From: mccutcheon, brian
Sent: 11 January 2013 08:32
To: 'Heslop, Anna'; Judith Ashton; smith, catherine; Dodd, Andrew; Andy Jarrett; Becx Benmayor; Brian Lloyd; Chris Hewson; cooper, robin; Burges, Dave; Debbie Salmon; Graham Warren; Greg Hitchcock; hall, martin; jarrett, andy; John Taylor; Julia Riddle; Lee Scott; Mason, Elizabeth @ London KH; Neil Jordan; Owen Sweeney; paul.howarth@de.mod.uk; Peter Court; pullin, mark; Rob.Cameron@naturalengland.org.uk; rob.fuller@bto.org; Dawes, Samantha; Sarah Lyne; sean.hanna@naturalengland.org.uk; Southern Water; stephen.neal@landsecurities.com; stoddart, carly; tom.tew@hotmail.co.uk; tomtew@environmentbank.com
Cc: Dominic Woodfield - Bioscan; Putnam, Kathy; allen, caroline
Subject: RE: Medway Core Strategy - notes of workshop 9 November [Scanned]

Dear all,

Catherine is currently on leave but I can assure all parties that all correspondence is being carefully recorded and will be forwarded as necessary to the Inspector - bearing in mind it is entirely at her discretion as to whether they are accepted as Examination documents. That will include this exchange over the notes of the Stakeholder Meeting.

Also for your information: the Council will today be submitting a position statement to the Programme Officer summarising all that has occurred since the Examination was suspended. Again it will be for the Inspector to consider whether she wishes to accept this as an Examination document, although I would be surprised if she were not to do so. That being the case it will be web published just as soon as we have clearance and either the Council team or the Programme Officer will alert all parties by email.

I hope this is satisfactory.

Kind regards,

Brian McCutcheon
Planning Policy & Design Manager
Medway Council
Gun Wharf
Dock Road
Chatham
Kent
ME4 4TR

Tel: 01634-331149
Fax: 01634-331184
Email: brian.mccutcheon@medway.gov.uk

This email may contain confidential information belonging to the sender which is legally privileged. Should you not be the intended recipient then any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately and permanently delete this message.

From: Heslop, Anna [mailto:Anna.Heslop@rspb.org.uk]
Sent: 10 January 2013 14:38
To: Judith Ashton; smith, catherine; Dodd, Andrew; Andy Jarrett; Becx Benmayor; Brian Lloyd; Chris Hewson; cooper, robin; Burges, Dave; Debbie Salmon; Graham Warren; Greg Hitchcock; hall, martin; jarrett, andy; John Taylor; Julia Riddle; Lee Scott; Mason, Elizabeth @ London KH; mccutcheon, brian; Neil Jordan; Owen Sweeney; paul.howarth@de.mod.uk; Peter Court; pullin, mark; Rob.Cameron@naturalengland.org.uk; rob.fuller@bto.org; Dawes, Samantha; Sarah Lyne; sean.hanna@naturalengland.org.uk; Southern Water; stephen.neal@landsecurities.com; stoddart, carly; tom.tew@hotmail.co.uk; tomtew@environmentbank.com
Cc: Dominic Woodfield - Bioscan; Putnam, Kathy
Subject: RE: Medway Core Strategy - notes of workshop 9 November [Scanned]

Dear Catherine,

The RSPB would like to support the approach suggested by Judith, and endorse Dominic's proposed changes.

The only additional comments the RSPB have are as follows:

1. In addition to Dominic's note D5, I would add that stakeholders raised concerns that some of the items set out in the original process proposed to the Inspector had not been carried out and suggested that this would need to be made clear to the Inspector.
2. The minutes don't really express very clearly the change of position by Medway Council on the question of whether compensatory habitat would need to be fully functioning prior to development taking place. The note does say at paragraph 5.2 "*it was confirmed that the Council would accept some temporary loss of habitat if supported by evidence"* and "*it may be necessary to review some areas",* but this a rather vague description of what was a very clear statement at the meeting. To someone who was not present at the meeting (i.e. the Inspector and members of the public) this is an important point to be very clear on. The Council's change of position from that expressed at the first stakeholder meeting (i.e. that no net loss would be accepted at any stage) is quite a significant one, and one which is likely to have implications for the policies in the Submission Draft CS as well as the Lodge Hill Development Brief.
3. At paragraph 6.1 the meeting was informed that the "mulching" option preferred by the original BTO report had now been discounted on BTO advice. I'm afraid my own notes of the meeting do not make it clear whether the reasons for this were explained (i.e. whether the advice was given verbally to the meeting) but if so, then this advice should be set out in the minutes. If the advice was not given verbally to the meeting, then in order to complete the picture for the Inspector, the RSPB consider that the BTO's advice, which was apparently given to EBL between the 1st technical workshop and the stakeholder meeting (as it informed the criteria for selection of potential compensation sites), ought to be circulated to stakeholders and shared with the Inspector.

I wonder if you could also confirm when the Council proposes to submit the package of information to the Inspector, and whether stakeholders will be copied into that correspondence, given that the Programme Officer will now be away from the office until 17 January?

Many thanks

Anna

From: Judith Ashton [mailto:Judith@judithashton.co.uk]
Sent: 09 January 2013 08:04
To: smith, catherine; Dodd, Andrew; Andy Jarrett; Heslop, Anna; Becx Benmayor; Brian Lloyd; Chris Hewson; cooper, robin; Burges, Dave; Debbie Salmon; Graham Warren; Greg Hitchcock; hall, martin; jarrett, andy; John Taylor; Julia Riddle; Lee Scott; Mason, Elizabeth @ London KH; mccutcheon, brian; Neil Jordan; Owen Sweeney; paul.howarth@de.mod.uk; Peter Court; pullin, mark; Rob.Cameron@naturalengland.org.uk; rob.fuller@bto.org; Dawes, Samantha; Sarah Lyne; sean.hanna@naturalengland.org.uk; Southern Water; stephen.neal@landsecurities.com; stoddart, carly; tom.tew@hotmail.co.uk; tomtew@environmentbank.com
Cc: Dominic Woodfield - Bioscan; Putnam, Kathy
Subject: RE: Medway Core Strategy - notes of workshop 9 November [Scanned]

Catherine
I would like to support Dominic's suggested changes especially those to paragraphs 2.3, 2.4, 5.2, 5.3, 6.2, and 6.4 and trust you can take these on board before presenting this note to the inspector.
If not I trust you will make it clear to the inspector that what has been produced is not an agree note and furnish her with a copy of Dominic's track changed version so she can identify where the discrepancies are
I say this as I was one of the participants that made a number of the comments Dominic refers to and I would be most concerned if what was said was not highlighted to the inspector
Regards
Judith

Judith Ashton Associates
The Studio
Sherbrook Cottage
Silver Hill
East Sussex
TN19 7QB

Tel:-01580 860 033
Mobile 07709 406 528

Email:- judith@judithashton.co.uk

This email is confidential, and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, do not copy, use or disclose its content, but contact the sender immediately.

Whilst we run anti-virus software on all Internet emails we are not liable for any loss or damage sustained as a result of software viruses. The recipient is advised to run their own anti-virus software.

From: Dominic Woodfield - Bioscan [mailto:dominicwoodfield@bioscanuk.com]
Sent: 08 January 2013 16:41
To: 'smith, catherine'; 'Andrew Dodd'; 'Andy Jarrett'; 'Anna Heslop'; 'Becx Benmayor'; 'Brian Lloyd'; 'Chris Hewson'; 'cooper, robin'; 'Dave Burges'; 'Debbie Salmon'; 'Graham Warren'; 'Greg Hitchcock'; 'hall, martin'; 'jarrett, andy'; 'John Taylor'; Judith Ashton; 'Julia Riddle'; 'Lee Scott'; 'Mason, Elizabeth @ London KH'; 'mccutcheon, brian'; 'Neil Jordan'; 'Owen Sweeney'; paul.howarth@de.mod.uk; 'Peter Court'; 'pullin, mark'; Rob.Cameron@naturalengland.org.uk; rob.fuller@bto.org; samantha.dawes@rspb.org.uk; 'Sarah Lyne'; sean.hanna@naturalengland.org.uk; 'Southern Water'; stephen.neal@landsecurities.com; 'stoddart, carly'; tom.tew@hotmail.co.uk; tomtew@environmentbank.com
Subject: RE: Medway Core Strategy - notes of workshop 9 November [Scanned]

Dear Catherine

Thank you for circulating these. In response to your question as to whether I find these to be an effective record of the meeting, there are some omissions and corrects that I would seek to make based on comparison with my own notes from the meeti9ng. I have indicated these as comments and 'tracked' changes on the attached version. I would appreciate it if these are taken on board before submission to the Inspector.

Best regards

Dominic Woodfield MIEEM CEnv
Director

Bioscan (UK) Ltd
The Old Parlour
Little Baldon Farm
Oxford
OX44 9PU

T: +44 (0)1865 341321
F: +44 (0)1865 343674
www.bioscanuk.com

This email (and any attachment) is confidential and is intended for the use of the addressee(s) only. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you must not copy, distribute, take any action in reliance on it or disclose it to anyone. Any confidentiality is not waived or lost by reason of mistaken delivery. If you have received this e-mail in error please notify the sender immediately by using the e-mail address or telephone +44 (0) 1865 341321 and permanently delete the original and any copy or print out of this e-mail. Whilst we try to ensure that messages and attachments are virus-free, we cannot accept responsibility where this is not the case. No responsibility is accepted by Bioscan (UK) Ltd for

personal e-mails or those unconnected with our business.

Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: smith, catherine [mailto:catherine.smith@medway.gov.uk]
Sent: 02 January 2013 16:46
To: Andrew Dodd; Andy Jarrett; Anna Heslop; Becx Benmayor; Brian Lloyd; Chris Hewson; cooper, robin; Dave Burges; Debbie Salmon; Dominic Woodfield; Graham Warren; Greg Hitchcock; hall, martin; jarrett, andy; John Taylor; Judith Ashton; Julia Riddle; Lee Scott; Mason, Elizabeth @ London KH; mccutcheon, brian; Neil Jordan; Owen Sweeney; paul.howarth@de.mod.uk; Peter Court; pullin, mark; Rob.Cameron@naturalengland.org.uk; rob.fuller@bto.org; samantha.dawes@rspb.org.uk; Sarah Lyne; sean.hanna@naturalengland.org.uk; smith, catherine; Southern Water; stephen.neal@landsecurities.com; stoddart, carly; tom.tew@hotmail.co.uk; tomtew@environmentbank.com
Subject: Medway Core Strategy - notes of workshop 9 November

Medway Core Strategy - Lodge Hill Strategic Allocation - consideration of compensation habitat for nightingales

Dear all

Please see attached summary notes of the workshop held on 9 November 2012 to consider compensation habitat for nightingales, in relation to proposed development at Lodge Hill, Chattenden.

I apologise for the delay in the circulation of these notes.

The notes seek to provide an accurate record of the workshop discussions, but as agreed at the meeting, do not attempt to cover the details of the event.

I hope that you will find these to be an effective record of the meeting.

The notes will be added to the public record on this matter on the council's website, and will be submitted as part of a wider package of information to the Planning Inspector in advance of the re-opening of the Medway Core Strategy Examination on 14 January.

I will ensure that you are notified of any updates on this matter to the Council's website, and any further work in support of the Core Strategy. We anticipate that the Planning Inspector will issue further information and instructions after the re-opening of the Examination.

regards

Catherine

****

Catherine Smith
Development Policy & Engagement Manager
Housing, Development & Transport Division
Regeneration, Community and Culture
Medway Council, Civic Headquarters, Gun Wharf, Dock Road, Chatham, Kent ME4 4TR
Tel: 01634 331358
Fax: 01634 331729
Email: catherine.smith@medway.gov.uk

This transmission is intended for the named addressee(s) only and may contain sensitive or protectively marked material up to RESTRICTED and should be handled accordingly. Unless you are the named

addressee (or authorised to receive it for the addressee) you may not copy or use it, or disclose it to anyone else. If you have received this transmission in error please notify the sender immediately. This email has been scanned for viruses and all reasonable precautions have been taken to ensure that none are present. Medway Council cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of this email or attachments. Any views expressed in this email are those of the individual sender and not necessarily those of Medway Council unless explicitly stated. Please be aware that emails sent to or received from Medway Council may be subject to recording and/or monitoring in accordance with relevant legislation.