out of their cars is possible and important. I think Medway train services are good, if expensive. The HS1 route has improved links to London, which has had the effect of making Medway a convenient commuter area for London, which has in turn pushed rental and house prices up. This is bad news for people who live and work in Medway and don’t earn London money. This disparity of incomes (due to excellent transport links) need to be considered when planning which types of industries you want to encourage growth for in Medway - they will need to pay well if locals are to keep pace with commuters.  

3. The Universities at Medway are a fantastic addition to the area, and seem to have altered the demographics of Chatham and Gillingham for the better (younger, brighter, more diverse). Students also offer the potential for keeping good minds in Medway, so I would suggest focussing on attracting areas of industry to Medway that the Medway campuses serve educationally. E.g. Greenwich Uni runs its engineering courses from Medway so consider attracting industry specific employers to the area, offering jobs to graduates and getting them to put down roots here.  

4. Medway’s education system is below standard, with children constantly slipping through the cracks. Part of the problem, I have been told, is that Medway fails to attract good teachers, so I think quality teacher and leader recruitment must be a priority. I have also been told that children whose first language is not English play a part in skewing the statistics for literacy levels, so I think it is vital that every school gets dedicated ESL support as this seems unlikely to change in the near future. Perhaps a dedicated ESL team for each school could also play a community role in teaching parents whose first language is not English, thereby integrating parents with the school community and ensuring first generation immigrant children don’t play the ‘translator’ role for parents who remain unintegrated (as so many Indian and Pakistani kids did when I was at school in Chatham). Immigrants, in my opinion, are a benefit to our community and we have a role to play in providing safe and happy environments for their kids to live and grow up in, and ensuring their skills can help Medway grow stronger. Medway Hospital has many problems of its own, which I am not qualified to comment on. However I have never been a fan of huge centralised hospitals and think that as Medway grows devolving routine care/ minor operations back into the community with better, more local health centres, and more investment in community care for the elderly keeping them out of hospital, is essential.  

5. This is a bit left-field, but I think that considering Kent is supposed to be ‘the basket of England’ and we all clearly have a waste problem that is exacerbated by tonnes of food packaging, why not encourage farm shops and pop-up markets with local produce in place of or alongside local branches of supermarkets? Promoting local healthy eating for the sometimes nutritionally impoverished people of Medway can’t be a bad thing either.
Strategic Issues Comments
Keep talking to the people of Medway as these plans move forward. Even for this monumental plan you have a very short consultation period, so it's likely that most people won't have heard of it until you come to build near them, so keep in conversation w

Housing
Question 4
Yes, I agree with a majority of the points. I particularly agree that an increase of private landlords is altering the housing market drastically. Whilst I understand the need for private rental I believe it needs to be monitored with the same standar

Question 5
I don't understand this question. What is a 'housing market area'?

Question 6
I don't think we need 'affordable housing', whatever that means, I think we need council houses! I am nearly 30, have been married for two years, and have been saving for 3 years whilst renting privately and I can't afford the 'affordable houses' in a new development near where I grew up in Chatham. Both myself and my husband earn (not a lot but we both work full time) and we want to have a family soon, and neither of us want to live in a poky flat in Luton or Strood. 'Affordable housing' isn't real. Either you can afford a house, or you can't. If you can afford to rent, you can't afford to save. If you can't afford private rent you definitely will never own a house, and you shouldn't spend your life at the mercy of private rental, so people need social housing. The Conservative policy of 'right to buy' is anathema to me - it's a smart way of selling off Britain's housing stock and I know lots of people who are abusing the hell out of it. Social housing should stay as social housing. If I ever have my own house it will probably be because I've built it. Building is flexible and cheaper and avoids me having to live in a box someone sold me as an 'affordable house'. In English we have a distinction between 'house' and 'home' that many languages don't have. Most people want a home not a house, and although that's not linked to size it certainly is linked to security.

Question 7
Better home help and payment for carers. I've seen two close family friends go into old people's homes (both excellent homes) and I would fight tooth and nail to make sure my parents don't go there - they are places of degeneration and death. Keeping peop

Question 8
I think that keeping people as healthy as possible for as long as possible means keeping them with the people they love, so disabled people and ill people should, if possible be able to live with or close to their families. So adapting houses is a good option, or ensuring that any purpose built housing is integrated with normal housing so families can live nearby if they wish.
Question 9
Oh, it IS Medway's health and wellbeing! And it's been ignored for many years, hence why Medway hasn't always been a healthy place to live and be. You don't have to read much architectural theory to realise that good town planning and spatially aware development has a vast impact on how people feel about the spaces they live in, how they interact with their spaces and communities (how they treat it) and their quality of health. I think that the biggest contribution good planning can make to Medway right now is in high quality public spaces (both urban and green) and enhancement of our heritage - basically a bit of pride in the place. Good quality housing for all will benefit the health of the poorest and lower the impact of poverty on society. For the future, public spaces are where communities are built and where people interact and they have been very neglected in Medway. I mean where is the centre of Strood or Chatham or Gillingham? There is no clear public space at the centre for these towns particularly, and I think they suffer for that.

Question 10
Strood Riverside. Bluebell Hill Village (which will probably be unpopular) Between Chatham and Rochester along the High Street

Question 11
I would like to see more emphasis on lower footprint developments (i.e. building houses with integrated solar panels, grey water systems and green roofs etc.), with off-road parking (perhaps basement car parks or in flood-risk areas ground floor car ports and houses above), some access to the outside (gardens, balconies etc.). Recycling needs to be made as compulsory as possible, with education central to convincing people that it's needed and worthwhile (watching bin men chuck all your carefully sorted recycling into the same chute doesn't help). As I said before, I think that trains are already good, and busses are too expensive considering how inconvenient they are. Busses are however necessary, and need to link up with the main routes into and around Medway. Cycle lanes and safe (i.e. wide enough) roads should be included.

Question 12
The desirability of self-build integrated with larger developments is questionable because I can't imagine a self builder wanting a) a plot as small as the average developer's plot b) wanting to risk being surrounded by high rises. Setting aside land

Question 13
It's high and will get higher. Students (particularly undergraduates) are a noisy uncouth bunch and will cause grief in local communities if you try to integrate them. They are best corralled off in student digs around shops so they can make as much noise as they want and no one will be disturbed. I personally think that Chatham high street and the Pentagon Centre are perfect sites for student housing. Right next to the bus stop too. A decent night club or two would be great in Medway too. Right now you have to go to Rochester or Maidstone. Disclaimer: I am a long-term student, and a lecturer. I was a noisy uncouth person at 18/19. I turned out alright. And I don't know why this box is in bold...
**Question 14**
I know absolutely nothing about this. If people want to travel around that's great. I know they are often associated with crime waves too, although I don't know how much of that is scapegoating. I think that dedicated sites should have a decent water and electricity supply, and that's about all I guess, if you have a caravan...

**Housing Comments**
Don't cut corners. Make sustainability (environmental and community as well as financial) the cornerstone of any plans. Be sensitive to the extreme poverty of parts of Medway and make sure education and jobs can keep up with housing, or it will all be for nothing.

**Economy**
**Question 15**
Any/all waterfront development along the Hoo peninsular must take into account damage and impact on wildlife and wetland there, as there could be serious ecological impacts which although inconvenient for growth are vitally important to the overall wellbeing of the place. So maximising current brownfield sites where possible is best.

**Question 16**
Education, specialist engineering, science development (particularly energy, materials and 'physical' engineering - we should focus on industries that can employ graduates and also train apprentices). Creative industries including film making - I've heard talk of a film studio at the Dockyard, which is great. Utilise our fantastic industrial architecture in new ways (why not a decent theatre/concert space too?). Business growth depends a lot on image, where Medway suffers - I think education at all levels is the only way to change this, as its the only way to attract employers who know they will get good quality local employees. Encouraging apprenticeships and trades on an equal footing with academic study is vital to maintaining a skilled (whilst not wholly academic) workforce. My husband is re-training through MidKent College at the moment, and I have to say I'm shocked at how poor the quality of education is there, the apparent indifference of students and the very high turnover of staff (apparently partly due to lack of necessary skills, and poor discipline among students). Improving education and wellbeing of our children and young people in all areas (core skills are necessary, but we need artists, dancers, linguists, and practical skilled people too!) is of paramount importance.

**Question 17**
I'm surprised at how much land is apparently needed for warehousing, but I know very little about industry.

**Question 18**
Promote Medway as an affordable and desirable area to locate businesses and creative industries, but resist turning Medway into a borough of London - maintaining local distinction is important for sense of place and local pride. The new Thames crossing provides both an opportunity for business but also could potentially exacerbate the
blending of Medway into an industrial/ tarmac corridor from London to Kent. Medway residents stand to lose as much as they would gain from this and it could well increase out-migration for work, which it seems a part of this plan to reduce.

**Question 19**
Invite big graduate employers in specific subject areas to the area. Do what you need to to get those graduates to stay after university.

**Question 20**
That depends on many things. I think a duel approach to education/ training and promotion of Medway as a place for engineering and creative arts (they are simply two areas I have picked on - I'm sure you have access to research which would allow ident

**Question 21**
Balance those needs with environmental concerns, and be very strict about pollution. Grain would offer the best access to wharves I would imagine.

**Economy Comments**
Jobs will follow from education. Education must be improved in tandem with any economic development.

**Tourism**

**Question 22**
Tourism in Medway? I don't see it as a place for overnight stays and tourism. What there is to see in Medway can be seen in a day. Honestly, let the local population do it themselves through self-employment options like AirBnB.

**Question 23**
As above.

**Question 24**
The river has a fantastic heritage, and a seriously enthusiastic population of boat owners, and tug enthusiasts etc. There is an opportunity to better educate the people of Medway about the role the river has played in British history, but the river itself is neglected. Over industrialised, filled with litter, inaccessible for most of it's length. There will be a conflict between the industrial need for river access and the suitability of its use as a tourist attraction. Perhaps cleaning it up would be a start, both rubbish and water quality, and encouraging the local groups that already use it for pleasure (I know a few tug enthusiasts). If the river is made the centre of an attractive recreation area for locals, then tourists will follow (as they do in Canterbury with the Stour). But this means gardens and trees, not warehouses and wharves. However, unless you'd consider serious eco-tourism, which doesn't hang very well with Medway's industrial heritage and serious litter problem, generally Medway's river and countryside is filthy and polluted. I'm very sceptical of Medway as a tourist destination - it's barely a popular place with its residents. Why would anyone visit a place that people struggle to live in? Work on that first.
Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
Yes. But I'm from Chatham, so I'm biased.

Question 26
That's hard to answer because if predictions are wrong we could be left with empty or unsustainable development, which is very counter-productive. I would say facilitate flexible options for conservative estimates of potential increases in market share, w

Question 27
Medway is very thin on the ground (except Rochester) for leisure options. The Dockside has helped, but not enough. Rainham and Strood seem to have the fewest options in terms of leisure/ food and drink etc. In town centres food, drink and leisure should take priority, (as they are also employers), with employment a close third, and residential areas integrated for specific groups, such as students, elderly or social housing. These groups have limited access to cars, so being close to town helps them for day-to-day life.

Question 28
Probably. Gillingham seems to get irate at the amount of money being poured into Chatham at their expense - best to share the development out equally.

Question 29
Don't do it. They suck the life out of high streets and small businesses. We have Bluewater, and that's enough blatant capitalism for anyone.

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment

Question 30
Identify, protect and expand green spaces which are vital for health, wellbeing and to prevent flooding and lower air pollution. Build on brown-field sites first. Ensure new industries don't cut corners on their environmental responsibilities, particularly those closest to the river. Consider a strict plan for business waste disposal (lots more goes to landfill than should, because waste disposal is expensive). Encourage recycling through education for residential areas.

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
The approach should be that landscape is vital to a successful local plan, and maintaining well designed public realm spaces should be integral to all developments (industrial and residential - people spend a lot of their work time in those industrial spa

**Environment Comments**
Protecting our environment is protecting our future. There will be no quality of life (or no life) if we live in polluted, overcrowded towns, so make environmental protection and sustainability (through environmentally friendly development, low energy use solutions, strong waste disposal infrastructure etc.) the foundation of any and all development in Medway. This has been overlooked and ignored for too long.

**Built Environment**

**Question 33**
Place them at the centre of identity regeneration and civic pride. I grew up knowing that Chatham was for 'chavs', until my dad taught me about the proud engineering heritage of the town. The Cathedral is important, as is the castle, but not much more can be done with them in terms of profile as they are already high-profile. The Magna Carta exhibitions were great, as they gave context to the architecture. Dickens is over-done, and there are other figures who could be celebrated (although not John Hawkins, who was a slave trader).

**Question 34**
Green spaces, good quality/ well planned urban public spaces, good quality housing, places to relax and a vibrant cultural scene. This is built on quality education, healthcare and good employment prospects.

**Question 35**
The old buildings of Rochester and Chatham. Temple Manor in Strood which is buried by hideous industrial units. Gillingham and Rainham riverside areas. Characteristics are its working class heritage. Medway is going to resist/ reject gentrification so building on its industrial/ engineering heritage and other industries with tangible practical uses will go down better than, for example, finance/ insurance/ high-end or elitist industries. New development should seek to 'fit in' with characteristic architecture - so in Rochester this is very varied but the Riverside developments are examples of totally insensitive and ugly development. In Chatham this is red-brick, with large windows etc. Modernisation is ok, but can we avoid more 'brutalist' tower blocks.

**Question 36**
Purely residential areas lack character in my opinion, because they tend, in Medway, to have less recreational space, so they are simply places where people live indoors rather than shop/ eat out/ socialise. Identifying spots in residential areas for local shops, pubs and restaurants/ cafes may help to foster local character.

**Question 37**
Open space, recreational space for children (skate parks, play parks etc.), a local shop, a local pub.
**Built Environment Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

**Question 38**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 39**  
Where is rural Medway?

**Question 40**  
Devolve routine healthcare to local GP surgeries (yes, it’s more expensive in the short-term, but people do better long-term if they feel supported near to home); support community services particularly for the elderly; support local primary schools.

**Question 41**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 42**  
Keep it flexible and ensure that development in 'rural' areas is given sufficient consultation time so that all local residents who want to contribute to plans have the opportunity to do so.

**Rural Issues Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**

**Question 43**  
1. Urban and residential areas need 'hubs' or places where people come face to face, like play parks, skate parks, outside gyms, shops, cafe's, restaurants. Building courtyards, squares and other inward facing (as opposed to thoroughfare) public realm spaces encourages human interaction and community exchange.  
   2. Build village halls/ rentable spaces for community activities.  
   3. Ensure new roads have wide and safe pavements for pedestrians and cycle lanes that are safely separated from traffic and not crowded. This will encourage people to walk and cycle more.

**Question 44**  
1. Teach nutrition and food tech in schools - kids can't cook anymore.  
   2. Build houses with decent sized kitchens so families have space to cook and freeze food.  
   3. Discourage supermarkets and encourage farm shops selling locally grown, seasonal produce. Encourage farmers to grow organic crops.  
   4. Set aside land for allotments, and try to build even flats with some outside space (London has a few 60s developments which have a fantastic tiered garden/ balcony system).
Question 45
1. Create and protect open spaces to exercise in.  2. Get people out of cars and onto bikes by creating safe cycle lanes.  3. Put outside gyms near children's play areas. Increase the number of sports courts/ pitches.  4. Improve air quality (exercising outdoors in some urban areas feels worse than smoking!).

Question 46
Yes, both. Centralising services in Medway Hospital hasn't been beneficial to local communities as far as I can tell. My local health centre, at the Delce, seems to work fairly well.

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
Ensure there is always funding for new schools, refurbishment and expansion (so long as this doesn't eat into playgrounds) of old school buildings, and particularly recruitment of top-quality teachers.

Question 48
Good quality outside spaces, such as squares, play parks, skate parks, open spaces (country parks, wooded areas etc).  Better and more GP surgeries and community carers.

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space

Question 49
It is not only appropriate, it is necessary to preserve the open space estate, for several reasons, all of which I have already outlined in previous questions: community building, environment, exercise, wellbeing and social cohesion. However, that does no

Question 50
Yes, a local standard is a must. Yes there must be a strategy to address shortfalls and no, the current level of 3.25ha per 1000 people is woefully inadequate. I spent my whole childhood being put in a car to drive to countryside and that is simply the si

Question 51
I have no idea what a 'multi-functional hub model' is. However, if you mean a one place for everything, so that you can point several categories to the one space, then no, that's simply cheating. If each function implies users then you are simply throwing

Question 52
A balance of the two.

**Question 53**
Yes. The Woodland trust have a model of woodland management that could work very well more generally for public spaces. Details are available on their website. People care most for that which they have helped to create, or if they know the people who

**Open Space Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sports Facilities**

**Question 54**
As much as possible! We have good leisure centres (the refurb of Strood looks great) and I think they are something to be proud of. I think they have the capacity to cope as they are (?) as they never seem to be full when I go. There aren't many tennis c

**Question 55**
That would be fantastic. Although if it is built on a new site then being further away from residential development would probably be good - Gillingham is gridlocked when a match is on. Any new stadium would only be sensible if it was married with a compr

**Sports Facilities Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource**

**Question 56**
Kent it a supremely fertile and temperate corner of England, and as such agriculture is a part of our identity. Lots of farming in the region now is super-intensive and the lands are not actually as productive as they could be. Farmers also suffer from fly-tipping and the urban-rural disconnect so I think the role they, and their land, play in Medway, in terms of identity, economy, balance of rural and urban and access to resources, should be considered very carefully before turning arable land into housing.

**Natural Resource Comments**
Yes, preserve them! Nature is only a resource so long as it has long-term balanced benefits for people and the environment in mind - it's not always good economic sense to exploit them in the short term.

**Air Quality**

**Question 57**
Medway is polluted, no two ways about it. It's one of the reasons I don't run in Medway - I have no wish to suck that air any deeper into my lungs than necessary. With the amount of industry on the waterfront, the number of trucks, the power station at Gr

**Air Quality Comments**
Minerals
Question 58
Such as are available in Medway should only be extracted if the process a) does not have adverse effects on the environment, wildlife or water capture; b) does not displace or disturb current housing; and c) can guarantee it will not create pollutants in

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
Up recycling, up fines for mis-management (i.e. bribing the bin men) of commercial waste disposal, consider ways to reduce packaging and waste by promoting local and farm-based shopping, increase no of recycling centres and reduce the charges for pick-ups

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
Smart houses, built to reduce energy needs and loss. I agree wholeheartedly with the concept of smart design assisting our lifestyles, not hindering them, as you indicate in 22.17, some ideas are already on the table. Build on these. Also, Medway needs accessible resources for learning - more places where there's internet access and good quality PCs. Open spaces and planting trees, rather than a concrete jungle. Supporting local businesses that innovate and provide jobs.

Question 62
The biggest inequalities in Medway are often around education and family dynamics. As a woman, I routinely see women younger than me with lots of very young children and this has been a constant since I was old enough to notice. I can’t speak for them, as

Question 63
What an earth is point 22.12 doing in here? Local Authorities are 'discouraged' from setting higher local sustainability standards than government minimums! Whatever for? The government sets bare minimums and unless local authorities are going to stand up and fight for better it will be left for individual builders and house owners to do it for themselves, and they can ill afford to! The government standards are woefully low. Medway Council MUST do better, and buck this 'discouragement'. Build sustainable and eco-friendly houses. Investigate/ invest in the latest building technology. Passive House
systems, rainwater capture, all new builds should have solar panels at minimum etc. Set new standards. Prices for these systems are falling rapidly as more and more companies provide them.

**Question 64**
As above. Adapt houses. Don't build on flood planes (as you're about to do in Rochester!)

**Question 65**
Water efficiency starts with businesses not homes - stop farmers and industry using/wasting millions of gallons of water and then get back to us.

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
Try to reduce the number of HGVs in Medway - they are big polluters.

**Flood Risk**
**Question 66**
As you say in 22.13, doing nothing is not an option, and plenty of Medway is in the way of flooding if significant sea level rises occur - particularly the poorer, very concrete parts. Build car ports under houses - all houses in high risk areas start liv

**Question 67**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**
**Question 68**
Great idea, yes. Just don't build houses near them - the turbines are pretty noisy when they're in full flow.

**Question 69**
Renewable renewable renewable. I'm very anti-fracking as I think it's a windfall of cheap energy that will prove environmentally disastrous. Pumping the ground full of water and expecting the surface to remain stable seems unlikely to me.

**Question 70**
This is a great idea - pump it straight into nearby houses. Use Iceland and Germany as models (they pump geothermal/waste industrial heat direct into homes I believe).

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**
Question 71
Energy requirements are going to go up, so meeting these in as environmentally sustainable way as possible (preferably entirely) would be the best way. New developments offer the opportunity for wholesale change and improvement over time. Take the opportu

Question 72
Make it cheaper, more frequent (busses) and safer (cycling).

Question 73
Consider underground, or under house i.e. car port parking.

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability

Question 76
Keep a good balance between demand and quality. Whilst it will be tempting, in the face of increasingly shrill demand, to cave and try to deliver box houses, fast, it would be a mistake. Good planning and environmentally sustainability may seem too expensive or cumbersome but the developments built in this way will far outlast this government and their current budgetary obsessions. Building on green spaces, with little thought to sustainability or sensible (good) design will only store up problems and costs for the future.

Question 77
Yes. That seems like a logical step. Certain areas are wealthier than others, and houses built there will demand higher returns for developers. Set the rates accordingly.

Question 78
Anticipation of needs and adaptable plans. Education and healthcare must be prioritised, with sustainable transport links a close third. Then Open Spaces (which really should be planned into any new development.

Question 79
Use common sense to strike a balance.

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)
Developments Strategy

Question 80
Environmental sustainability and excellent spatial planning.

Question 81
Yes, I do mostly. Taking the types in order: 1. High density is most viable but will limit users - families simply won't fit, or they will become trapped by rising house prices. But building flats you make houses more desirable (esp. with gardens) and th

Question 82
High density and significant planned growth, with a healthy dose of custom/ self build.

Question 83
Yes, particularly on the sloped areas of Medway where rows and rows of disintegrating terraces could be more usefully developed (but only in conjunction with residents approval - I'm not suggesting re-enacting the slum clearances!). Modular living, with

Question 84
I am strongly against building on green belt land, and believe that 'reviewing' a green belt boundary is the thin end of the wedge for developing our open spaces. Low quality 'green belt' land, which might be justifiably used for housing, tends to be near

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
I think that anything you throw at Chatham town centre, as long as it is well planned and has the benefit of the existing community in mind, will be an improvement. I still resist the idea that waterfronts should be developed for residential use considering the increased risks of flooding, the impact on estuary ecologies, and the potential of the riverfront for significantly better leisure uses. Keeping waterfronts green is more ecologically sound and could provide much needed leisure space for the high density development behind, up out of the water's reach.

Question 87
I'm not at all sure I understand the question.

Development Strategy Comments
What Medway lacks, in terms of attracting residents who could live in high-density areas and not use cars (as many people in Canterbury do), Maidstone has, yet there is no decent transport link to Maidstone except by bus. Getting there by train is a pain.

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Anthony Faulkner

Reference
29

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Charity/Community/Faith Group
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
(Did not answer)

**Question 13**
(Did not answer)

**Question 14**
(Did not answer)

**Housing Comments**
There is no mention of managing village sizes and the possible effects on rural amenities. It is important in considering enlarging villages that the impact on walks, nature reserves and landscapes are taken into consideration.

**Economy**

**Question 15**
(Did not answer)

**Question 16**
(Did not answer)

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
(Did not answer)

**Question 19**
(Did not answer)

**Question 20**
(Did not answer)

**Question 21**
(Did not answer)

**Economy Comments**
Vibrant town centres are essential to enhance the Towns. So they need to be pleasant places to visit with good access – the latter includes good public transport supplemented by good value parking. In particular Chatham does not work at present either dur

**Tourism**

**Question 22**
(Did not answer)

**Question 23**
Regarding Walking- see comment for question 24

Question 24
Medway has many interesting walks and footpaths, including riverside, rural and urban. Medway does not do enough to advertise and promote these walking opportunities. It should be part of Medway's tourist advertising and there should be walks sheets in the Tourist Information Centre and on the Medway Web site. We would also note that it would be all too easy to blight walks in Medway by the inappropriate placement of industrial and housing development that, whilst not actually blocking walks ruined them by making them unattractive or unsafe places. It only needs one part of a walk to be so ruined to make the whole walk unattractive. The riverside upriver of Strood is a good example of this.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)
Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)
Question 44  
(Did not answer)

Question 45  
By promoting walking as a health activity that will benefit more people that any other physical activity. The Healthy Walking scheme should be actively promoted and then there should be publicity and guide material for longer walks for those who are ready for them. The rambling organisations in Medway (And specifically the Medway ramblers) will be happy to support the latter part of this suggestion.

Question 46  
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments  
There has been a tendency in the past for Medway to permit building in out of town places such as ex-military barracks and then move single families and social housing tenants into them with disastrous social outcomes. Although we have talked about the

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47  
(Did not answer)

Question 48  
Medway's busses are an important public facility. From the point of view of the Ramblers they open up the walking opportunities in Medway for people who have no other form of transport and are of course more ecologically sustainable than motor cars. Open spaces need to be served by regular bus services

Social Community Infrastructure Comments  
(Did not answer)

Open Space

Question 49  
Medway needs as much open space as it can possibly retain both as urban parks and other such spaces and also its rural spaces. When planning for such areas it is important to think in terms of connectivity so that wherever possible it creates walk ways th

Question 50  
See our comments for point 49. We would also note that we think Medway has a very low local space standard and this should be improved by whatever means possible.

Question 51  
(Did not answer)
Question 52
Great care should be taken in considering some sites surplus to requirements. There should always be extensive consultation to discover what use and value it has to the community. Ideally more space should be designated as open space as a way of ensuring

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
The appearance of the environment should be protected as a matter of great importance. Where surface has to be removed to facilitate extraction then developers must be made to show a robust restitution programme and the council must hold them to it. It is

Question 59
Whilst it is accepted that it is not possible to walk along the edges of working wharves it should be possible in consultation with owners to make marinas areas where walkers
can follow the shore line as near as reasonably possible. Some of the Marinas in

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)
Question 69
( Did not answer )

Question 70
( Did not answer )

Energy Comments
( Did not answer )

Transport
Question 71
( Did not answer )

Question 72
( Did not answer )

Question 73
( Did not answer )

Question 74
( Did not answer )

Question 75
( Did not answer )

Transport Comments
( Did not answer )

Deliverability
Question 76
( Did not answer )

Question 77
( Did not answer )

Question 78
( Did not answer )

Question 79
( Did not answer )

Deliverability Comments
( Did not answer )
Developments Strategy

Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
The Green Belt Boundary is an important element in the process of keeping Medway a pleasant and healthy place to live. This applies not only to the opportunities for exercise and enjoyment of the open air and nature but also as something that benefits the

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments

Point 27.31 regarding the various extension of urban development that have been suggested and especially the plans to develop along Capstone and Horsted valleys would be serious inroads into the 'green lungs' of Medway. They act as useful 'green' connecti

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Miss Rebecca Graham

Reference
30

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
I believe that there should be focus on both maintaining local communities to keep the heritage of Medway from being eroded, and rejuvenation of the large urban areas. From my point of view as a resident of Halling, my focus is much more on the rural economy as my Greengrocery business is based at Court Farm in Upper Halling. My immediate concerns centre around local people being unable to afford to stay in Halling due to pressures on the housing market. I am soon to move to Snodland as I cannot afford to rent or buy in the village, mainly because I am self employed and deemed too much of a risk in the current climate. In Halling more large scale housing like St Andrews Park is not an option due to our position sandwiched between the river and the downs, so providing jobs within the local community is key to increasing the communities incomes. The main worry I have is that the demographic of the population will force focus onto the older population and their concerns, rather than providing a framework to assist the working generations. We do have an aging population to care for, so it is imperative to provide strong economic growth, even on our rural scale, so we can afford the bills as they inevitably rise. I would hope that there is a suitable level of focus on future generations needs, in balance with those of the older population.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
I believe the Halling area needs to make responsible use of its natural landscape, in such a way that it enhances residents living conditions and promotes economic growth. As there are space restrictions galore in our locality, I do not think there are simple answers to any of the problems. I also believe any strategy must focus on promotion of small businesses in the rural areas, rather than seeking investment from large companies, as may be more appropriate in urban centres.

Question 3
I would hope that there can be a balance achieved between providing housing and infrastructure, and keeping the rural ethos of places like Halling. At this point in time most people know of Halling for its traffic jams, so access to the wider areas I think is key. I believe priority should be given to local businesses to fill the growing market before approaching large chain stores, for example.

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
With regard to Halling, I think supply of housing is given definite limits by the geography
of our location. The general figures do not seem unreasonable, however regeneration could increase the numbers moving into the area significantly, and housing supp

**Question 5**
(Did not answer)

**Question 6**
Affordable housing is desperately needed in Halling. As there is so little potential land available to build upon, 25% would mean very little affordable opportunities in reality. I think there needs to be serious consideration of alternative housing projects. For instance the lake next to St Andrews park could be used as the site for a houseboat development. As long as it enhanced rather than detracted from the landscape and could be done with minimal impact on the environment, I think it would show Medway in a positive, forward thinking light. There are several pits in the local area that could potentially be flooded and used in a similar fashion if such a scheme was a success. I understand other councils are using similar schemes to boost housing in certain areas, so precedents exist for such projects.

**Question 7**
(Did not answer)

**Question 8**
(Did not answer)

**Question 9**
A major concern I have with the process from a Halling point of view, is how the council communicates and receives feedback from the community. Keeping people informed will not be easy. The older population in Halling do not always have the skills to access the online information, and frankly on Thursday I didn’t see any other person at the consultation of my age (35), and I was there for 2 hours! Development should be based on keeping local people in situ, so communities can have the best of old and new.

**Question 10**
From the map shown of Halling at the consultation evening, very few areas highlighted will be viable in reality. For the level of housing required I believe the only site of any scale would be the field purchased by Redrow between St Andrews park and the North Halling ward. As stated above, alternative housing schemes to utilise old pit spaces, perhaps with houseboats, could be the only space left!

**Question 11**
Infrastructure is our biggest issue in Halling as, access along the A228 has been an increasing problem for several years. Again our geography eliminates large scale infrastructure changes, but I believe there is scope for road widening between St Andrews Park and the existing dual carriageway at Holborough. I do not think this would help much with shear volume of traffic use, but my concern is the tendency for gridlock when things do go wrong. I do fear a situation where there is a problem that the
emergency services just can't get to because of insufficient infrastructure. Any future housing has to be based on the impact of current population increases on the existing roads. These smaller self build projects would be far better at steadily increasing numbers rather than large projects.

**Question 12**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 13**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 14**  
(Did not answer)

**Housing Comments**  
One thing I would say is that from my own experience of being a low income household for the past few years as we set up our business, Medways housing benefit system is very inefficient to deal with. I have several friends who have been put at risk of eviction because payments take too long to process. This may be a factor in the high level of migration within Medway.

**Economy**  
**Question 15**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 16**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 17**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**  
Medway has an image problem, in that people have areas nearby that are much more appealing, for example West Malling. Given the diversity of the Medway population, arts and culture should be seen as a strong point to be exploited, much as we have seen with the regeneration of coastal towns in the past few years. A thriving cultural centre, with its own local flavour could attract the London crowd, as could the promotion of our greenspaces and natural resources. From the customers I deal with in Woolwich, I was surprised by how many showed a desire to visit the farm shop in Halling, so the appetite is there if it can be tapped.

**Question 19**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 20**
In Halling there are people who run businesses but have to locate elsewhere at the moment. However we are due industrial unit developments from Redrow so it is hard to judge until we see the impact they have. Given the access issues on the A228 I’m sure m

Question 21
My business is based at Court Farm in Halling. The major issue I have encountered so far is the lack of willingness by the farmers to engage in business opportunities as they are mistrustful of the land owners future motivations. At least one business has

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)
Environment

Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment

Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues

Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)
Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
Expanding communities need contact places for new and old residents to meet and mingle. Regular markets, targeted at serving customer demand, such as a farmers market, can bring communities together without requiring buildings etc. Berkley homes are running markets at their new estates in Woolwich and Kidbrooke for just such a purpose.

Question 44
I decided to start a greengrocery business due to the fact that I couldn’t source quality produce locally and reliably. Produced in Kent have identified that there is a large untapped market for Kent produce from local people, but the retail outlets are lacking. Medway council could use this to improve local employment by helping small businesses to exploit this gap in the market.

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)
From my point of view as the local greengrocer, it is essential to protect the best agricultural land. The best produce I sell is all from Kent, ranging from Newington to the Hoo penninsula to Mereworth. We need the council to support the small businesses who can fill the gap between the growers and consumers.
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)
Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
(Did not answer)

**Question 81**
(Did not answer)

**Question 82**
(Did not answer)

**Question 83**
(Did not answer)

**Question 84**
(Did not answer)

**Question 85**
(Did not answer)

**Question 86**
(Did not answer)

**Question 87**
(Did not answer)

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: Dennis Frank Adey

Reference
31

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
No. The requirements may be lower if we leave the EU & therefore have less immigrants.

Question 5
Urban areas should be used in preference to potential expansion of rural areas. The Local Plan implies that the remoteness of villages on the Hoo Peninsular is a disadvantage but we see this as an advantage by living in High Halstow because there is still countryside around each of the Hoo Peninsular villages. There are still lots of unused industrial urban areas that have already been cleared or can be cleared for housing use. In addition with the decline in retail use in town that can also free up use for housing. Most people want to live in Urban areas but expansion could go into Rural Areas to the detriment of those who choose to live there for a better quality of life. The plan infers that countryside could be built on between some villages & also joining to the existing urban area i.e. significantly expanding the town & removing countryside.

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
Housing Comments
The plan states that there is high quality agricultural land on the Hoo Peninsular & that should be maintained for agricultural use between villages on the Hoo Peninsular thus retaining the countryside.

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**

**Question 22**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 23**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 24**  
(Did not answer)

**Tourism Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**

**Question 25**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 26**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 27**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 28**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 29**  
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Environment**

**Question 30**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 31**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 32**  
(Did not answer)
Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)
Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

**Question 55**
(Did not answer)

**Sports Facilities Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource**
**Question 56**
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality**
**Question 57**
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**
**Question 58**
(Did not answer)

**Question 59**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Waste**
**Question 60**
(Did not answer)

**Waste Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**
**Question 61**
(Did not answer)
Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)
Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: John Rodney Smyth

Reference
32

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
1. Population growth.  2. Housing need - resulting from 1..  3. Achieving 1 & 2 without damaging the natural environment.

Question 3
1. Plan housing on brownfield sites or within existing built-up areas.  2. Create a framework for sustainable economic development - by encouraging local business & encouraging efficient transport links to neighbouring areas (including central London).  3. Do not encourage excessive population growth - i.e., we should have to take our "fair share" - but no more.

Strategic Issues Comments
1. Maintain the current balance between economic growth & environmental protection - which is (in my view) a satisfactory balance.

Housing

Question 4
Yes - reluctantly.

Question 5
If I understand the question, there appears to be a shortage of homes at the middle & higher (i.e. more expensive) level of the market.

Question 6
Yes.

Question 7
Bungalows, flats, sheltered accommodation & care homes.

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)
**Question 10**
No - except they should be as "central" as possible, so as to be near existing families.

**Question 11**
(Did not answer)

**Question 12**
(Did not answer)

**Question 13**
Last question - don't see why not. It might improve some locations.

**Question 14**
(Did not answer)

**Housing Comments**
I suggest Lodge Hill is still suitable for considerable development, but with an allowance made for the Nightingale habitat. So, instead of "5,000" homes, go for a smaller (but still substantial) figure.

**Economy**
**Question 15**
1. See my reply to 14. 2. Otherwise, as close to existing employment, or existing transport links, as possible. 3. This means in "central" areas. 4. Separately, to protect the natural environment, on brownfield sites, as much as possible.

**Question 16**
1. Scrap Rochester airport & develop the runway site, instead, for business & (possibly) housing. I cannot see how such a minute airport can be of much use to anyone. We already have an easily accessible small airport, London City - &, some might argue (but not I) Southend.

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
1. Improve transport links out of the area. CrossRail to Abbey Wood will help (2018) - but, even better, if to a point further east (e.g., Dartford). 2. The HST to St. Pancras, + then Thameslink to the City, has greatly improved my own commute to the City (by St. Paul's).

**Question 19**
1. Obviously, encourage high-tech & professional employment in Medway.

**Question 20**
1. Frankly, no. If anything. CrossRail (even if only to Abbey Wood), & the HST, will encourage/continue to encourage commuting to London - as will higher-grade housing in Medway. Sit back & enjoy the money flowing back to Medway from all those London

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
1. Get as much money into the area as you can. Don’t by "sniffy". This means promoting Medway as a commuter area, as well as a "home-grown" employer. Try to get higher-end businesses in - not the likes of call centres, then. What about getting Whitehal

Tourism
Question 22
1. Decent hotels! For example, not next to a huge roundabout (good as the Bridgewood is!). I also recall, about 15 years ago, colleagues promoting a conference there as being at "Maidstone". So, let's get one in the heart of Medway.

Question 23
1. I moved to the area in 1985. People were talking about "eco-tourism" on the Hoo Pen. even then. Nothing at all seems to have happened. There might be some scope - provided development doesn't wreck the Peninsula.

Question 24
1. See reply to 23..

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
1. No. Chatham is very run-down (to put it politely) - judging from my rare visits. The "chad" typology doesn't help either. Rochester is the place to go for. Plenty of space on the riverside - & at Strood riverside, around the multi-screen cinema, etc.

Question 26
1. The latter - see my reply to 25..

Question 27
1. Emphasise employment & residential. The other uses will then follow naturally-circulation of money.

Question 28
(Did not answer)
**Question 29**
1. Discourage. Sucks life out of the existing town centres - with little spin-off to other shops, simply because out of town.

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Environment**

**Question 30**
1. Prohibit development in environmentally sensitive areas.

**Question 31**
1. Enhance public access - e.g., with more public footpaths.

**Question 32**
1. Recognise it as one of the area's great assets - the 2 rivers, the cathedral, the castle, the Hoo Pen. marshland.

**Environment Comments**
1. It's a business asset, as well as a "good place to live" asset. Don't wreck it. Business won't move to an unappealing area (a generalisation - but consider the success of Cambridge & Brighton, e.g.). This may partly explain why the Eurostar seems to have had a limited impact on Ashford - not much else there. HS1 may help it more (commuter money).

**Built Environment**

**Question 33**
1. Preserve & protect. The example of the Rochester High St. area is a good one. The Council has done a good job there.

**Question 34**

**Question 35**
1. See reply to 32.. 2. A certain authenticity - little "snooiness" is apparent. 3. The Hoo Pen remains remarkably rural, given its proximity to London & the Grain & Kingsnorth industrial areas on the Pen. itself. 4. Proximity to London & France. 5. A large rural hinterland, in the shape of the Hoo Pen..

**Question 36**
1. Urban Chatham & Gillingham are frankly unattractive.

**Question 37**
(Did not answer)
Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
1. Get some better shops in there.

Question 39
1. Think of small businesses benefiting from the rural environment. Current examples in my own area (St. Mary Hoo) are stabling, dog grooming, veterinary services, logs, bed-&-breakfast, rural pubs. Agricultural employment can look after itself - & the local farming businesses appear to be doing OK.

Question 40
1. Little you can do. You need access to a car. Without this, andy rural area, anywhere, will be challenging, nowadays. 2. Access to Strood, Higham & Ebbsfleet stations from the Hoo Pen. is good - at least, early in the morning, & returning in the evening.

Question 41
1. On the Hoo Pen., confine large-scale industrial development to the Kingsnorth & Grain industrial areas. 2. Consider what businesses would be attracted to Grain, given its (unavoidably) remote location & the unappealing physical backdrop of power stati

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
1. Encourage walking.

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
1. Encourage extension of CrossRail to Dartford - or even points further E..
Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
1. Keep the grammar schools. If possible, expend them - or open new ones (if legislation allows).

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space

Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities

Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource

Question 56
1. Considerable. An asset which cannot be created.
Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
1. Extraction should not be at the expense of good agricultural land.

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
1. No more landfill sites, please! We were about half-a-mile from sites for c. 18 years (until the end of 2008) - & windblown rubbish was a major problem.

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
1. Protect the natural environment. 2. As stated, aim for our fair share of population growth - but no more. 3. Encourage local employment.

Question 62
1. You can’t ensure it. You just have to hope that "the tide raises all boats". That said, I would avoid encouraging low-paying, uninnovative business. These will tend to heighten inequality, given the proximity of high-paying work in London.

Question 63
1. You tell me. Apart from avoiding development on flood-risk sites, hard to see what the Council can do.

Question 64
1. Use energy more efficiently.

**Question 65**
(Do not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
(Do not answer)

**Flood Risk**

**Question 66**
1. There should be no development in flood-risk areas, unless the buildings are properly protected - which is feasible for industry, but? for housing.

**Question 67**
(Do not answer)

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Do not answer)

**Energy**

**Question 68**
1. On balance, no. The adverse environmental impact of onshore wind farms in Medway would outweigh any environmental (or putative economic) gains,

**Question 69**
(Do not answer)

**Question 70**
(Do not answer)

**Energy Comments**
(Do not answer)

**Transport**

**Question 71**
1. Obviously, an efficient road system. 2. Also, efficient rail links to London - because it is where the money is.

**Question 72**
1. More public footpaths would encourage walking.

**Question 73**
1. Enough to keep local businesses going well - i.e., ensure people can get to shops & businesses.
Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
1. Rochester. As stated, I see no future there. The airport's too small. 2. Stoke. The current use appears to be only recreational. Anything more at the current site would be undesirable in a rural area - and also appears unlikely to be economically feas

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
1. Confine development to suitable locations.

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
1. Improvement of existing roads.

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
1. Residential use at the Grain & Kingsnorth industrial sites would be undesirable, for so long as (as now) much of the industry there is heavy in nature. It could be considered, if the "footprint" of the heavy industry were significantly reduced. 2. Per

Question 84
1. No. The green areas are an asset. They enhance the area - and, for this reason, are
economically beneficial.

**Question 85**
(Did not answer)

**Question 86**
(Did not answer)

**Question 87**
1. Consider a holistic review. The waterfronts are an asset.

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: John Edward Castle

Reference
33

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Other
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
The Plan has to address three principal issues: Housing: sufficient housing for the increasing population without diminishing Medway’s Open Space and not expending beyond the Greenbelt Infrastructure: improve the roads and seek opportunities for improving public transport. Allow for the increasing demand for hospital, GP practices and education. Economy: aim to increase employment in Medway by assisting the growth of companies and the improving of the education and training of young people.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
To consider a new fit for purpose hospital on the site of the Rochester Airport which has easy access to motorways and the excising provision of a useable helipad. This would aid our link with Kings College Hospital head trauma unit. This would also free up the existing hospital site for possible housing development.

Question 3
Pro-actively, with sympathy and to take a more holistic view to the long term effects decisions could have.

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
As these are set by nationally recognised standards, there is little to be gained from arguing this.

Question 5
We need to acknowledge that the housing area extends beyond the Medway boundary and we need to attract people to come to Medway to boost the local economy. With HS1 in Medway this will make Medway a more attractive and inspirational place to live.

Question 6
Firstly we need to define what is affordable housing and does this includes affordable housing to rent. If the 25% is only for affordable housing to buy, then it does not meet the need. We are well aware that the definition of affordable housing is a moving beast. The current definition is that affordable housing is for starter homes, but as the SHMA that was done in 2015 identified a high level of demand for affordable housing, this must mean affordable to rent, not just starter homes.
Question 7
Has to be attractive enough to make people want to downsize which then releases their accommodation for other families. It should, preferably, be close to amenities and needs to able to support someone's independence with assisted technology as appropriat

Question 8
We need more purpose built disabled units taking advantage of modern technology. It is imperative that we ensure that all new housing offers wider thresholds to make access easier for disabled people and those with mobility problems.

Question 9
To have a standard size agreed for all homes, offering adequate space; to make provision for open spaces and allotments; to have a good source of natural light; not just for residential, but for commercial units too; to be sympathetic to the natural surroundings; to provide a contribution for more GP places, health provision and service providers. To be more eco-friendly and more Passivhaus building to lessen environmental impacts, and to provide charging points for electric vehicles.

Question 10
Larger developments, such as Lodge Hill, should include provision, and we would strongly recommend including a starter home provision in addition to the affordable housing requirement.

Question 11
This could possibly be provided by the council and any investment to be repaid from the resale of the starter home by way of a charge or lien on the property (and see Q12 below).

Question 12
Developers should be providing sites for self builds on the same basis as starter homes and affordable housing, whereby the developer makes available potential sites for self builds, not necessarily on the development, but these sites must be fully servic

Question 13
Demand would be set by the intake and proposed expansion of universities. Halls of residence are provided for first year students, who then need to find housing in the local area for subsequent years. This then reduces the amount of properties available to first time buyers and limits the amount of rented accommodation available in the area. The only real solution is to increase housing provision by the amount of students seeking accommodation. We recommend universities consider this when expanding, and include accommodation facilities in their plans.

Question 14
It should be left to the travelling community to decide where appropriate sites could be and for the council to consider these with appropriate public consultation.

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

**Economy**

**Question 15**
The existing business parks should be the first targeted sites with better use of land, more control through planning to ensure denser usage of these business parks. Thereafter, look at former industrial areas that can be reused as brown-field sites for commercial/modern industrial use.

**Question 16**
Former factories and smaller industrial units could be converted to offices, with the ground floors potential retail spaces.

**Question 17**
The scale of jobs for Medway is too low, especially if Medway is to be providing more jobs to prevent it being a commuter zone for London and other more expansive economic areas.

**Question 18**
Refer answer to Q1.

**Question 19**
The council needs to have strategic business support arm that can assist new companies in making better use of the potential provided by the education expansion.

**Question 20**
By addressing the economic aspects in answer to Q1 there would be more jobs being developed within Medway, and with more work salaries could increase and thus give more reasons through a combination of work/life balance, people would prefer to work more.

**Question 21**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**

**Question 22**
The Tourism office needs to do national and international advertising giving reasons why Rochester is a place to base to visit mid-Kent as well as Medway. This would give more reason for longer stays. To work with incumbent and national hotel chains for e

**Question 23**
Refer to answer to Q22
Question 24
The widening of scope for coming to Rochester/Medway given to Q22.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
Yes, Chatham would be the most logical place to do this logistically, however, it is essential that accommodation is improved. The area from the Sir John Hawkins to the bottom of Star Hill has been improved and would benefit from continued investment and improvement to enhance the night time economy. This area could be designated as a heritage centre (See Gravesend).

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
Chatham waterfront would benefit from being opened up to enable people to walk along to the dockyard and round past sun pier. There could more cafes and bars together with small retail units based along the waterfront.

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
Encourage larger retailers such as Wickes, Staples and Halfords to relocate to out of town retail parks to allow smaller retailers to move into some sites and redevelop the remaining sites for housing.

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment

Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment**

**Question 33**
(Did not answer)

**Question 34**
Distinctive design of replacement/infill units and good maintenance of the existing urban fabric.

**Question 35**
Rochester High Street, Chatham Historic Dockyard and Upper Upnor – in these (and similar places) new building should be sympathetic to existing local fabric.

**Question 36**
Chatham, Luton and Gillingham (and similar zones) – in these places new buildings ought to contrast with the existing to give a sense of renewal.

**Question 37**
Those buildings being renovated or new build in areas described in answer to Q36 should reflect the renewal process that is being achieved to confirm to inhabitants and business owners that the ambitions of the Local Plan are being realised.

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

**Question 38**
(Did not answer)

**Question 39**
Less the hubs of Grain Peninsula and Hoo there is little development facilities available to increase employment. People need to have reasons to favour living in Hoo rather than the outlying villages.

**Question 40**
Ensure that broadband services reach all parts of the Peninsula and other outlying rural areas of Medway.

**Question 41**
(Did not answer)

**Question 42**
The two plans need to be developed in tandem to ensure they each gain from each other.
Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
Improvements to the existing fractured cycle network to provide a continuous (or as near as possible) cycle network, this would entice more people to use cycles, especially if many cycle paths were segregated from parallel trafficked roads and/or built between urban zones away from roads.

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
Complete a co-ordinated cycling network (c.f. answer to Q43). Improve facilities in parks and other urban open spaces with outdoor gym equipment for young and old.

Question 46
The provision of new Medway Hospital built on the site at Rochester Airport to meet the demands on health care for the 21st century. The current hospital site should be redeveloped as housing and this would then enable the much needed commercial improvements to be made to Gillingham’s High Street.

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
Developers and builders must pay educational contributions on all developments, regardless of size. This is especially important in the rural areas where developments will tend to be smaller. Larger identified development areas must include educational

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space

Question 49
Medway already has a low local standard compared with other adjacent and nearby councils. To diminish this standard further would threaten the Open Spaces that exist within the urban fabric.
Question 50
The value of 3.25ha/1,000 population should be raised to match other urban centric authorities in Kent (Maidstone is 6.31ha/1,000 population) and lowest adjacent council of Tonbridge and Malling is 6.14ha/1,000 population. It should definitely not be lowe

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
New developments usually have S.106 agreements, these need to always include a provision for a proportion (approx. 20%) to be invested in the nearest (that is in need) urban open space.

Question 53
The “Friends of ....” Groups who work alongside the council officers and assist in the achieving of Green Flag status could be given the facilities to the monies allocated for their particular park and invest in improvements as the group sees appropriate, e

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
Plans to move the club from its present site have come and gone over the past two decades. In the event that the club does happen to move within the life of the plan it would not be appropriate to allow buildings of above three stories on this site, and

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
Traffic restrictions need to be imposed and regulated to prevent lorries entering these areas at times of traffic congestion. Have green zones as has been done in London.
Improve the traffic flows around the periphery of Medway Towns, e.g. the Sans Pariel

**Air Quality Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**
**Question 58**
Planning restrictions should ensure that there is a bank of money put aside part way through the extraction period to ensure that there is sufficient money set aside to facilitate the making good of the quarried area post extraction of minerals.

**Question 59**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Waste**
**Question 60**
Medway Council has a comprehensive waste recycling and reduction policy and strategy. Household recycling has noticeably increased in the last decade, and many more inhabitants are undertaking their duty to recycle. This is largely because there appears to

**Waste Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**
**Question 61**
The sustainability plan for Medway should be a combination of development and social sustainability. Energy usage needs to be significantly reduced as much of the built environment is of a significant age, many of the terrace houses have solid walls and require insulating to reduce energy consumption. Social sustainability needs to be improved to mitigate crime levels, health and longevity.

**Question 62**
This is more of an economic question than sustainability.

**Question 63**
Buildings need to be low even zero carbon rated.

**Question 64**
Much of the existing development was constructed when energy saving wasn’t given serious consideration, in fact much is terrace housing, which have solid walls. The existing housing should be insulted to reduce carbon emissions and this would also assist
Question 65
Yes

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
As much of Medway is above flood plains, this is not too great an issue, the low lying land on the peninsula shall require some civil works to safeguard existing development. However, SuDs should be used at all new developments, except when professionals

Question 67
All proposed development should be above worst case anticipated sea level rise, and above and out of flood plain catchments.

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
Zones allows for more research on the type of wind power capture that could be employed, therefore preferable over sites, which overly stipulate what land can be used and thus affecting property values.

Question 69
Biomass should be further developed in the rural areas, making use of farm waste, and the energy developed be fed to the grid and heat to local outlying homes.

Question 70
Build local well insulated piped infrastructure to distribute the heated water to nearby businesses and homes, thus assisting these people with their heating bills and reducing overall CO2 emissions.

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
There should be an inquiry into the installation of light rail connecting the major conurbations and remove the need for main rail to stop at all five stations within the main conurbation. There needs to be more crossings of the River Medway, some not nec
Question 72
Improve air quality, increase walking and cycle networks; to increase safety for walkers and cyclists; joining up cycle lanes to make a proper cycling provision.

Question 73
An alternative approach to residential parking, limit crossover parking that reduces on street parking spaces. Don't increase car parking charges in an attempt to persuade people to take public transport; it doesn't work! Increase park and ride schemes.

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
It is our position that the aviation facilities at Rochester Airport do not need to be considered as this place needs to be closed and the land used for a new replacement Medway Hospital. There is the option of extending the facilities at Stoke to replac

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
There should be more permission in principle decisions this would ensure more long term stability of the Local Plan.

Question 77
No.

Question 78
Initial work should be made in preparing a project programme to determine what needs to be done in what order. From this strategic programme loans could be raised from central government in an orderly manner on a Cost Benefit Analysis for return of each of the sub-projects that ensures that the long-term plan is achieved.

Question 79
There should not be methods introduced that curtail the debate and consultation aspects of infrastructure, otherwise there could be the view that local democracy is not worth anything and disenfranchise the local population to the required infrastructure.

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
It is dangerous to state that there are development principles when this is a Local Plan
discussion.

**Question 81**
The consultation document has not given a set of the advantages and disadvantages to all the various aspects of the consultation document, therefore, we cannot agree with the inference of the question.

**Question 82**
The solution needs to be a combination of infrastructural “spine” type works and local works at various places within Medway would see the nearest affirmation of the Local Plan.

**Question 83**
No, if there were more radical approaches it would undermine the perception of local democracy and disenfranchise much of the local population, rather than involving them and getting them to “buy in” to the finalised development plans of any local piece o

**Question 84**
(Did not answer)

**Question 85**
As stated above there should be higher density in the central portions of the five urban hubs that make the Medway Towns. This is fundamental in achieving both the increased population and maintaining as much urban open space as is possible. Low density s

**Question 86**
From the outset, the plan instigated in 2004 was doomed to failure! The closing of the ring road has not brought about the improvements advocated by Chapman Taylor in its report for Chatham. A much more comprehensive design scheme needs to be undertaken, that combines the required traffic flow and interconnectedness that can be achieved between the Waterfront and the main town centre.

**Question 87**
The historic formation of Medway makes it difficult for there to be an imposed holistic solution. It would be a preferable option, but 200 years of development and yet at the same time slow political integration, only becoming a true metropolitan area in

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: Karen Good

Reference
34

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway
Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues
Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing
Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
All brownfield sites should be exhausted before green belt, open spaces and agricultural land sites are considered. SSSIs and endangered animal sites should be protected. Once agreement has been given to ignore these and build in one location, a precedent is set for all to be ignored and before we know it there is nothing special left.

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
Question 12
Some plots of land within larger developments could be sold for self/ custom builds. Smaller sites could also be used specifically for this purpose.

Question 13
Students will not want to travel miles to access their courses, therefore it seems prudent to provide these fairly close, but I do not think that a student village/campus is necessarily the way forward as the universities are not one site campus based.

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
Larger developments still need to commit to contributing to the provision of improved roads, extra schools/medical facilities etc to cope with the extra people they are bringing into the area. This should not be left to the Council to fund if the developers agree to build some affordable/ starter homes within their developments.

Economy
Question 15
There are plenty of empty business sites within the town centres and Medway City Estate. The transport problems surrounding Medway City Estate need to be resolved. Encouraging people into the town centres rather than to Bluewater and other towns should be investigated. For example, Gravesend have introduced 2 hours free parking on a Saturday and the car park is a lot busier. This results in more people spending in the shops. I am against Lodge Hill as I do not believe in ousting an endangered bird as there is no guarantee it will work and they will then be lost forever.

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)
**Question 21**
I believe that the use of wharves should be encouraged. Using the river for transporting goods should be encouraged to ease congestion on the roads. Potentially using the river for transporting people and cyclists would ease congestion around Medway City.

**Economy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**

**Question 22**
(Did not answer)

**Question 23**
(Did not answer)

**Question 24**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**

**Question 25**
(Did not answer)

**Question 26**
(Did not answer)

**Question 27**
(Did not answer)

**Question 28**
(Did not answer)

**Question 29**
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Environment**

**Question 30**
(Did not answer)
Question 31
Respect SSSIs

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
New developments should blend in to their surroundings especially in places like Rochester.

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
Remember that it is rural and should remain so. The agricultural land is important as such.

Question 42
Listen to them
Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
More health facilities to cope with the increased populations so people can access medical help when they need to.

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
Create more cycle lanes. Investigate a method of crossing pedestrians and cyclists across the river near the Tunnel.

Question 46
Larger developments should incorporate doctors surgeries. Possibly drop in centres in larger employment areas like the Medway City Estate.

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
Do not be as short sighted as in recent years, closing schools due to low roll numbers only needing to build and open new ones a year or 2 later in a similar area due to a rise in birth rate.

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
We need to preserve

Question 50
Yes shortfalls should be addressed. It would be nice to see a higher level to bring us closer in line to our neighbouring councils

Question 51
(Did not answer)

**Question 52**
A balance between the 2 as it depends where the new development is located.

(Did not answer)

**Open Space Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sports Facilities**
**Question 54**
Access to sport is very important for health and the reduction of anti social behaviour and should therefore be considered within new developments

(Did not answer)

**Sports Facilities Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource**
**Question 56**
It should be protected. We need to be encouraging home grown.

(Did not answer)

**Natural Resource Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality**
**Question 57**
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**
**Question 58**
(Did not answer)

**Question 59**
We need to ensure that these are viable to make use of river transport to ease congestion on the roads which will help improve the air quality
Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
The layout of the waste disposal sites in Strood and Gillingham need to be looked at. More people would use them if they did not have to sit in huge queues to reach the particular recycling area they need to access. Recycling should continue to be a prior

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
Flood plains need to be respected. Green areas for drainage, not just total concrete for parking spaces.

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
Potentially, this would depend on the measures to be introduced.

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
Considered very carefully.

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
I believe this is a form of energy that needs investigating as an option

**Question 69**
Should not be detrimental to the area or use up valuable development, agricultural or open space sites

**Question 70**
If this can be useful and effective then recycling waste is always a good idea

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**

**Question 71**
A different road layout is needed to decrease congestion around the 4 Elms roundabout especially with increased housing in Wainscott. This has a knock on effect to the tunnel and Medway City Estate (which has it's own congestion problems) and through Wain

**Question 72**
More cycle paths and pavements that are not obstructed by parked cars. Potential for cyclists and pedestrians to cross the river near the Tunnel

**Question 73**
Some free parking should be investigated to encourage people to visit the town centres to shop rather than Bluewater.

**Question 74**
I believe that these are important for both leisure and business. River transport should be encouraged to ease heavy goods congestion on the roads

**Question 75**
(Did not answer)

**Transport Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**

**Question 76**
Listen to the local Parishes. Recognise the needs of the local communities before the Government demands

**Question 77**
(Did not answer)

**Question 78**
(Did not answer)

**Question 79**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
(Did not answer)

**Question 81**
(Did not answer)

**Question 82**
(Did not answer)

**Question 83**
(Did not answer)

**Question 84**
Green belt should not be considered until all brownfield sites have been exhausted. If an area is classed as green belt today, it cannot suddenly be declassified to suit.

**Question 85**
(Did not answer)

**Question 86**
Needs have to be constantly re-assessed.

**Question 87**
(Did not answer)

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: Vanessa Roach

Reference
35

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Charity/Community/Faith Group
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
The Frindsbury and Wainscott Community Association came into being in October 1988 to represent the views and concerns of the local community. We would like residents to have a say and some control over their local neighbourhood with the ultimate ambition of enabling growth and development in a manageable and sustainable way resulting in a neighbourhood and more importantly a community that residents are proud to live in and be a part of.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2  
(Did not answer)

Question 3  
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments  
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4  
No. We have concerns that an over subscription of housing need as at the previous core strategy hearing will result in pressure to develop sites such as Lodge Hill. This is unacceptable.

Question 5  
(Did not answer)

Question 6  
(Did not answer)

Question 7  
This requires a range of housing options to suit varying needs. Many have good health whilst others have greater needs. As well as sheltered accommodation, nursing and residential homes, there is also a need for purpose built units to enable independent living.

Question 8  
Housing suitable for those with disabilities should be a concern but also ‘future-proofing’ some new builds to make them easier to adapt if people’s circumstances change. E.g. wider doors. This would take pressure off existing housing and enable people to stay in their homes longer.
own homes if they become less able.

**Question 9**
Our community can only tolerate further development if it is of low density. Too much housing coupled with poor infrastructure will result in social isolation leading to poor health and lack of well being. Good development should give people access to open space. It is therefore vital that our green spaces are protected. Traffic congestion especially by HGVs contributes to poor air quality and health. Eg A228 is not protected from HGV’s despite the construction of the Wainscott Bypass

**Question 10**
We trust that in preparation of the new Local Plan, the National Planning Policy Framework will be adhered to. This is of great importance in a unitary authority as large and varied as Medway. Special consideration before any further development should be given to the protection of existing green spaces (of which there is a shortfall in our area) as well as Areas of Landscape Importance such as Hogmarsh Valley and Conservation Areas such as Manor Farm. High grade agricultural land should be protected against development.

**Question 11**
(Did not answer)

**Question 12**
(Did not answer)

**Question 13**
(Did not answer)

**Question 14**
(Did not answer)

**Housing Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Economy**
**Question 15**
(Did not answer)

**Question 16**
(Did not answer)

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
(Did not answer)

**Question 19**
(Did not answer)

**Question 20**
(Did not answer)

**Question 21**
(Did not answer)

**Economy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**
**Question 22**
(Did not answer)

**Question 23**
(Did not answer)

**Question 24**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**
**Question 25**
(Did not answer)

**Question 26**
(Did not answer)

**Question 27**
(Did not answer)

**Question 28**
(Did not answer)

**Question 29**
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments**
Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
We also seek an extension of public footpaths and bridleways inland through our area and not just the coastal path. Accessibility to people with mobility problems is a concern

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
In para 11.4 the council lists the special landscapes of Medway but omits the one that is perhaps most characteristic of this area - disused chalk workings. Whether these take the form of derelict quarries or chalk cliffs (most obviously the cliff that rises above Strood and crowned by All Saints Church, Frindsbury), these workings bring dramatic contrast to the Medway landscape and play host to some of the few areas of wild vegetation within the urban area. They support diverse wildlife, but they also have considerable historical interest, as much of the prosperity of Medway and the Lower Medway Valley arises from cement manufacture from chalk. In the past many of these quarries both large and small have disappeared to housing and industrial development and waste infilling. There are now fewer disused quarries remaining and what was a common resource is now scarce. We consider that disused chalk workings should be acknowledged as a key feature of the Medway landscape and furthermore given priority for conservation and informal recreation provision in the future. We urge the council to include a commitment in its local plan to this effect.

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
Space should be given for informal recreation. Everyone should have the right to open space close to their homes. 'Pocket Parks' could include small areas on street corners and
in all of them there should be provision for seating, not just to enhance soci

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**
**Question 38**
(Did not answer)

**Question 39**
(Did not answer)

**Question 40**
(Did not answer)

**Question 41**
(Did not answer)

**Question 42**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**
**Question 43**
(Did not answer)

**Question 44**
(Did not answer)

**Question 45**
(Did not answer)

**Question 46**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure Service Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Social and Community Infrastructure**
**Question 47**
(Did not answer)
Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)
Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

**Question 78**
(Did not answer)

**Question 79**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
(Did not answer)

**Question 81**
(Did not answer)

**Question 82**
(Did not answer)

**Question 83**
(Did not answer)

**Question 84**
(Did not answer)

**Question 85**
(Did not answer)

**Question 86**
(Did not answer)

**Question 87**
(Did not answer)

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Name:</strong> Graham Clive Bates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reference</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organisation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On Behalf Of</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of Consultee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Member of the public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
No at least not in or near/around the Cuxton and Halling areas. There is too much development being allowed along the A228 corridor. This road ind assoicated network of junctions is to say the least dangerous and it is only a matter of time before there a

Question 5
There is obviously a need for affordable housing and help to buy schemes to get the young and tenenanted persons into owning or part owning their own homes. Then there is a need for more follow on properties in order for people who 's families are growing to move from starter homes to larger accomodation.

Question 6
No 25% is too large a figure however as I only found about this consultation today (29/02/2016) I haven't had time to look at figures and formulate a measured opinion.

Question 7
More sheltered accommodation and single story homes with good access and appropriate height/size facilities especially for wheel chair users.

Question 8
Help into accommodation for the homeless in apartment style buildings which are affordable and easy to keep tidy/clean plus help and advice for participants in some sort of into housing schemes. More affordable housing which isn't owned by private landlords. We need to get back to council style places to rent which will benifit both the local population and provide long term income for the Medway Borough Council.
Question 9
By getting the lower earners into affordable accommodation as well as help with how to
manage income and what to do if things go wrong. As strange as it may seem many people
get despondent and go into a decline in all areas because they don't know how to plan or
cope.

Question 10
No as I only found about this survey today (29/02/2016) and haven't had time to look
however there are many brown field sites in the medway area which should be
considered.

Question 11
Self build isn't the answer at all as there isn't the skill base and costs can run away with
you if you don't have the management skills to run this.

Question 12
There are often parcels of land left aside from developments and infrastructure projects
why not look at these areas and put as many to practical use as possible.

Question 13
As near to our colleges and universities as is practical. Purpose built blocks of student
accommodation would help and condition and use would be easier to control/monitor.

Question 14
As I am unaware of the size of the traveller population I am not qualified to give an
opinion.

Housing Comments
Keep away from the A228 corridor.

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

**Question 20**
(Did not answer)

**Question 21**
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**

**Question 22**
(Did not answer)

**Question 23**
(Did not answer)

**Question 24**
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**

**Question 25**
(Did not answer)

**Question 26**
(Did not answer)

**Question 27**
(Did not answer)

**Question 28**
(Did not answer)

**Question 29**
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
Plenty of green open spaces. As a group of towns and villages we make poor use of the lovely river that runs right through the middle of us. More riverside cafe's and resteraunts. More picnick areas and for goodness sake tidy it up.

Question 31
The gillingham wildlife is a good example. More of this please

Question 32
Don't know

Environment Comments
Air and noise pollution are a real problem along the A228 corridor.

Built Environment
Question 33
There are many historical sites in medway which are already managed well keep it up.

Question 34
Tidy up run down areas making them a more pleasant place to be. Make people keep there properties in good order and keep discarded items out of garden areas. Klean the place up.

Question 35
When developing in historical areas make sure the plans are sympathetic and keep modern aside to new sites.

Question 36
Some of the older housing is in poor condition and could do with renovation. There are areas which are real no go areas especially at night. We need to change this.

Question 37
Don't build apartment blocks. Look at "Toy Town" which was a block of "flats" in rochester only a few decades after it was built it was knocked down.

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
Clean it up. The live aboard area at Hoo Marina and associated area of live aboards are although cheap accommodation for people most are in a pretty sorry state plus their effluent is mostly discharged directly into the river. It is a blot on the landscap

Question 39
There is good opportunity for employment already existing in medway it is the public transport links which are poor and limit the opportunities of travel to work.

Question 40
See 39

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
The whole plan needs looking at. We build homes without taking local people into account. Planners don’t seem to care what people who already live in an area want out of their environment and I have been told many times "well you already have noise and ai

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

**Question 59**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Waste**
**Question 60**
(Did not answer)

**Waste Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**
**Question 61**
(Did not answer)

**Question 62**
(Did not answer)

**Question 63**
(Did not answer)

**Question 64**
(Did not answer)

**Question 65**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk**
**Question 66**
(Did not answer)

**Question 67**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)
Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)
Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Morgan Slade

Reference
37

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1

Dear Sir / Madam, Medway Council Local Plan Issues and Options Consultation 4th Jan 2016 till 5pm on 29th February 2016. The document outlines some issues and gives a simple narrative about possible future change for Medway. With little in-depth analysis, it is difficult to identify or assess realistic options for alternative patterns of growth. The Draft Plan, at preferred options, could present an integrated strategy and make clear choices about the balance of employment, housing and perhaps the role/balance of uses in different town centres with supporting policies. The Council might be taking a substantial leap from this I&O document to the Draft Plan. Vision and Strategic Objectives. The consultation material does not set out the Vision or Strategic Objectives for shaping future change for Medway in any detail. This usually forms part of an I&O stage. It is important to consider strategic objectives as they form the Local Plan ‘place shaping’ agenda. Obtaining the public’s response, would benefit the Council to identify concerns and learn from informed criticism early in the plan making process. Here are links to Issues and Options documents at Wyre 2015 and Chelmsford 2015. There are probably others. They do not contain supporting Policies at this stage. http://wyre.gov.uk/downloads/file/2915/wyre_local_plan_issues_and_options_2015 http://www.chelmsford.gov.uk/issues-options

Duty To Co-operate. Para 6.9 of Medway’s I&O document states that The Duty to cooperate has been built into the process of preparing a new Local Plan for Medway. What would be helpful is a list of strategic cross boundary matters, including the LTC, and a full examination of all relevant issues and how important they are for Medway including what outcomes the Council is seeking to achieve through the DtC. GTAA. Gypsy and Traveller accommodation needs identify 22 extra pitches by 2028. It is disappointing that there are no options or alternatives for pitch provision. Flexibility should be built into this provision to allow for a review of need regarding the changes to the definition of Gypsy and Travellers. Chatham It would be useful, early in the plan making process, to assess how successful the previous policies in the Local Plan have been in terms of Chatham’s sustainable development. Also how has planned/ unplanned development along the river affected Chatham’s current and future vitality/viability? SEA/SA There appears to be no up-to-date SA/SEA scoping report which is probably needed at this time. For the next stage, a draft plan will set out preferred development options and rejecting others, supported by policies. It would be difficult to understand how these general discussions in the I&O document could become a preferred integrated strategy with Policies. An intermediate stage that examines, in equal detail, different strategic patterns of development expressed as spatial options and with relevant evidence might at some point benefit the Council. Patterns of Development and Transport. The patterns of development are: High-density town centre and riverside development; Incremental suburban development; Significant planned growth of existing settlements; Freestanding settlements; Urban Extensions; Custom and self-build; Options for enhancing Chatham town centre. Without a full discussion and credible evidence to support or reject these patterns of development it is challenging to see how they can be assessed. Possibly a combination of all of them or some of them could be the most sustainable but this document does not explore this. As a result there are no
considerations of different transport needs for the different patterns of development. Future Transport needs are critical for Medway yet the document is silent on what transport infrastructure is required to support Medway’s growth over the plan period. Lodge Hill SSSI Strategic Allocation. With the Public Enquiry postponed, the Council makes clear at para 27.7 that it is promoting the Development Strategy that removes the SSSI at Lodge Hill. Whether or not the Government confirms this development the Council may wish to anticipate the uncertainties and significant delays for the Local Plan. Whilst this is barely recognised, it might be beneficial to examine contingencies for the development allocations at Lodge Hills. Conclusion. With Medway’s reliance on Lodge Hill, would it have been better to wait for the outcome of the public enquiry? At that point the I&O document could contain a Vision, Strategic Objectives and infrastructure requirements worked up into realistic alternative options. Then, after equal examination and consultation a preferred one chosen and others rejected. Regrettably, with so much missing from this document, to leap to a Draft Plan maybe a little hasty. The process could benefit from an additional I&O consultation, before a Draft Plan, with supporting policies, is produced. Then the Council might wish to take the opportunity to gather useful evidence and learn from informed criticism. Perhaps the Council is considering doing this but it is not clear from the documentation. Please add me to the data base for all future consultations. Yours sincerely Dr Morgan Slade BA (Hons), PgDip (Law), PhD, MRTPI, MInstLM (Local Resident).

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues
Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing
Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
(Did not answer)

Question 13
(Did not answer)

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)
Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)
Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment**
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)
Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space

Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)
Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)
Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)
Question 84  
(Did not answer)

Question 85  
(Did not answer)

Question 86  
(Did not answer)

Question 87  
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments  
(Did not answer)

Other Comments  
(Did not answer)
Name: Gill Goode

Reference
38

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Affordable housing, new employment areas, improved retail areas, reduced dependence on traffic, improved cycleways, increased and improved public open space

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Lack of employment, lack of affordable housing, air pollution

Question 3
Purpose built employment areas like that suggested at Rochester airport. Build council houses...if allowed...otherwise insist on minimum 25% affordable with new developments, free school buses for all full time students to 21 years+, many more cycleways

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
Yes

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
This is a minimum, threshold as low as is viable

Question 7
Independent living purpose built flats or bungalows

Question 8
Affordable for young families

Question 9
Incorporate cycleways, trees, public open spaces

Question 10
(Did not answer)
Question 11
Ensure parking adequate

Question 12
(Did not answer)

Question 13
Within 30 minute walk of colleges and unis...town centre location unnecessary for students

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
Build some council houses!!

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
Lot more needed

Question 18
Encourage businesses to move out of London

Question 19
Encourage students to stay in Medway with good employment prospects

Question 20
See other answers

Question 21
Keep some riverside areas for employment use

Economy Comments
Promote links to London for employers to come here rather than commuters

Tourism
Question 22
Encourage large hotels to come as well as b+b
Question 23
Weekend packages

Question 24
A big one therefore look after them

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
Yes

Question 26
Try and improve market share

Question 27
Include some of each but mainly retail and employment

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
No, encourage Chatham centre

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
Employment areas very important

Question 31
More open space is needed. Riverside Country Park was packed recently on a very cold, cloudy Sunday morning.

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
It cannot be regained once built on

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
Pedestrian areas, cycleways, good buses, mix of shops and cafes, variety of housing, access to open spaces

Question 35
The riverside, Capstone valley should be preserved. Separate built up areas should be preserved with strategic gaps between them rather than one large conurbation...particularly on the Hoo peninsula

Question 36
Chatham and Gillingham town centres

Question 37
See 34

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues

Question 38
Making into a market town is a good way forward in my opinion

Question 39
Encourage small units, good bus and cycle links

Question 40
Subsidise buses if necessary

Question 41
Free school buses for all. Ensure new rural developments have plenty of car parking spaces per household

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
Cycleways, pleasant footpaths, link small open spaces to larger ones
Question 44
Limit take aways in an area, encourage cafes and local retail outlets

Question 45
Better cycleways...the Medway City Estate is an example of failure to provide these

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
Buses and cycleways very important for health of users and reducing air pollution. The New Medway Crossing from Halling to Wouldham could significantly impact traffic in Borstal which is already busy...consider zebra crossing, HGV limits, improved cyclewa

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
Difficult under this government because of their insistence of academies and free schools. Do not vote Tory!

Question 48
Social services for the elderly and mentally ill. Adequate housing for the elderly with options for more care as required.

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
You need to keep it and increase it where possible especially with the population increase forecast

Question 50
The level is too low

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
Both

Question 53
Community open spaces a great idea. Do not allow management companies to control new estates.

Open Space Comments
Sports Facilities
Question 54
Outdoor space provides opportunities for free sport but allow for adequate sports centres too.

Question 55
Moving the stadium sounds a great idea to a site with good transport links and allowing for redevelopment of Priestfields but I don't know Gillingham that well so cannot be specific

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
Important as once lost, never regained

Natural Resource Comments
Do not lose them

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
Important to allow ample provision and not to redevelop whole riverside with housing

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
Allow private individuals to take large vehicles to sites to minimise fly tipping...with small charge if necessary.
Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
Concentrate on affordable housing, social services and employment sites in deprived areas. Preschool provision essential too and local health care.

Question 63
Minimise reliance on cars, good house designs, encourage solar and wind power.

Question 64
Flood defences that make use of natural methods, trees, marshes etc

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
Open spaces are important

Flood Risk
Question 66
SuDs sound good

Question 67
Housing to allow for higher than predicted problems

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
Yes

Question 69
Encourage solar

Question 70
Encourage innovative ideas

Energy Comments
Transport

Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
Free buses, cheaper trains, more off road cycleways and footpaths especially at employment sites and linking them to major housing areas. Also link cycleways to train and bus stations. Secure cycle parks with CCTV.

Question 73
Enough for out of town but limit residents permits to parking near their house, otherwise they are encouraged to drive short distances...I have particularly witnessed this in Rochester. Living outside Medway I do struggle to park when visiting Rochester.

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
Encourage expansion and build employment areas nearby.

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability

Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
High in all areas

Question 78
Employment sites, cycleways

Question 79
Local community input

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy

Question 80
(Did not answer)
Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
Employment and housing. Improve existing retail areas and possibly reduce. Improve and increase open spaces.

Question 83
Increase density for student and elderly accommodation but not families. Infrastructure to Medway City Estate ie road access, does not work. ? River bus??

Question 84
Strategic Gaps around each town/village very important for community identity

Question 85
Plenty suitable for the elderly as population will increase massively but a mix needed in all areas, including large family homes.

Question 86
Encourage employment particularly but mixed use important

Question 87
Holistic review

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Jan Walkinshaw

Reference
39

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
To look after and don't ruin what we already have.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
To get their head round the fact we don't need 30000 houses built

Question 3
Should come up with a more realistic figure.

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
NO

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
NO - It should all be affordable housing

Question 7
Small developments of bungalows so they can live together and support each other, which would free up larger houses for families.

Question 8
Local affordable houses for local youngsters stepping on the housing ladder.

Question 9
More development doesn't really go with health and well being. It goes more with congestion, frustrate and pollution.

Question 10
Small clumps of houses away from existing houses so everyone has a bit of green space around them.

Question 11
Starter homes and self builds should be two separate things.

**Question 12**
The odd stand alone site, NOT massive housing estates.

**Question 13**
Along side the place they are studying obviously. Less cars on roads, less pollution, no travelling costs for students.

**Question 14**
Gypsy and Travellers don't really travel these days though, do they. It's no good just saying they visit Fairs, well the rest of the population also visit Fairs but it doesn't give us the right to set up home wherever we feel like. It's just a way of getting hold land that other people wouldn't be allowed to build on. Travelling show people already have the site they have lived on for years in Strood.

**Housing Comments**
You don't need as many houses as you think you do. Many houses standing empty that could be used.

**Economy**
**Question 15**
Brown field sites. Isle of Grain has an enormous area that could be used for housing and industry and employment.

**Question 16**
See above

**Question 17**
See above

**Question 18**
(Did not answer)

**Question 19**
There are only so many Uni's you can have (there won't be enough jobs in Mcdonalds for them all after).

**Question 20**
(Did not answer)

**Question 21**
You have sold off wharves for houses, silly move.
Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
We have Castles and a Cathedral, we will always have tourism. Maybe the council should advertise further afield, although we have had thousands of Americans and Chinese visitors for years so maybe you don't need to.

Question 24
Very important, that's why you shouldn't think of concreting over it.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
To near Bluewater.

Question 26
?

Question 27
Yes, all of those.

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
We already have Bluewater.

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
Look after it's open spaces.

Question 31
Look after its open spaces. People in towns appreciate having such places. Only last week I met a couple down Cliffe marshes who had come all the way from Crystal Palace just to "walk and breathe in peace".

**Question 32**
(Did not answer)

**Environment Comments**
LOOK AFTER OPEN SPACES. Once they’re gone, they’re gone for ever.

**Built Environment**
**Question 33**
Think they do a good job of it already

**Question 34**
Open space around.

**Question 35**
Heritage

**Question 36**
Luton area?

**Question 37**
Small clumps of houses not massive developments will give people sense of belonging to a community. Don't build them on top of the community already there.

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**
**Question 38**
It already is being.

**Question 39**
More farm work for local people, shouldn't have to bring people in from the continent to do this work when there unemployment.

**Question 40**
We have the internet ! Speed could be improved though.

**Question 41**
(Did not answer)

**Question 42**
Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
More cycle ways.

Question 44
Look after their farmers, who do a brilliant job.

Question 45
More cycle ways.

Question 46
Already have them. Could cut down the number of people that shouldn't be using them though, that would make a difference.

Infrastructure Service Comments
"

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
Oh, I've lost the will to live now, didn't realise it was going to be this long, I have to go.

Question 48
" 

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
"

Question 50
"

Question 51
"

Question 52
"
Question 53

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54

Question 55

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)
Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)
Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Andrew Millsom

Reference
40

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Charity/Community/Faith Group
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
The Plan has to address three principal issues: Housing: sufficient housing for the increasing population incoming and protecting Medway’s Open Space to meet the facilities of an increasing population. Infrastructure: improve the roads and seek opportunities for improving public transport. Economy: Not within our constitution or remit.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Locally based recreation facilities for the needs of an increasing population.

Question 3
Not within our constitution or remit

Strategic Issues Comments
Not within our constitution or remit

Housing

Question 4
Although there is significant pressure for housing and other buildings such as schools, land that is designated Open Space should be “red lined” and not “freed up” for any building works.

Question 5
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 6
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 7
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 8
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 9
To make sure provision for open spaces and allotments, to be sympathetic to the natural surroundings, and to lessen environmental impacts.
Question 10
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 11
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 12
Not encroaching on L3 status land, and carefully avoiding what is Open Space. An independent dependent review body that looks at different design aspects including landscaping.

Question 13
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 14
Not within our constitution or remit

Housing Comments
Not within our constitution or remit

Economy

Question 15
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 16
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 17
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 18
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 19
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 20
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 21
Not within our constitution or remit

Economy Comments
Not within our constitution or remit
Tourism

Question 22
Refer to the answer in Q23.

Question 23
The Tourism office needs to do national and international advertising giving reasons why Rochester is a place to base to visit mid-Kent as well as Medway. This would give more reason for longer stays, and in using the hillier Open Spaces can observe the Medway Towns in context of beautiful Kent countryside. Increase the range of activities and recreational facilities e.g. bat walks. Well planned and sensitively sited carparks, extensions to carparks for events achieved by installation of geosynthetic permeable paving grids.

Question 24
The widening of scope for coming to Rochester/Medway given to Q23 by marketing of viewpoints as visual focal points.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 26
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 27
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 28
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 29
Not within our constitution or remit

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment

Question 30
By ensuring that the Green Belt is not slackened and that there should be no releasing of L3 status Open Space.
Question 31
Long term programme to expand, integrate and connect wherever possible corridors for wildlife having cycle paths and footpaths. Where practical purchase properties that come-up for sale on the strategic corridors.

Question 32
Landscape features need to be protected and made more use of; this is to be achieved by improving access views and sightlines as well as ensuring surrounding areas contribute rather than detract from these natural assets.

Environment Comments
Consultation with local interest groups.

Built Environment
Question 33
The View management Policy of 2006 should be updated and given more definitive policy formation to ensure that a number of distinctive sightlines are maintained (similar to the sightlines to/of St Paul’s Cathedral, London). Also include ancient woodland and orchards with heritage assets.

Question 34
Distinctive design of replacement/infill units and good maintenance of the existing urban fabric, along with accessible Open Spaces within close proximity. Such Open Spaces to be appropriately managed and diverse.

Question 35
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 36
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 37
That they do not detract from enjoyment of the natural environment, rather complement and enhance.

Built Environment Comments
( Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 39
Not within our constitution or remit
Question 40
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 41
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 42
Consultation with local interest groups.

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
Improvements to the existing fractured cycle network to provide a continuous (or as near as possible) cycle network, this would entice more people to use cycles, especially if many cycle paths were segregated from parallel trafficked roads and/or built between urban zones away from roads, including urban Open Spaces and the proposed connectivity corridors, which can be for hiking, walking and cycling. Modify the boundaries of green spaces to make them more enticing.

Question 44
Allotments and community orchards share schemes. Encouraging and facilitating educational projects in the Open Spaces.

Question 45
Complete a co-ordinated cycling network (c.f. answer to Q43). Improve facilities in parks and other urban open spaces with outdoor gym equipment for young and old.

Question 46
Healthy walks, encourage use of Open Spaces for health care, especially for people with mental illnesses, such as depression.

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
New schools need to be built and existing ones expanded, but the use of playing fields for buildings should not be permitted.

Question 48
Places for the public to gather, walk, play and suitable for people of all ages, that are free.

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
Open Space

Question 49
Medway already has a low local standard compared with other adjacent and nearby councils. To diminish this standard further would threaten the Open Spaces that exist within the urban fabric. The council should be doing all that is feasible to ensure all l

Question 50
The value of 3.25ha/1,000 population should be raised to match other urban centric authorities in Kent (Maidstone is 6.31ha/1,000 population) and lowest adjacent council of Tonbridge and Malling is 6.14ha/1,000 population. It should definitely not be lowe

Question 51
Local parks, especially those near town centres should be protected at all costs!

Question 52
It is important that developments continue to fund long standing open spaces. It is considered that rather than low quality, local minimal, almost token ticking facility, monies should be focused on the long standing open spaces. New developments usually

Question 53
The “Friends of ....” Groups who forge credible relationships with the council (e.g. in the achieving of Green Flag status) be provided the opportunity and powers to enter onto local arrangements to use local contractors, forging links and finding local spo

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities

Question 54
Informal sports grounds to be and playing fields to be protected.

Question 55
Not within our constitution or remit

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource

Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality**

**Question 57**
Parks, particularly those close to town centres, provide “green lungs” for much of traffic pollution, especially where there are problematic cross-roads, thereby protecting and filtering the local air.

**Air Quality Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**

**Question 58**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 59**
Waste recycling could be better achieved in parks and other open spaces by the introduction of ready segregated bins.

**Minerals Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Waste**

**Question 60**
Refer to answers given to Q32 and Q43.

**Waste Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**

**Question 61**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 62**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 63**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 64**
Yes

**Question 65**
SuDVs should be used at all new developments, except when professionals advise against
this system.

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk**
**Question 66**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 67**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**
**Question 68**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 69**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 70**
Refer to answers to Q33 and Q43.

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**
**Question 71**
The towns require a full and complete inter-connected cycle network.

**Question 72**
Improve air quality, increase walking and cycle networks, to increase safety for walkers and cyclists, joining up cycle lanes to make a proper cycling provision and using footpaths.

**Question 73**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 74**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 75**
Long-term aspirations need to be clearly defined, forming the “skeleton framework” of the core sustainable projects. Clarity and transparency required in areas to be developed and those protected. There should be more permission in principle decisions thi

**Transport Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**
**Question 76**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 77**
Refer to answers to Q33 and Q43.

**Question 78**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 79**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**
**Question 80**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 81**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 82**
No, if there were more radical approaches it would undermine the perception of local democracy and disenfranchise much of the local population, rather than involving them and getting them to “buy in” to the finalised development plans of any local piece o

**Question 83**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 84**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 85**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 86**
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 87
Not within our constitution or remit

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Mr Paul Barden

Reference
41

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Developing a suitable infrastructure (roads, rail, hospitals) for the existing and future populations. Have a consistent and fair approach to deal with building on protected sites (SSSIs). Protecting the countryside and its assets (SSSI's, country parks etc.), and preserving and enhancing Medway's history. A retail strategy that is what the residents want (i.e. not be forced into town centres) rather than what the council wants. And then building housing in a way that supports all these points.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
1) Developing a suitable infrastructure (roads, rail, hospitals) for the existing and future populations  2) Have a consistent and fair approach to deal with building on protected sites (SSSIs)  3) Protecting the countryside and its assets (SSSI’s, country parks etc.)  4) preserving and enhancing Medway's history  5) A retail strategy that is what the residents want (i.e. not be forced into town centres) rather than what the council wants  6) And then building housing in a way that supports all these points.

Question 3
1) Infrastructure should be in place before new developments start construction. Stop lots of small incremental developments avoiding S106 payments as not big enough but having a cumulative effect. Why has so much construction being allowed with Four Elms Roundabout being upgraded? Medway hospital cannot cope, a second one is required etc. More and better trains as we still stand every daye  2) The council will not allow development on a site with low protection (Hempstead and Capstone valleys) but then allow development on a SSSI (Lodge Hill). Needs to be one set of rules applied equally to all sites not based on the fact no Peninsular councillors on planning committee. Work with the relevant agencies and charities (RSPB, Natural England) to agree suitable developments and conditions rather than ignore them and end up with years of fighting and public enquires  3) Promote, respect and protect the countryside and its assets (SSSI’s, country parks etc.). We have so much so lets make use of it  4) Have an interest in Medways history. There are so many unprotected and unknown sites - lets protect and promote and make this a place people with an interest want to visit. Look at how much is on the peninsular, its more than just the dockyard and Rochester Castle  5) A retail strategy that is what the residents want (i.e. not be forced into town centres) rather than what the council wants. No-one wants to go to Strood or Chatham, people want to shop out of town. Lets have a balanced strategy and something desperately needs to be done to upgrade the town centres, not just tinkering with new paving.  6) Build housing in a way that supports all these points. i.e. work with people and agencies not try and dictate to them.
Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing
Question 4
I have no comment

Question 5
It would be good to have a balance of up market, regular and affordable. Not build as many cheap houses as you can to meet targets. This in part has led to Medway's decline as a good place to live

Question 6
Too high - its bringing in the wrong sort of people

Question 7
I have no comment

Question 8
I have no comment

Question 9
Not unless the infrastructure is upgraded to cope. With the current developments it is to the detriment as a result. - just look at Medway Hospital, problems on roads etc.

Question 10
These should be limited to non-premium areas, so not Riverside.

Question 11
Do not even start building until the new infrastructure required is identified and construction has started.

Question 12
Both

Question 13
Student housing should be located on or adjacent to the college/university sites. No expansion in their numbers unless suitable accommodation in place.

Question 14
Away from other sites.

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)
Economy

Question 15
Lodge Hill and the Peninsular should be ruled out as the roads cannot cope and the existing plans will not provide the capacity needed. Unless the A289, four elms roundabout, Medway Tunnel etc. are properly upgraded. Near the Motorway junctions would be best

Question 16
Limited as Medway is currently a place people want to leave not come to. Need to improve the town centres and increase the standing of the Medway towns first.

Question 17
They are unachievable

Question 18
Clean up the area, refurbish the town centres, reduce crime and make it a place people want to relocate to.

Question 19
Until such time as infrastructure and housing issues are resolved no further expansion should happen.

Question 20
Few high end jobs in Medway and the few firms that used to be here have relocated. So unlikely.

Question 21
No comment.
Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
Is there a need for any?

Question 23
Lots - do an audit of all historical and nature sites in Medway. Look how to preserve and encourage visitors. Then promote. i.e. look at all the wildlife sites on the peninsular. Rather than try and remove them with development (i.e. lodge hill) why not have a slightly smaller amount of housing and have the SSSI sites as somewhere we turn into nature reserves. Look at how much history there is on the peninsular, lots could be done there.

Question 24
A lot if it was used. River service, river tours, open up the forts on/in the River Medway (i.e by Hoo)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
Yes. Its the best of a poor bunch. With focus and investment it could become a well liked and visited Retail location.

Question 26
Improve market share.

Question 27
The shopping area should be just that, with food and drink. Employment should be near by but not affecting retail. Residential should be away from the retail streets.

Question 28
Yes. Walkable from the high street and station but with parking.

Question 29
It should be allowed and encourage if the demand was there. Something is needed on the Peninsular side to rival Hempstead Valley. Strood will never be anything other than a convenience location, and at the moment people tend to go to Pepper Hill/Bluewater

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
Environment

Question 30
Stop trying to build on the SSSI sites and respect them. Not one rule for the Peninsula (build where you like), one for Rainham/Hempstead (no field can be build on). Work with (not against) RSPB and Natural England - they are the experts and are there to support you.

Question 31
Work with (not against) RSPB and Natural England - they are the experts and are there to support you. Open up SSSI sites as nature reserves - i.e. why not have one in Lodge Hill in conjunction with the RSPB and complementing the housing development, same elsewhere. There are many sites crying out to be official nature reserves. With Medway Council its all about the number of houses, nothing else matters.

Question 32
It should be valued, and equally across all of Medway.

Environment Comments
Respect it - currently it's not.

Built Environment

Question 33
They should be valued and promoted. And many are suitable for shared use - i.e. visitor attractions and appropriate business use.

Question 34
Very few at the moment and getting worse year on year. But should be history, nature and retail.

Question 35
Nothing positive - poor health service, poor place to live, bad infrastructure. All need improving, and new development should reflect our undervalued/underinvested history, nature and retail

Question 36
Infrastructure, health service, poor place to live, poor retail

Question 37
A focus on preserving and enhancing the history and nature of the area.

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)
Rural Issues

Question 38
Didn’t know it was one. But it should be for the peninsula.

Question 39
Its should be focused on both here and the town centres,

Question 40
Accept that we need cars to get around as any suitable bus service can never be cost effective. Planning should take this into account - i.e. suitable amount of parking as our requirements higher.

Question 41
Hospital needs a massive expansion to cope with the councils aspirations. Road improvements are needed as well, especially Wainscott bypass.

Question 42
Work with Parish Councils

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
Bigger hospital, more doctors surgeries.

Question 44
I don’t think it can.

Question 45
We have enough gyms already.

Question 46
Need larger health practices with more doctors. Locations are fine/

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
No comment.

Question 48
More required. We could do with one in Upnor
Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
It should be increased not rationalised.

Question 50
Yes on both counts

Question 51
No comment

Question 52
Both

Question 53
Yes as this brings shared ownership.

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
It is important and should grow with the community.

Question 55
It should be included as it is important to the supporters and the community. It can be a focus for regeneration of the area it is in.

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
I’m not sure this is vital to Medway. Where it is used and generates an income/employment it can be retained, but out of use areas can be redeveloped.

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
No comment

**Air Quality Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**
**Question 58**
No comment

**Question 59**
It is important we make use of the river and enhance its appeal/use. They should be retailed and development.

**Minerals Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Waste**
**Question 60**
Whatever is appropriate

**Waste Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**
**Question 61**
Be sensible. It is important but don’t get carried away

**Question 62**
S106 payments shared across all of Medway. i.e. why does the Lines always get the money, why not other parks?

**Question 63**
Flood defences across Medway need enhancing to take this into account. St. Marys Island was done, but not Upnor

**Question 64**
Housing policies

**Question 65**
No comment

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
(Did not answer)
Flood Risk

Question 66
They must

Question 67
Use latest figures and never compromise

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy

Question 68
No.

Question 69
Solar panels on new housed

Question 70
Yes

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport

Question 71
No comment

Question 72
In town suitable buses. But accept on areas like the Peninsular that bus services are not financially sustainable so accept cars are a necessity and plan around that

Question 73
Accept on areas like the Peninsular that bus services are not financially sustainable so accept cars are a necessity and plan around that.

Question 74
They should do

Question 75
It is important and should be retained and developed. Rochester Airport development should go ahead

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)
Deliverability

Question 76
Quality staff

Question 77
Yes

Question 78
Do not build any more house until infrastructure developed necessary is developed. Get money from central government if required

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy

Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
No

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
Encourage them - but these should be higher quality housing with higher council tax etc.

Question 87
Strood should be regarded for what it is - a low cost low quality retail area for locals. Chatham and Rochester should take account of the waterfront sites. Rochester as a historic quaint centre, Chatham as the core retail centre
Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Nicola Miller

Reference
42

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Developer/Consultant
Developing a Vision for Medway
Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues
Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing
Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
Question 13
The University for the Creative Arts (UCA) supports the need for the new Local Plan to identify student housing needs over the plan period. UCA offers 215 bedrooms to first year students. Up to 500 second and third year students live in private rented accommodation in the Chatham and Rochester area. Students are directed to Studentenant and to student housing letting agents to assist with finding accommodation. There is therefore a high demand for this type of accommodation, however the choice is limited and the quality of accommodation is varied. Sites for new student and private rented accommodation need to be appropriately identified to ensure that they are in close proximity to the Campus and are served by reliable and frequent public transport services. There may be opportunities for Medway Council to look at joint private student housing estates, similar to those created in Canterbury, with Universities at Medway students. However, as UCA and the Universities at Medway Campus are located in different parts of the District any proposals or sites will need to be correctly identified so that they are in close proximity to both Campus’ and are served by reliable and frequent public transport. UCA welcomes the opportunity to discuss the points raised with you further and to consider how the Local Plan could respond.

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)
**Question 21**  
(Did not answer)

**Economy Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**  
**Question 22**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 23**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 24**  
(Did not answer)

**Tourism Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre**  
**Question 25**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 26**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 27**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 28**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 29**  
(Did not answer)

**Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Environment**  
**Question 30**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 31**  
(Did not answer)
Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)
Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space

Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)
Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)
Question 72  
(Did not answer)

Question 73  
(Did not answer)

Question 74  
(Did not answer)

Question 75  
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments  
(Did not answer)

Deliverability  

Question 76  
(Did not answer)

Question 77  
(Did not answer)

Question 78  
(Did not answer)

Question 79  
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments  
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy  

Question 80  
(Did not answer)

Question 81  
(Did not answer)

Question 82  
(Did not answer)

Question 83  
(Did not answer)
Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Suneeta Dusila

Reference
43

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Green clean sustainable Medway, community centres in every town

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
(Did not answer)

**Question 13**
(Did not answer)

**Question 14**
(Did not answer)

**Housing Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Economy**
**Question 15**
(Did not answer)

**Question 16**
(Did not answer)

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
(Did not answer)

**Question 19**
(Did not answer)

**Question 20**
(Did not answer)

**Question 21**
(Did not answer)

**Economy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**
**Question 22**
(Did not answer)

**Question 23**
(Did not answer)

**Question 24**
Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)
Question 35  
(Did not answer)

Question 36  
(Did not answer)

Question 37  
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments  
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues  
Question 38  
(Did not answer)

Question 39  
(Did not answer)

Question 40  
(Did not answer)

Question 41  
(Did not answer)

Question 42  
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments  
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service  
Question 43  
(Did not answer)

Question 44  
(Did not answer)

Question 45  
(Did not answer)

Question 46  
(Did not answer)
Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)
Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**

**Question 76**
(Did not answer)

**Question 77**
(Did not answer)

**Question 78**
(Did not answer)

**Question 79**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
(Did not answer)

**Question 81**
(Did not answer)

**Question 82**
(Did not answer)

**Question 83**
(Did not answer)

**Question 84**
(Did not answer)

**Question 85**
(Did not answer)

**Question 86**
(Did not answer)

**Question 87**
(Did not answer)

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Andrew Millsom

Reference
44

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Charity/Community/Faith Group
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
The Plan has to address three principal issues: Housing: sufficient housing for the increasing population incoming and protecting Medway’s Open Space to meet the facilities of an increasing population. Infrastructure: improve the roads and seek opportunities for improving public transport. Economy: Not within our constitution or remit.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Locally based recreation facilities for the needs of an increasing population.

Question 3
Not within our constitution or remit

Strategic Issues Comments
Not within our constitution or remit

Housing

Question 4
Although there is significant pressure for housing and other buildings such as schools, land that is designated Open Space should be “red lined” and not “freed up” for any building works.

Question 5
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 6
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 7
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 8
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 9
To make sure provision for open spaces and allotments, to be sympathetic to the natural surroundings, and to lessen environmental impacts.
Question 10
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 11
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 12
Not encroaching on L3 status land, and carefully avoiding what is Open Space. An independent dependent review body that looks at different design aspects including landscaping.

Question 13
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 14
Not within our constitution or remit

Housing Comments
Not within our constitution or remit

Economy
Question 15
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 16
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 17
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 18
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 19
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 20
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 21
Not within our constitution or remit

Economy Comments
Not within our constitution or remit
Tourism

Question 22
Refer to the answer in Q23.

Question 23
The Tourism office needs to do national and international advertising giving reasons why Rochester is a place to base to visit mid-Kent as well as Medway. This would give more reason for longer stays, and in using the hillier Open Spaces can observe the Medway Towns in context of beautiful Kent countryside. Increase the range of activities and recreational facilities e.g. bat walks. Well planned and sensitively sited carparks, extensions to carparks for events achieved by installation of geosynthetic permeable paving grids.

Question 24
The widening of scope for coming to Rochester/Medway given to Q23 by marketing of viewpoints as visual focal points.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 26
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 27
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 28
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 29
Not within our constitution or remit

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment

Question 30
By ensuring that the Green Belt is not slackened and that there should be no releasing of L3 status Open Space.
Question 31
Long term programme to expand, integrate and connect wherever possible corridors for wildlife having cycle paths and footpaths. Where practical purchase properties that come-up for sale on the strategic corridors.

Question 32
Landscape features need to be protected and made more use of; this is to be achieved by improving access views and sightlines as well as ensuring surrounding areas contribute rather than detract from these natural assets.

Environment Comments
Consultation with local interest groups.

Built Environment
Question 33
The View management Policy of 2006 should be updated and given more definitive policy formation to ensure that a number of distinctive sightlines are maintained (similar to the sightlines to/of St Paul's Cathedral, London). Also include ancient woodland and orchards with heritage assets.

Question 34
Distinctive design of replacement/infill units and good maintenance of the existing urban fabric, along with accessible Open Spaces within close proximity. Such Open Spaces to be appropriately managed and diverse.

Question 35
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 36
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 37
That they do not detract from enjoyment of the natural environment, rather complement and enhance.

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 39
Not within our constitution or remit
Question 40
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 41
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 42
Consultation with local interest groups.

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
Improvements to the existing fractured cycle network to provide a continuous (or as near as possible) cycle network, this would entice more people to use cycles, especially if many cycle paths were segregated from parallel trafficked roads and/or built between urban zones away from roads, including urban Open Spaces and the proposed connectivity corridors, which can be for hiking, walking and cycling. Modify the boundaries of green spaces to make them more enticing.

Question 44
Allotments and community orchards share schemes. Encouraging and facilitating educational projects in the Open Spaces.

Question 45
Complete a co-ordinated cycling network (c.f. answer to Q43). Improve facilities in parks and other urban open spaces with outdoor gym equipment for young and old.

Question 46
Healthy walks, encourage use of Open Spaces for health care, especially for people with mental illnesses, such as depression.

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
New schools need to be built and existing ones expanded, but the use of playing fields for buildings should not be permitted.

Question 48
Places for the public to gather, walk, play and suitable for people of all ages, that are free.

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
Open Space
Question 49
Medway already has a low local standard compared with other adjacent and nearby councils. To diminish this standard further would threaten the Open Spaces that exist within the urban fabric. The council should be doing all that is feasible to ensure all l

Question 50
The value of 3.25ha/1,000 population should be raised to match other urban centric authorities in Kent (Maidstone is 6.31ha/1,000 population) and lowest adjacent council of Tonbridge and Malling is 6.14ha/1,000 population. It should definitely not be lowe

Question 51
Local parks, especially those near town centres should be protected at all costs!

Question 52
It is important that developments continue to fund long standing open spaces. It is considered that rather than low quality, local minimal, almost token ticking facility, monies should be focused on the long standing open spaces. New developments usually

Question 53
The “Friends of ….” Groups who forge credible relationships with the council (e.g. in the achieving of Green Flag status) be provided the opportunity and powers to enter onto local arrangements to use local contractors, forging links and finding local spo

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
Informal sports grounds to be and playing fields to be protected.

Question 55
Not within our constitution or remit

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
Air Quality
Question 57
Parks, particularly those close to town centres, provide “green lungs” for much of traffic pollution, especially where there are problematic cross-roads, thereby protecting and filtering the local air.

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 59
Waste recycling could be better achieved in parks and other open spaces by the introduction of ready segregated bins.

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
Refer to answers given to Q32 and Q43.

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 62
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 63
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 64
Yes

Question 65
SuDs should be used at all new developments, except when professionals advise against
this system.

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk**
**Question 66**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 67**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**
**Question 68**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 69**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 70**
Refer to answers to Q33 and Q43.

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**
**Question 71**
The towns require a full and complete inter-connected cycle network.

**Question 72**
Improve air quality, increase walking and cycle networks, to increase safety for walkers and cyclists, joining up cycle lanes to make a proper cycling provision and using footpaths.

**Question 73**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 74**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 75**
Long-term aspirations need to be clearly defined, forming the “skeleton framework” of the core sustainable projects. Clarity and transparency required in areas to be developed and those protected. There should be more permission in principle decisions th

**Transport Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**

**Question 76**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 77**
Refer to answers to Q33 and Q43.

**Question 78**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 79**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

**Question 80**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 81**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 82**
No, if there were more radical approaches it would undermine the perception of local democracy and disenfranchise much of the local population, rather than involving them and getting them to “buy in” to the finalised development plans of any local piece o

**Question 83**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 84**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 85**
Not within our constitution or remit

**Question 86**
Not within our constitution or remit

Question 87
Not within our constitution or remit

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: J Wantling

Reference
45

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Developing a modern medway, quality regeneration. Protecting heritage and landscape and agricultural land. Make Medway a better place to live, not just a place to sleep.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Protect agriculture and landscape green spaces, rural heritage and village life.

Question 3
Perhaps free parking days or lower business rates would encourage shoppers to shop in medway

Strategic Issues Comments
Concentrate on reuse of brown field sites

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
smaller independent living with shared communal gardens and facilities

Question 8
A limited number of rural properties that are ringfenced for existing rural families, so that younger generations have opportunity to stay in the villages where they were raised. Avoid being priced out by incomers.

Question 9
Better quality and sound insulated property, spacious interiors. Home working or local jobs for better work life balance

Question 10
Conversion of the long term disused city office blocks / commercial premises. City
accommodation would be more sustainable.

**Question 11**
If developers no longer need to ensure developments are close to transport/medical facilities, then they should pay towards new links and facilities. Cap the developers profits!

**Question 12**
(Did not answer)

**Question 13**
yes, dedicated housing close to unis to reduce commuting issues.

**Question 14**
Small community sites to reduce the perception of ‘threat/trouble’

**Housing Comments**
Perhaps if the government put a cap on the % profit per house, the developers would spend more £ making better quality homes and including the facilities people need to live Uninhabited dwellings could be reused if orders were placed on the homeowners (including MOD) Build up not out, spacious quality dwellings - rather than taking more land Use of previously developed land is preference. I cant see why there is no proposal for Grain. Here we have road and rail network and lots of employment, but no new housing?

**Economy**
**Question 15**
Rochester airport, Grain brownfield sites

**Question 16**
(Did not answer)

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
(Did not answer)

**Question 19**
(Did not answer)

**Question 20**
Much out commuting seems to be Londoners who have moved to Medway but retain their jobs in London
Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)
Question 32  
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments  
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment**  
Question 33  
(Did not answer)

Question 34  
(Did not answer)

Question 35  
(Did not answer)

Question 36  
(Did not answer)

Question 37  
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**  
Question 38  
(Did not answer)

Question 39  
(Did not answer)

Question 40  
(Did not answer)

Question 41  
(Did not answer)

Question 42  
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**  
(Did not answer)
Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
(Did not answer)

Question 44
(Did not answer)

Question 45
(Did not answer)

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)
Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
Always use brownfield sites first. The grade of farming land can be improved through drainage or diversification of crops - once lost, agricultural land cannot be replaced.

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)
Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
Question 72
(Did not answer)

Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
New freestanding settlements, not bolt-ons Communities where the infrastructure is in place first!
Question 83  
(Did not answer)

Question 84  
(Did not answer)

Question 85  
(Did not answer)

Question 86  
(Did not answer)

Question 87  
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments  
(Did not answer)

Other Comments  
(Did not answer)
Name: C Everett

Reference
46

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
Housing Comments
I ask that you protect the rural areas and agricultural land. Once lost it is lost forever. Development should have infrastructure in place first. Brown field sites should be used as a preference or new settlements where roads and facilities are put in place as part of the plan - not keep adding on to villages where we do not have the facilities to cope.

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)
Question 24
(Did not answer)

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

**Question 35**
(Did not answer)

**Question 36**
(Did not answer)

**Question 37**
(Did not answer)

**Built Environment Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**
**Question 38**
(Did not answer)

**Question 39**
(Did not answer)

**Question 40**
(Did not answer)

**Question 41**
(Did not answer)

**Question 42**
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Infrastructure and Service**
**Question 43**
(Did not answer)

**Question 44**
(Did not answer)

**Question 45**
(Did not answer)

**Question 46**
(Did not answer)
Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
(Did not answer)

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
(Did not answer)

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)

Question 53
(Did not answer)

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
(Did not answer)

Question 55
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

**Air Quality**
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

**Minerals**
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

**Waste**
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk**

*Question 66*
(Did not answer)

*Question 67*
(Did not answer)

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**

*Question 68*
(Did not answer)

*Question 69*
(Did not answer)

*Question 70*
(Did not answer)

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**

*Question 71*
(Did not answer)

*Question 72*
(Did not answer)

*Question 73*
(Did not answer)

*Question 74*
(Did not answer)

*Question 75*
(Did not answer)
Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)

Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)
Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Andrew Millsom
Reference
47
Organisation
On Behalf Of
Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
The Plan has to address three principal issues: Housing: sufficient housing for the increasing population without diminishing Medway’s Open Space and not expending beyond the Greenbelt. Infrastructure: improve the roads and seek opportunities for improving public transport. Economy: aim to increase employment in Medway by a process of inviting appropriate large companies, assisting the growth of incumbent companies and the improving of the education and training young people.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
To consider a new fit for purpose hospital on the site of the Rochester Airport which has easy access to motorways and the excising provision of a useable helipad. This would aid our link with Kings College Hospital head trauma unit. This would also free up the existing hospital site for possible housing development.

Question 3
Pro-actively, with sympathy and to take a more holistic view to the long term effects decisions.

Strategic Issues Comments
No response

Housing

Question 4
As these are set by nationally recognised standards, there is little to be gained from arguing this.

Question 5
The mix of housing needs to align with projected demographics. The urban zones need to have taller buildings (three to six storey) of various massing to accommodate better apartments and maisonettes and terrace housing.

Question 6
Firstly we need to define what is affordable housing and does this includes affordable housing to rent. If the 25% is only for affordable housing to buy, then it does not meet the need. We are well aware that the definition of affordable housing is a moving beast. The current definition is that affordable housing is for starter homes, but as the SHMA that was done in 2015 identified a high level of demand for affordable housing, this must mean affordable to rent, not just starter homes.
Question 7
Has to be attractive enough to make people want to downsize which then releases their accommodation for other families. It should, preferably, be close to amenities, needs to able to support someone's independence with assisted technology as appropriate.

Question 8
Perhaps look at more purpose built disabled units taking advantage of modern technology, and maybe ensure that ALL new housing offers wider thresholds to make access easier for disabled people and those with mobility problems.

Question 9
To have a standard size agreed for all homes, offering adequate space; to make provision for open spaces and allotments, to have a good source of natural light, not just for residential, but for commercial units too, to be sympathetic to the natural surroundings, to provide a contribution for more GP places, health provision and service providers. To be more eco-friendly and more Passivhaus building to lessen environmental impacts, and to provide charging points for electric vehicles. To make sure provision for open spaces and allotments, to be sympathetic to the natural surroundings, and to lessen environmental impacts.

Question 10
Could include provision at larger developments, such as Lodge Hill, and perhaps include a starter home provision IN ADDITION to the affordable housing requirement.

Question 11
This could possibly be provided by the council and any investment to be repaid from the resale of the starter home by way of a charge or lean on the property (and see Q12 below).

Question 12
Developers should be cajoled into providing e sites for self builds on the same basis as starter homes and affordable housing, whereby the developer makes available potential sites for self builds, not necessarily on the development, but these sites must.

Question 13
Demand would be set by the intake and proposed expansion of universities. Halls of residence are provided for first year students, who then need to find housing in the local area for subsequent years. This then reduces the amount of properties available to first time buyers and limits the amount of rented accommodation available in the area. The only real solution is to increase housing provision by the amount of students seeking accommodation. Perhaps the universities could consider this when planning to expand, and offer some accommodation facility.

Question 14
It should be left to the travelling community to decide where appropriate sites should be and for the council to consider these.
Housing Comments
No response

Economy

Question 15
The existing business parks should be the first targeted sites with better use of land, more control through planning to ensure denser usage of these business parks. Thereafter, look at former industrial areas that can be reused as brown-field sites for commercial/modern industrial use.

Question 16
Former factories and smaller industrial units could be converted to offices, with the ground floors potential retail spaces.

Question 17
The scale of jobs for Medway is too low, especially if Medway is to be providing more jobs to prevent it being a commuter zone for London and other more expansive economic areas.

Question 18
Refer answer to Q1.

Question 19
The council needs to have strategic business support arm that can assist new companies make better use of the potential provided by the education expansion.

Question 20
By addressing the economic aspects in answer to Q1 there would be more jobs being developed within Medway, and with more work salaries could increase and thus give more reasons through a combination of work/life balance, people would prefer to work more.

Question 21
The planning department needs to co-ordinate with the business department and possibly a department of infrastructure to ensure that businesses and location requirements are co-ordinated.

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism

Question 22
The Tourism office needs to do national and international advertising giving reasons why Rochester is a place to base to visit mid-Kent as well as Medway. This would give more reason for longer stays. To work with incumbent and national hotel chains for e
Question 23
The Tourism office needs to do national and international advertising giving reasons why Rochester is a place to base to visit mid-Kent as well as Medway. This would give more reason for longer stays, and in using the hillier Open Spaces can observe the Medway Towns in context of beautiful Kent countryside. Increase the range of activities and recreational facilities e.g. bat walks. Well planned and sensitively sited carparks, extensions to carparks for events achieved by installation of geosynthetic permeable paving grids.

Question 24
The widening of scope for coming to Rochester/Medway given to Q23 by marketing of viewpoints as visual focal points.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre

Question 25
Yes, Chatham would be the most logical place to do this logistically, BUT, accommodation MUST be improved. The area from the Sir John Hawkins to the bottom of Star Hill has been improved and would benefit from continued investment and improvement to enhance the night time economy. Perhaps this area could be designated as a heritage centre? (See Gravesend)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
Chatham waterfront would benefit from being opened up to enable people to walk along to the dockyard and round past sun pier. There could more cafe's and bars together with small retail units based along the waterfront

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
Perhaps to encourage larger retailers such as Wickes, Staples and Halfords to relocate to out of town retail parks to allow smaller retailers to move in and perhaps redevelop some sites for housing.

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
By ensuring that the Green Belt is not slackened and that any proposals for Built Environment beyond the belt are rigorously interrogated in the planning stage to ensure they are of high quality design and that there should be no releasing of L3 status Open Space.

Question 31
Long term programme to expand, integrate and connect wherever possible corridors for wildlife having cycle paths and footpaths. Where practical purchase properties that come-up for sale on the strategic corridors.

Question 32
Landscape features need to be protected and made more use of; this is to be achieved by improving access views and sightlines as well as ensuring surrounding areas contribute rather than detract from these natural assets.

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
The View management Policy of 2006 should be updated and given more definitive policy formation to ensure that a number of distinctive sightlines are maintained (similar to the sightlines to/of St Paul's Cathedral, London). This would assist in the massing of new neighbourhoods so that views enhance the relationship between the new and the old.

Question 34
Distinctive design of replacement/infill units and good maintenance of the existing urban fabric. Distinctive design of replacement/infill units and good maintenance of the existing urban fabric, along with accessible Open Spaces within close proximity. Such Open Spaces to be appropriately managed and diverse.

Question 35
Rochester High Street, Chatham Historic Dockyard and Upper Upnor – in these (and similar places) new building should be sympathetic to existing local fabric.

Question 36
Chatham, Luton and Gillingham (and similar zones) – in these places new buildings ought to contrast with the existing to give a sense of renewal.

Question 37
Those buildings being renovated or new build in areas described in answer to Q36 should reflect the renewal process that is being achieved to confirm to inhabitants and business owners that the ambitions of the Local Plan are being realised. That they do
Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues

Question 38
Hoo should be permitted to have more peripheral land released for the Built Environment, thus alleviating some of the housing demands on the Peninsular (also permits reduction of proposed Lodge Hill), whilst also bolstering the native supply of clientele

Question 39
Less the hubs of Grain Peninsula and Hoo there is little development facilities available to increase employment. People need to have reasons to favour living in Hoo rather than the outlying villages.

Question 40
Ensure that broadband services reach all parts of the Peninsula and other outlying rural areas of Medway.

Question 41
Re-introduce public transport to the rail branch line to Grain, as well as the freight services. This would significantly reduce the number of fleet commuter coaches travelling through the countryside. The latter is likely to increase as the population of

Question 42
The two plans need to be developed in tandem to ensure they each gain from each other.

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
Improvements to the existing fractured cycle network to provide a continuous (or as near as possible) cycle network, this would entice more people to use cycles, especially if many cycle paths were segregated from parallel trafficked roads and/or built between urban zones away from roads, including urban Open Spaces and the proposed connectivity corridors, which can be for hiking, walking and cycling. Modify the boundaries of green spaces to make them more enticing.

Question 44
Increase planning restrictions for fast-food outlets, incorporating planning clauses requiring the outlets to have licences which specify the provision of healthy options on their menus. Also imposing new licences on existing fast-food outlets at their time of renewal which also require them to also have healthier options on the menus, and that these should be the same price or lower than the less healthier foods. Allotments and
community orchards share schemes. Encouraging and facilitating educational projects in the Open Spaces.

**Question 45**
Complete a co-ordinated cycling network (c.f. answer to Q43). Improve facilities in parks and other urban open spaces with outdoor gym equipment for young and old.

**Question 46**
Healthy walks, encourage use of Open Spaces for health care, especially for people with mental illnesses, such as depression. The provision of new Medway Hospital built on the site at Rochester Airport to meet the demands on health care for the 21st century. The current hospital site could be redeveloped as housing and this might lead to much needed commercial improvements being made to Gillingham's high Street

**Infrastructure Service Comments**
The dilemma is that two of the Grade 1 agricultural land is adjacent urban areas that need to expand, i.e. Hoo and Lower Rainham. Some of this land will most probably be lost to the Built Environment. The remaining land should be allocated special status

**Social and Community Infrastructure**

**Question 47**
New schools need to be planned by local developers. By insisting where there is a large development, adequate provision is made for both primary and secondary education. Need to work with the developer and the education team to ensure we have the right nu

**Question 48**
Places for the public to gather, walk, play and suitable for people of all ages, that are free.

**Social Community Infrastructure Comments**
*(Did not answer)*

**Open Space**

**Question 49**
Medway already has a low local standard compared with other adjacent and nearby councils. To diminish this standard further would threaten the Open Spaces that exist within the urban fabric. The council should be doing all that is feasible to ensure all l

**Question 50**
The value of 3.25ha/1,000 population should be raised to match other urban centric authorities in Kent (Maidstone is 6.31ha/1,000 population) and lowest adjacent council of Tonbridge and Malling is 6.14ha/1,000 population. It should definitely not be lowe

**Question 51**
Local parks, especially those near town centres should be protected at all costs!
Question 52
It is important that developments continue to fund long standing open spaces. It is considered that rather than low quality, local minimal, almost token ticking facility, monies should be focused on the long standing open spaces. New developments usually

Question 53
The “Friends of ….” Groups who forge credible relationships with the council (e.g. in the achieving of Green Flag status) be provided the opportunity and powers to enter onto local arrangements to use local contractors, forging links and finding local spo

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
Informal sports grounds to be and playing fields to be protected.

Question 55
Plans to move the club from its present site have come and gone over the past two decades. In the event that the club does happen to move within the life of the plan it would not be appropriate to allow buildings of above three stories on this site, and

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
Parks, particularly those close to town centres, provide “green lungs” for much of traffic pollution, especially where there are problematic cross-roads, thereby protecting and filtering the local air.

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
Planning restrictions should ensure that there a bank of money put aside part way
through the extraction period to ensure that there is sufficient money set aside to facilitate the making good of the quarried area post extraction of minerals.

**Question 59**
Medway Council has a comprehensive waste recycling and reduction policy and strategy. Household recycling has noticeably increased in the last decade, and many more inhabitants are undertaking their duty to recycle. This is largely because there appears t

**Minerals Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Waste**

**Question 60**
Refer to answers given to Q32 and Q43. The sustainability plan for Medway should be a combination of development and social sustainability. Energy usage needs to be significantly reduced as much of the built environment is of a significant age, many of th

**Waste Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Sustainability and Climate Change**

**Question 61**
This is more of an economic question than sustainability.

**Question 62**
Buildings need to be low even zero carbon rated.

**Question 63**
Much of the existing development was constructed when energy saving wasn’t given serious consideration, in fact much is terrace housing, which have solid walls. The existing housing should be insulted to reduce carbon emissions and this would also assist home owners and tenants with their energy bills, as these would be lower.

**Question 64**
Yes

**Question 65**
As much of Medway is above flood plains, this is not too great an issue, the low lying land on the peninsula shall require some civils works to safeguard existing development. However, SuDs should be used at all new developments, except when professionals

**Sustainability and Climate Change Comments**
(Did not answer)
**Flood Risk**

**Question 66**
All proposed development should be above worse case anticipated sea level rise, and above and out of flood plain catchments.

**Question 67**
Zones allows for more research on the type of wind power capture that could be employed, therefore preferable over sites, which overly stipulate what land can be used and thus affecting property values.

**Flood Risk Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Energy**

**Question 68**
Biomass should be further developed in the rural areas, making use of farm waste, and the energy developed be fed to the grid and heat to local outlying homes.

**Question 69**
Build local well insulated piped infrastructure to distribute the heated water to nearby businesses and homes, thus assisting these people with their heating bills and reducing overall CO2 emissions.

**Question 70**
There should be an inquiry into the installation of light rail connecting the major conurbations and remove the need for main rail to stop at all five stations within the main conurbation. There needs to be more crossings of the River Medway, some not nec

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**

**Question 71**
To improve the road network, bus network and improve provision of public transport at train stations. To ensure adequate capacity and improve facilities at train stations. Look at the possibility of introducing a light rail network between the Medway Town

**Question 72**
Improve air quality, increase walking and cycle networks, to increase safety for walkers and cyclists, joining up cycle lanes to make a proper cycling provision and using footpaths.

**Question 73**
An alternative approach to residential parking, limit crossover parking that reduces on
street parking spaces; Don’t increase car parking charges in an attempt to persuade people to take public transport; it doesn’t work! Increase park and ride schemes

Question 74
There should be more permission in principle decisions this would ensure more long term stability of the Local Plan.

Question 75
It is my position that the aviation facilities at Rochester Airport do not need to be considered as this place needs to be closed and the land used for alternative use, such as a new replacement Medway Hospital. There is the option of extending the facil

Transport Comments
Traffic restrictions need to be imposed and regulated to prevent lorries entering these areas at times of traffic congestion. Have green zones as has been done in London. Improve the traffic flows around the periphery of Medway Towns, e.g. the Sans Pariel

Deliverability
Question 76
Initial work should be made in preparing a project programme to determine what needs to be done in what order. From this strategic programme loans could be raised from central government in an orderly manner on a Cost Benefit Analysis for return of each of the sub-projects that ensures that the long-term plan is achieved.

Question 77
No

Question 78
Refer to answers to Q33 and Q43.

Question 79
There should not be methods introduced that curtail the debate and consultation aspects of infrastructure, otherwise there could be the view that local democracy is not worth anything and disenfranchise the local population to what has been deemed infrastructurally required. It is dangerous to state that there are development principles when this is a Local Plan discussion.

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
The consultation document has not given a set of the advantages and disadvantages to all the various aspects of the consultation document, therefore, we cannot agree with the inference of the question.

**Question 82**
The solution needs to be a combination of infrastructural “spine” type works and local works at various places within Medway would see the nearest affirmation of the Local Plan.

**Question 83**
No, if there were more radical approaches it would undermine the perception of local democracy and disenfranchise much of the local population, rather than involving them and getting them to “buy in” to the finalised development plans of any local piece o

**Question 84**
There is nothing wrong with testing the current green belt, but a review should not give those in authority the automatic right to have it extended. A review should be undertaken prior to writing the final Local Plan thereby showing the boundary of the Gr

**Question 85**
As stated above there should be higher density in the central portions of the five urban hubs that make the Medway Towns. This is fundamental in achieving both the increased population and maintaining as much urban open space as is possible. Low density s

**Question 86**
From the outset, the plan instigated in 2004 was doomed to failure! The closing of the ring road has not brought about the improvements advocated by Chapman Taylor in its report for Chatham. A much more comprehensive design scheme needs to be undertaken, that combines the required traffic flow and interconnectedness that can be achieved between the Waterfront and the main town centre.

**Question 87**
The historic formation of Medway makes it difficult for there to be an imposed holistic solution. It would be a preferable option, but 200 years of development and yet at the same time slow political integration, only becoming a true metropolitan area in

**Development Strategy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Other Comments**
(Did not answer)
Name: Mervyn Lamb

Reference
48

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
(Did not answer)

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
(Did not answer)

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
(Did not answer)

Question 13
(Did not answer)

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

Economy
Question 15
(Did not answer)

Question 16
(Did not answer)

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
(Did not answer)

Question 19
(Did not answer)

Question 20
(Did not answer)

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
(Did not answer)

Question 23
(Did not answer)

Question 24
Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
(Did not answer)

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
(Did not answer)

Question 29
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
Plant more Trees and improve the Green Environment.....NOTE!!! (Please check your spelling above) Better maintain Roads

Question 31
Close the Medway park sports complex, which is hardly used and reconnect the Great Lines with the Lower Lines, abandon the Plan to develop Lodge Hill, when Wildlife is becoming so rare and Hospitals in Medway are at breaining point with population as it is.

Question 32
Consult Public before final decisions, the White Elephant of the Japanese Roundabout is a prime example of Wasted cash which was meant for Town Centre improvements.

Environment Comments
Street Cleaning failing, In Lower Gillingham rubbis is still being ransacked an explored by a well known section of the recent community, despite attending many meetings and people supplying evidence nothing has been done. Green Environment, replace the many edges that used to exist in local Parks to encourage Bird life back into Town. All Parks have ample room for more Trees and Edge rows.
**Built Environment**

**Question 33**  
We lost out on World heritage status for the Napoleonic History due to New Builds within the suggested areas, avoid new builds where there is a likely conflict.

**Question 34**  
Green open spaces, like Singapore a City in a Forest.

**Question 35**  
Lodge Hill, Fort Amherst, The Strand Gillingham

**Question 36**  
Gillingham High Street, Chatham High Street, also to many advert boards on Buildings cluttering the place up. Parking far to expensive. again let Public approve Plans before decisions are made. Chatham Bus Station was a wrong Move.

**Question 37**  
They should match there existing surroundings, New Builds like Victory pier have the appearance of Communist Block flats.

**Built Environment Comments**  
(Did not answer)

**Rural Issues**

**Question 38**  
(Did not answer)

**Question 39**  
More Rural Areas's simple, plus trained long term people with real knowledge of the Countryside.

**Question 40**  
Better Bus service and preffered ECO Buses, Electric in City, Diesel in Town

**Question 41**  
Development needs to match the Budget of local people, not of those making a Killing coming down from London

**Question 42**  
Consult with Public before decisions are arrived at

**Rural Issues Comments**  
(Did not answer)
Infrastructure and Service

Question 43
Improve checks on Fast food outlets, and improve access for disabled users

Question 44
Encourage schools and others to grow there own and restore cooking lessons to
schools, More Allotment space.

Question 45
Parks and Gardens and Adult Exercise Machines

Question 46
MCH at The Business park is now at the same often overloaded state it replaced at
Medway Hospital, extend clinic opening times for such in places like the Sunlight Centre
and others.

Infrastructure Service Comments
Services poor especially in the Council Point in Kingsley House Gillingham, you even have
to take a ticket to see the receptionist? Whats that about?

Social and Community Infrastructure

Question 47
It Can't, with the rate of newcomers both from Europe Africa and London, we will not be
able to keep up.

Question 48
A New or extended Hospital.

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space

Question 49
All open spaces must be preserved.

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
(Did not answer)

Question 52
(Did not answer)
Question 53
Volunteer encouragement, if well led and made to feel their efforts are worthwhile people will come.

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)

Sports Facilities
Question 54
It should be less of a priority. The Olympic Legacy as proved that less get involved now than before, though Swimming is an all-round sport which can be carried out by all members of the Community, Sports at School and Sports days were once adequate

Question 55
It shouldn’t, it is a Private Business and attended by so few Medway Residents. Many fans of the club come from elsewhere.

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
All protection, if we are Honest Medway as done its best developing Green spaces for outsiders over the last 50 years, we need to think of affordable accommodation for local families

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
It should be dealt with openly and include the input of professional independent bodies.

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
Public consultations first and Opinions

Question 59
To late, what was once the third busiest port in the Country is now home to Motor Launches and Riverside apartments, Industry on the Medway is finished. Its a forgone conclusion that the wharfs will contain more Boating marinas, However the Idea of House
Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
Insist that Contractors actually clean Spillages behind them would help.

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)

Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
No building on the Flood plains and encourage more Tree Growth both for pollution and water retention.

Question 65
Yes.

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
No Building on the flood plains and discourage more builds for London

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
Only if they are not on Green space and do not affect local communities.
Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
Plug it in would help.

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
Hospital required urgently and New roads, New Bridge crossing over the Thames will cause Havock here.

Question 72
Public Transport needs to be cheaper, and cleaner. Dual Eco system. Electric when in Town, Diesel when outside Discourage all use of school parking to encourage Parents to actually walk there kids to school

Question 73
It is vital Parking be improved, Charging people to park is a cheek, why should I pay in Lower Gillingham outside of my home when someone in Hempstead. Public Car Parks are to expensive and discourage people using the High Street, Gillinghams Britton Farm Cap park is a disgrace and full of litter and Urine, yet people ave to pay to use it,

Question 74
Again to late for Commercial developments area cleared are now earmarked for housing.

Question 75
No.

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

Deliverability
Question 76
(Did not answer)

Question 77
(Did not answer)

Question 78
(Did not answer)
Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy
Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)

Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
(Did not answer)

Question 87
(Did not answer)

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Neil M

Reference
49

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
Thriving town centres and a new theatre so we can have West end style shows!

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Ensuring Medway hospital has a good vision to think about patient numbers in the future or build a minor injuries/rehab hospital with mental health facilities. Public transport to new development. Affordable housing for local people.

Question 3
Pressure the NHS to build a new hospital or set out a clear vision for how it is going to reduce A&E waiting times. Consult local bus companies about providing services to new developments. Set a target for local people getting affordable housing.

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)
Question 11
(Did not answer)

Question 12
(Did not answer)

Question 13
(Did not answer)

Question 14
(Did not answer)

Housing Comments
(Did not answer)

**Economy**

**Question 15**
Use as many brownfield sites as possible. Ensure empty houses are being put back to use

**Question 16**
New theatre, possibly on Rochester Riverside, try to attract better retailers/restaurants to the towns e.g John Lewis especially in Chatham

**Question 17**
(Did not answer)

**Question 18**
Hold meeting with southeastern to encourage a better train service

**Question 19**
More Aprentaships

**Question 20**
Encourage Medway employers to pay more

**Question 21**
Better access to Medway city estate, bus routes to Gillingham buisness park could help congestion

**Economy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Tourism**

**Question 22**
Question 23
Festival’s, encourage trips to dockyard/Rochester as a package over two days

Question 24
Riverbus could appeal to tourists

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
All towns need roles, e.g. Rochester tourists. Though Chatham has a key role but at the moment I would rather go local than to Chatham

Question 26
(Did not answer)

Question 27
(Did not answer)

Question 28
Yes and in Chatham! In Gillingham perhaps where the current supermarket is could be refurbished and made to enclose that while complex. In Chatham in the top floor of pentagon

Question 29
If they don’t affect high street trading should be encouraged

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
(Did not answer)

Environment
Question 30
(Did not answer)

Question 31
(Did not answer)

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
(Did not answer)

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
(Did not answer)

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
Hire a bike scheme
Question 44
Through schooling

Question 45
Discounted period to council leisure centres

Question 46
Look at new sites for a new hospital either major or minor

Infrastructure Service Comments
( Did not answer )

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
( Did not answer )

Question 48
( Did not answer )

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
( Did not answer )

Open Space
Question 49
Should be a good ambition to preserve open space

Question 50
( Did not answer )

Question 51
( Did not answer )

Question 52
A balance

Question 53
( Did not answer )

Open Space Comments
( Did not answer )

Sports Facilities
Question 54
( Did not answer )
Question 55
Look at possible sites but football club should be proactive, though it’s a good resource for Medway

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
(Did not answer)

Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change
Question 61
(Did not answer)
Question 62
(Did not answer)

Question 63
(Did not answer)

Question 64
(Did not answer)

Question 65
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk
Question 66
(Did not answer)

Question 67
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy
Question 68
(Did not answer)

Question 69
(Did not answer)

Question 70
(Did not answer)

Energy Comments
(Did not answer)

Transport
Question 71
(Did not answer)

Question 72
(Did not answer)
Question 73
(Did not answer)

Question 74
(Did not answer)

Question 75
(Did not answer)

Transport Comments
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**

Question 76
Ensure contractors have a past history of delivery and are accountable for any mistakes/delays

Question 77
Yes

Question 78
Infrastructure should always be considered with new developments, get the developer to pay for any key changes.

Question 79
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Developments Strategy**

Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
(Did not answer)
Question 84
(Did not answer)

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
Stop cheap/charity shops being to close together as it gives the town a cheap feel

Question 87
Yes, they should all have a role to play

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)
Name: Alix Frayne

Reference
50

Organisation

On Behalf Of

Type of Consultee
Member of the public
Developing a Vision for Medway

Question 1
To promote an improvement in the local economy and in the average household income.

Developing a Vision for Medway Comments

Strategic Issues

Question 2
Providing housing to those unable to house themselves  Helping those who can to buy their own housing  Put an end to empty shops and buildings  Improve transit options especially focussing on removing one way systems and improving traffic flow throughout the medway towns.

Question 3
(Did not answer)

Strategic Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Housing

Question 4
(Did not answer)

Question 5
(Did not answer)

Question 6
(Did not answer)

Question 7
(Did not answer)

Question 8
(Did not answer)

Question 9
(Did not answer)

Question 10
(Did not answer)

Question 11
Question 12
Creating new housing areas doesn't work well as there is a lack of amenities, services and transport. Better to expand existing housing areas.

Question 13
With educational establishments all across Medway it is hard to pick specific locations, however the investment in student housing at Chatham and Gillingham dockside seems to be a step in the right direction and makes those areas appear much more appealing. Compulsory purchase orders on empty properties near schools and colleges by the council, to be sold to investors at market value would encourage new student accommodations to be established and generated revenue for the council.

Question 14
With the transit links of the A2/M2 Medway gets a lot of travellers. Part of the current issues people have with them is that they hijack any available land and are hard to move on. Establishing a couple of small sites to permanently be used by travellers would be good, provided they were operated by council staff and had security measures in place to prevent additional vehicles being brought on site - ie only pedestrian access outside of the hours that a member of staff was operating a barrier. Only registered vehicles allowed through etc.

Housing Comments
No more blocks of flats - they don't age well and cram in too many people into an already densely populated area, putting more pressure on services.

Economy
Question 15
Moving away from the outdated concept of 'high-street shopping' and establishing shopping centres where existing transit options are good would be wise. Tourist focussed high-street areas such as in Rochester have seen a boom in boutique shops, but otherwise it is only chain stores and franchises that can afford the rent. In other areas there are too many empty shops and dingy little pop up shops - mobile phone shops, '1 quid' shops, and betting shops. Consider sites such as Rochester airport and the adjacent business park, medway city estate, and chatham maritime.

Question 16
Compulsory purchase orders of empty properties and the sale of said properties to local traders would encourage a growth in local economy instead of money being syphoned out of the area by corporations.

Question 17
(Did not answer)

Question 18
Question 19
To retain students after they qualify and to avoid brain-drain of local students there needs to be a boost in high-tech sector jobs. Places like Rochester airport and Medway City estate have such a capacity.

Question 20
The council could subsidise commuting fares but only for non-Medway residents that get a season ticket INTO Medway.

Question 21
(Did not answer)

Economy Comments
(Did not answer)

Tourism
Question 22
With the A2/M2 there is a lot of day tourism, but a sufficient amount of hotels and guest houses seem to exist across Medway already.

Question 23
Putting in minimum standard for shop frontage in historic areas such as Rochester high-street seem a necessity - too many shops are putting up a plain frontage with minimum effort that ruins the vibe of the old buildings they are in and adjacent to.

Question 24
The river can be capitalized on - introducing river taxis to ferry tourists and workers across the river would massively cut down on the road based congestion and costs. More economic activates can then be focussed on waterfront areas instead of the current trend for trendy waterfront apartments that can only be afforded by people who out-commute.

Tourism Comments
(Did not answer)

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre
Question 25
No, Chatham is long dead and needs to be unplugged rather than kept on life support. The disgusting state of the buildings along the highstreet and the brook, the empty buildings everywhere (including inside the pentagon), the terrible one way system and the constant need for police patrols highlights this.

Question 26
Current only.
Question 27
Strood has seen that if offered, supermarkets will set up new stores. As long as each town centre has a supermarket to offer food and other goods to local residents it will cut down on commuting and create more local jobs. Currently the centralization of supermarkets in Strood doesn't help.

Question 28
No. With Asda by the waterfront and the large Tesco by the business park there are enough already.

Question 29
Discourage it - residents should be spending as much of their money within Medway. Currently a large number travel to places like Bluewater and Lakeside or London.

Retail, Commercial, Leisure, Town Centre Comments
Local transport being monopolized and poorly run/overpriced is killing any chance of residents being willing to commute short distances.

Environment
Question 30
Updated and enhance existing rights of way and clearly signpost them to cement the areas in question.

Question 31
I see a lot of roadkill by areas such as the bridgewood roundabout - providing small tunnels under the road or simple fencing along the sides of the roads to discourage wildlife from crossing would be good.

Question 32
(Did not answer)

Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Built Environment
Question 33
(Did not answer)

Question 34
Clean air, visible greenery (be it landscaped trees in pavements or embankments or fields and farms, but not the current flower beds the council uses - they are full of poorly planted and poor chosen plants (ie they don't choose perennials with low maintenance and so I see workers replanting the beds every month or so, such a waste of time and money) amenities within walking or a short commute/driving distance, highspeed
internet, population density not too high.

Question 35
(Did not answer)

Question 36
(Did not answer)

Question 37
(Did not answer)

Built Environment Comments
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues
Question 38
(Did not answer)

Question 39
Arriva cannot be allowed to maintain monopoly over transit options - they do not offer frequent or cheap enough bus services to rural areas. Proposal of a taxi boat to assist in transit across the river would be good from places like hoo or grain.

Question 40
(Did not answer)

Question 41
(Did not answer)

Question 42
(Did not answer)

Rural Issues Comments
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure and Service
Question 43
Community health centres with local GPs should be mandatory for every however many population, ie 1GP per 1000 population. This would take tremendous strain off of the ever dwindling number of hospitals and A&E centres. De-centralization is the way to go.

Question 44
Subsidies for green grocers sourcing local produce, increases taxes/rents on fast food shops.
Question 45
Provide better parks and rec areas. The improvements on jacksons to the park and the introduction of the skate area have helped, but that needs to occur across medway.

Question 46
(Did not answer)

Infrastructure Service Comments
(Did not answer)

Social and Community Infrastructure
Question 47
There should be more schools built with every new batch of housing. For every site that adds a thousand homes there should be a new school built. Centres should also be set up to coach and facilitate parents to home school their children while offering th

Question 48
(Did not answer)

Social Community Infrastructure Comments
(Did not answer)

Open Space
Question 49
Appropriate, can be facilitated by mandating that new housing development sites include open spaces that are 'given' to the council or are maintained through ground maintenance charges levied on residents.

Question 50
(Did not answer)

Question 51
No, please stop using the hub model - it is nothing but a cost cutting model and does not provide a superior service to residents.

Question 52
Both.

Question 53
Definitely involve local communities - for example encouraging locals to 'decorate' specific spaces with graffiti has been shown to decrease the amount of unwanted graffiti elsewhere. Similarly having organized council run events occurring in these spaces

Open Space Comments
(Did not answer)
Sports Facilities
Question 54
There are a number of sports centres already across medway, and the area they require can be an issue. A simpler solution would be to mandate that schools with unused land must build sports provision for students, taking the strain off the council.

Question 55
As long as it only uses the current site and is paid for by the club then whatever, but it shouldn't be subsidized by the council.

Sports Facilities Comments
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource
Question 56
(Did not answer)

Natural Resource Comments
(Did not answer)

Air Quality
Question 57
(Did not answer)

Air Quality Comments
(Did not answer)

Minerals
Question 58
(Did not answer)

Question 59
(Did not answer)

Minerals Comments
(Did not answer)

Waste
Question 60
Recycling provision by medway council is already good and should be promoted more - providing every single household with the correct bins and bags and forcing blocks of flats to provide separated recycling (most I know of only offer comingled recycling i
Waste Comments
(Did not answer)

Sustainability and Climate Change

Question 61
New properties must be graded A for energy efficiency and there should be a push for new buildings to include features such as solar panels on roofs, water butts for non-drinking, non-washing water etc.

Question 62
The inequalities stem from a lack of economic mobility for densely populated areas such as chatham and Gillingham central - discouraging or outright blocking any further building of blocks of flats, compulsory purchase orders on empty properties to be so

Question 63
Ensure sufficient drainage, but also planet new trains to absorb rainwater.

Question 64
Ensure sufficient drainage, but also planet new trains to absorb rainwater.

Question 65
Yes.

Sustainability and Climate Change Comments
(Did not answer)

Flood Risk

Question 66
Definitely.

Question 67
Use of long lasting materials.

Flood Risk Comments
(Did not answer)

Energy

Question 68
Yes, definitely. We should be future proofing the area for sustainable, green energy needs.

Question 69
Tidal and solar energy should also be encouraged, for future proofing reasons. I would
not be averse to a small nuclear reactor built on the peninsula or offshore.

**Question 70**
Provide free hot water for heating purposes to residents on the peninsula. Countries like Iceland are able to provide it all of their citizens, obviously we don't have the same geothermal options they do but with a surplus of heat it could be put to local

**Energy Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Transport**
**Question 71**
(Did not answer)

**Question 72**
Continuous cycle lanes - there are stretches of road where the cycle lane appears out of nowhere then in a hundred yards disappears again. Too often cyclists use the pavements to avoid dangerous traffic.

**Question 73**
Free car parking should be a provision near all shopping areas 100% of the time.

**Question 74**
(Did not answer)

**Question 75**
They should be supported and expanded to provide more commerce and transport options.

**Transport Comments**
(Did not answer)

**Deliverability**
**Question 76**
By not seeking the cheapest bid as has been the case with countless recent projects and never ever ever NEVER entering into long term contracts without opt-out clauses in the councils favour.

**Question 77**
Yes

**Question 78**
By employing a mixture of large corporations and reliable local contractors - projects have stalled or fallen through due to local contractors not being able to handle the workload due to lack of skill, experience or staffing.
Question 79
(Did not answer)

Deliverability Comments
(Did not answer)

Developments Strategy

Question 80
(Did not answer)

Question 81
(Did not answer)

Question 82
(Did not answer)

Question 83
Yes, considering departing from 'historical' usage of areas - internet shopping wasn't a thing or wasn't as big a thing when historic policies were put in place - assuming that people want a row of shops on a high-street still is unwise.

Question 84
Yes.

Question 85
(Did not answer)

Question 86
Chatham town centre should be phased into residential use whilst the chatham waterfront/Gillingham waterfront areas be focussed on commercial use.

Question 87
Chatham town centre should be phased into residential use whilst the chatham waterfront/Gillingham waterfront areas be focussed on commercial use.

Development Strategy Comments
(Did not answer)

Other Comments
(Did not answer)